

# CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION US NATIONAL IDENTITY

**CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION US NATIONAL IDENTITY** IS A DEEPLY INTERTWINED CONCEPT, FORMING THE BEDROCK OF AMERICAN GOVERNANCE AND ITS EVOLVING SENSE OF SELF. THE 1787 PHILADELPHIA GATHERING, OSTENSIBLY TO REVISE THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION, BECAME A CRUCIBLE FOR FORGING A NEW NATIONAL IDENTITY. IT WAS HERE THAT THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES, ASPIRATIONS, AND EVEN THE INHERENT TENSIONS THAT WOULD DEFINE THE UNITED STATES WERE DEBATED, COMPROMISED, AND ENSHRINED. THIS ARTICLE DELVES INTO HOW THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION SHAPED THE VERY ESSENCE OF WHAT IT MEANS TO BE AN AMERICAN, EXPLORING THE DEBATES THAT DEFINED ITS INITIAL IDENTITY AND THE ENDURING LEGACY OF ITS FOUNDATIONAL DOCUMENT ON OUR COLLECTIVE UNDERSTANDING OF NATIONHOOD. WE WILL EXAMINE THE COMPROMISES MADE, THE PHILOSOPHICAL UNDERPINNINGS, AND THE LONG-TERM IMPLICATIONS FOR THE AMERICAN EXPERIMENT.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

THE GENESIS OF A NEW NATION  
DEBATES ON REPRESENTATION AND POWER  
THE FEDERALIST VISION OF NATIONAL IDENTITY  
COMPROMISES SHAPING AMERICAN CHARACTER  
THE CONSTITUTION'S ENDURING IMPACT ON NATIONAL IDENTITY  
THE EVOLVING AMERICAN IDENTITY POST-CONVENTION

## THE GENESIS OF A NEW NATION

THE LATE 18TH CENTURY FOUND THE FLEDGLING UNITED STATES IN A PRECARIOUS STATE. THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION, WHILE A VALIANT FIRST ATTEMPT AT SELF-GOVERNANCE, PROVED TOO WEAK TO BIND THE THIRTEEN INDEPENDENT STATES INTO A COHESIVE NATIONAL ENTITY. ECONOMIC INSTABILITY, INTERSTATE SQUABBLES, AND A LACK OF CENTRALIZED AUTHORITY THREATENED TO UNRAVEL THE VERY FABRIC OF THE UNION. IT WAS THIS EXISTENTIAL CRISIS THAT SPURRED THE CALL FOR A CONVENTION IN PHILADELPHIA, A GATHERING OF LUMINARIES TASKED NOT JUST WITH TINKERING, BUT WITH FUNDAMENTALLY REIMAGINING THE AMERICAN PROJECT. THE WEIGHT OF THIS MOMENT WAS IMMENSE; THE DELEGATES UNDERSTOOD THEY WERE NOT MERELY DRAFTING LAWS, BUT ATTEMPTING TO BIRTH A DURABLE NATIONAL IDENTITY FROM A COLLECTION OF DISPARATE COLONIES.

THE INTELLECTUAL FERMENT OF THE ENLIGHTENMENT HEAVILY INFLUENCED THE DELEGATES. IDEAS ABOUT NATURAL RIGHTS, REPUBLICANISM, AND THE SOCIAL CONTRACT PROVIDED A PHILOSOPHICAL FRAMEWORK FOR THEIR DELIBERATIONS. THEY WERE GRAPPLING WITH PROFOUND QUESTIONS: WHAT KIND OF NATION SHOULD AMERICA BE? WHAT PRINCIPLES WOULD GUIDE ITS FUTURE? HOW COULD A REPUBLIC OF SUCH VAST SIZE AND DIVERSITY BE GOVERNED EFFECTIVELY? THE ANSWERS THEY SOUGHT WERE NOT PREORDAINED; THEY WERE THE PRODUCT OF INTENSE DEBATE, PASSIONATE ARGUMENT, AND THE PAINSTAKING PROCESS OF FINDING COMMON GROUND, ALL AIMED AT ESTABLISHING A SHARED SENSE OF PURPOSE AND BELONGING – THE VERY ESSENCE OF NATIONAL IDENTITY.

## DEBATES ON REPRESENTATION AND POWER

PERHAPS THE MOST CONTENTIOUS DEBATES AT THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION REVOLVED AROUND THE ISSUE OF REPRESENTATION AND THE DISTRIBUTION OF POWER. THE INHERENT TENSION BETWEEN LARGE AND SMALL STATES, EACH WITH ITS OWN VISION OF ITS PLACE IN THE NEW UNION, THREATENED TO DERAIL THE PROCEEDINGS. HOW COULD A GOVERNMENT BE STRUCTURED TO BE FAIR TO ALL, REGARDLESS OF POPULATION SIZE? THIS QUESTION WAS CENTRAL TO THE FORMATION OF AMERICAN NATIONAL IDENTITY BECAUSE IT DIRECTLY ADDRESSED THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLE OF WHO WOULD HAVE A VOICE AND HOW THAT VOICE WOULD BE HEARD WITHIN THE NATIONAL FRAMEWORK. THE DEBATES HERE WEREN'T JUST ABOUT NUMBERS; THEY WERE ABOUT THE VERY NATURE OF POLITICAL EQUALITY AND THE BALANCE OF POWER WITHIN THE NASCENT NATION.

THE VIRGINIA PLAN, CHAMPIONED BY LARGER STATES, PROPOSED A BICAMERAL LEGISLATURE WITH REPRESENTATION BASED ON POPULATION. CONVERSELY, THE NEW JERSEY PLAN, FAVORED BY SMALLER STATES, ADVOCATED FOR EQUAL REPRESENTATION FOR EACH STATE, MIRRORING THE STRUCTURE OF THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION. THIS DEADLOCK BECAME KNOWN AS THE "GREAT COMPROMISE" OR THE CONNECTICUT COMPROMISE. IT PROPOSED A SENATE WHERE EACH STATE WOULD HAVE EQUAL REPRESENTATION, REGARDLESS OF SIZE, AND A HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WHERE REPRESENTATION WOULD BE BASED ON

POPULATION. THIS INGENIOUS SOLUTION, WHILE IMPERFECT, WAS CRUCIAL IN PRESERVING THE UNION AND ESTABLISHING A FOUNDATIONAL ELEMENT OF AMERICAN GOVERNANCE THAT CONTINUES TO SHAPE OUR NATIONAL DIALOGUE ON FAIRNESS AND REPRESENTATION. IT OFFERED A FRAMEWORK FOR DIVERSE INTERESTS TO COEXIST, A CRITICAL COMPONENT OF A BROAD NATIONAL IDENTITY.

## THE ISSUE OF SLAVERY AND ITS SHADOW

THE ISSUE OF SLAVERY CAST A LONG, DARK SHADOW OVER THE CONVENTION AND HAD A PROFOUND IMPACT ON THE NASCENT NATIONAL IDENTITY, CREATING A FUNDAMENTAL CONTRADICTION AT THE HEART OF AMERICAN IDEALS. WHILE THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE PROCLAIMED THAT ALL MEN ARE CREATED EQUAL, THE DELEGATES GRAPPLED WITH HOW TO RECONCILE THIS WITH THE INSTITUTION OF SLAVERY. THE COMPROMISE REACHED, PARTICULARLY THE THREE-FIFTHS COMPROMISE, ALLOWED ENSLAVED PEOPLE TO BE COUNTED AS THREE-FIFTHS OF A PERSON FOR THE PURPOSES OF REPRESENTATION AND TAXATION. THIS DECISION NOT ONLY EMBEDDED SLAVERY INTO THE CONSTITUTION BUT ALSO CREATED DEEP DIVISIONS THAT WOULD PLAGUE THE NATION FOR DECADES TO COME, FOREVER COMPLICATING THE IDEA OF A UNIFIED AMERICAN IDENTITY.

THIS COMPROMISE WAS A STARK REFLECTION OF THE SECTIONAL INTERESTS AND MORAL COMPROMISES THAT CHARACTERIZED THE PERIOD. IT WAS A PRAGMATIC DECISION DRIVEN BY THE NEED TO SECURE RATIFICATION OF THE CONSTITUTION, BUT IT CAME AT A STEEP MORAL AND ETHICAL COST. THE DELEGATES, IN THEIR QUEST TO FORGE A NATIONAL IDENTITY, ULTIMATELY DEFERRED A RECKONING WITH THIS FUNDAMENTAL INJUSTICE. THE LEGACY OF THIS COMPROMISE IS A REMINDER THAT THE AMERICAN NATIONAL IDENTITY HAS ALWAYS BEEN A WORK IN PROGRESS, MARKED BY BOTH NOBLE ASPIRATIONS AND DEEPLY FLAWED REALITIES, AND THE STRUGGLE TO FULLY REALIZE THE IDEALS OF EQUALITY CONTINUES TO THIS DAY.

## THE FEDERALIST VISION OF NATIONAL IDENTITY

FOLLOWING THE CONVENTION, THE DEBATE SHIFTED TO THE RATIFICATION OF THE PROPOSED CONSTITUTION. THIS PERIOD SAW THE EMERGENCE OF A POWERFUL INTELLECTUAL FORCE KNOWN AS FEDERALISM, ARTICULATED MOST FAMOUSLY IN THE FEDERALIST PAPERS. AUTHORS LIKE JAMES MADISON, ALEXANDER HAMILTON, AND JOHN JAY ARGUED PASSIONATELY FOR A STRONG, UNIFIED NATIONAL GOVERNMENT, ASSERTING THAT SUCH A STRUCTURE WAS ESSENTIAL FOR NATIONAL SECURITY, ECONOMIC PROSPERITY, AND THE PRESERVATION OF LIBERTY. THEIR VISION POSITED A NATIONAL IDENTITY THAT TRANSCENDED INDIVIDUAL STATE LOYALTIES, EMPHASIZING COMMON INTERESTS AND A SHARED DESTINY UNDER A ROBUST FEDERAL SYSTEM.

THE FEDERALIST VISION WAS NOT ABOUT ERASING STATE IDENTITIES BUT ABOUT CREATING A HIGHER, OVERARCHING IDENTITY THAT WOULD BIND THEM TOGETHER. THEY ARGUED THAT A STRONG CENTRAL GOVERNMENT WOULD BE BETTER EQUIPPED TO DEFEND THE NATION FROM FOREIGN THREATS, REGULATE COMMERCE EFFECTIVELY, AND MAINTAIN DOMESTIC TRANQUILITY. THIS WAS A RADICAL DEPARTURE FROM THE CONFEDERATION MODEL, WHICH HAD PROVEN INADEQUATE. THE FEDERALIST PAPERS BECAME A SEMINAL TEXT IN SHAPING THE UNDERSTANDING OF AMERICAN GOVERNANCE AND NATIONAL IDENTITY, EMPHASIZING THE IMPORTANCE OF A UNIFIED REPUBLIC CAPABLE OF ACTING DECISIVELY ON THE NATIONAL STAGE. THEY PRESENTED A COMPELLING CASE FOR A SHARED AMERICAN IDENTITY ROOTED IN COMMON PURPOSE AND COLLECTIVE STRENGTH.

## COMPROMISES SHAPING AMERICAN CHARACTER

THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION WAS A MASTERCLASS IN COMPROMISE, A NECESSITY BORN FROM THE DIVERSE AND OFTEN CONFLICTING INTERESTS OF THE STATES. BEYOND THE GREAT COMPROMISE AND THE THREE-FIFTHS COMPROMISE, NUMEROUS OTHER AGREEMENTS WERE HAMMERED OUT, EACH CONTRIBUTING TO THE COMPLEX TAPESTRY OF AMERICAN NATIONAL IDENTITY. THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A FEDERAL SYSTEM, WITH POWERS DIVIDED BETWEEN THE NATIONAL AND STATE GOVERNMENTS, WAS ITSELF A MONUMENTAL COMPROMISE, SEEKING TO BALANCE THE NEED FOR A STRONG UNION WITH THE DESIRE TO PRESERVE LOCAL AUTONOMY. THIS DUAL SOVEREIGNTY BECAME A DEFINING CHARACTERISTIC OF THE AMERICAN EXPERIMENT.

THE DELEGATES UNDERSTOOD THAT FOR THE CONSTITUTION TO BE RATIFIED AND FOR THE NEW NATION TO SURVIVE, CONCESSIONS WOULD HAVE TO BE MADE. THESE COMPROMISES WERE NOT ALWAYS ELEGANT OR MORALLY PURE, BUT THEY WERE ESSENTIAL FOR FORGING A WORKABLE FRAMEWORK. THEY DEMONSTRATED A PRAGMATIC APPROACH TO NATION-BUILDING, PRIORITIZING THE CREATION OF A STABLE AND FUNCTIONAL GOVERNMENT ABOVE THE PURSUIT OF ABSOLUTE IDEALS. THE CHARACTER OF THE AMERICAN NATION, THEREFORE, WAS SHAPED NOT JUST BY GRAND PRONOUNCEMENTS OF LIBERTY, BUT BY THE INTRICATE DANCE OF NEGOTIATION AND THE WILLINGNESS TO FIND COMMON GROUND, EVEN AMIDST PROFOUND DISAGREEMENTS. THIS ITERATIVE PROCESS OF COMPROMISE IS A CORNERSTONE OF HOW AMERICAN NATIONAL IDENTITY HAS BEEN BUILT AND CONTINUES TO EVOLVE.

# THE CONSTITUTION'S ENDURING IMPACT ON NATIONAL IDENTITY

THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, THE PRODUCT OF THE 1787 CONVENTION, HAS HAD AN UNPARALLELED AND ENDURING IMPACT ON THE FORMATION AND EVOLUTION OF AMERICAN NATIONAL IDENTITY. IT SERVES AS A FOUNDATIONAL DOCUMENT, A SYMBOL OF ENDURING PRINCIPLES, AND A FRAMEWORK FOR CONTINUOUS ADAPTATION. THE IDEALS ENSHRINED WITHIN IT – LIBERTY, JUSTICE, AND THE PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS – HAVE BECOME TOUCHSTONES FOR WHAT IT MEANS TO BE AN AMERICAN. EVEN AS INTERPRETATIONS AND APPLICATIONS OF THESE IDEALS HAVE SHIFTED AND EXPANDED OVER TIME, THE CONSTITUTION REMAINS THE PRIMARY REFERENCE POINT FOR OUR COLLECTIVE UNDERSTANDING OF WHO WE ARE AS A NATION.

THE CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHED A SYSTEM OF CHECKS AND BALANCES DESIGNED TO PREVENT THE OVERREACH OF ANY SINGLE BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT, FOSTERING A SENSE OF SHARED GOVERNANCE AND PREVENTING TYRANNY. THIS DIVISION OF POWER, ALONG WITH THE BILL OF RIGHTS, HAS CONTRIBUTED TO A NATIONAL IDENTITY THAT VALUES INDIVIDUAL FREEDOMS AND LIMITED GOVERNMENT. FURTHERMORE, THE AMENDMENT PROCESS, THOUGH CHALLENGING, HAS ALLOWED THE CONSTITUTION TO EVOLVE, REFLECTING CHANGING SOCIETAL VALUES AND BROADENING THE SCOPE OF NATIONAL IDENTITY TO BECOME MORE INCLUSIVE. THE ONGOING DIALOGUE AND DEBATES SURROUNDING THE CONSTITUTION'S MEANING DEMONSTRATE ITS VITALITY AND ITS CENTRAL ROLE IN SHAPING OUR NATIONAL CONVERSATION AND SENSE OF SELF.

## THE EVOLVING AMERICAN IDENTITY POST-CONVENTION

THE WORK OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION DID NOT END IN 1787; IT MARKED THE BEGINNING OF A CONTINUOUS PROCESS OF DEFINING AND REDEFINING AMERICAN NATIONAL IDENTITY. THE DEBATES OVER STATES' RIGHTS VERSUS FEDERAL POWER, THE STRUGGLE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS, AND THE ONGOING DISCUSSIONS ABOUT IMMIGRATION AND DIVERSITY ALL TRACE THEIR ROOTS BACK TO THE FOUNDATIONAL DECISIONS MADE IN PHILADELPHIA. THE AMERICAN IDENTITY HAS ALWAYS BEEN DYNAMIC, SHAPED BY BOTH THE ENDURING PRINCIPLES OF THE CONSTITUTION AND THE CHALLENGES AND TRIUMPHS OF HISTORY.

FROM THE EARLY REPUBLIC TO THE PRESENT DAY, THE NATION HAS GRAPPLED WITH THE INHERENT TENSIONS AND ASPIRATIONS EMBEDDED IN ITS FOUNDING DOCUMENT. THE CIVIL WAR, THE CIVIL RIGHTS MOVEMENT, AND COUNTLESS OTHER HISTORICAL MOMENTS HAVE TESTED AND RESHAPED THE AMERICAN IDENTITY, OFTEN BY DEMANDING THAT THE NATION LIVE UP TO ITS STATED IDEALS. THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION PROVIDED THE INITIAL BLUEPRINT, BUT IT IS THROUGH THE ONGOING STRUGGLES AND DIALOGUES OF ITS CITIZENS THAT THE AMERICAN NATIONAL IDENTITY CONTINUES TO BE FORGED, ADAPTED, AND, WE HOPE, PERFECTED. THE VERY ACT OF GRAPPLING WITH THESE CHALLENGES IS, IN ITSELF, A DEFINING CHARACTERISTIC OF THE AMERICAN EXPERIENCE AND ITS EVOLVING NATIONAL IDENTITY.

## FAQ

### Q: HOW DID THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION DIRECTLY INFLUENCE THE IDEA OF "WE THE PEOPLE" IN THE US NATIONAL IDENTITY?

A: THE PHRASE "WE THE PEOPLE," WHICH BEGINS THE PREAMBLE TO THE CONSTITUTION, WAS A REVOLUTIONARY DEPARTURE FROM PREVIOUS GOVERNMENTAL STRUCTURES THAT FOCUSED ON STATES OR MONARCHS. IT DIRECTLY ESTABLISHED THAT THE LEGITIMACY AND AUTHORITY OF THE NEW GOVERNMENT DERIVED FROM THE CITIZENS THEMSELVES, THUS CREATING A NASCENT NATIONAL IDENTITY CENTERED ON COLLECTIVE CONSENT AND POPULAR SOVEREIGNTY.

### Q: WHAT WAS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE COMPROMISES MADE AT THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE LONG-TERM US NATIONAL IDENTITY?

A: THE COMPROMISES, PARTICULARLY CONCERNING REPRESENTATION (GREAT COMPROMISE) AND SLAVERY (THREE-FIFTHS COMPROMISE), WERE CRUCIAL FOR THE RATIFICATION AND SURVIVAL OF THE CONSTITUTION. THEY SHAPED THE BALANCE OF POWER BETWEEN STATES AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND EMBEDDED INHERENT TENSIONS REGARDING EQUALITY THAT WOULD DEFINE MUCH OF AMERICAN HISTORY, INFLUENCING THE EVOLVING NATURE OF US NATIONAL IDENTITY.

## **Q: IN WHAT WAYS DID THE DEBATES OVER FEDERALISM AT THE CONVENTION IMPACT THE CONCEPT OF US NATIONAL IDENTITY?**

A: THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A FEDERAL SYSTEM, A COMPROMISE BETWEEN STRONG CENTRALIZED POWER AND STATE AUTONOMY, CREATED A UNIQUE DUAL SOVEREIGNTY. THIS BALANCE HAS CONTINUOUSLY SHAPED THE US NATIONAL IDENTITY BY FOSTERING BOTH A SENSE OF UNIFIED NATIONHOOD AND A STRONG TRADITION OF REGIONAL AND LOCAL IDENTITIES, LEADING TO ONGOING DEBATES ABOUT THE RESPECTIVE ROLES OF FEDERAL AND STATE GOVERNMENTS.

## **Q: HOW DID THE PHILOSOPHICAL UNDERPINNINGS OF THE ENLIGHTENMENT INFLUENCE THE DELEGATES' CONCEPTION OF US NATIONAL IDENTITY?**

A: ENLIGHTENMENT IDEAS ABOUT NATURAL RIGHTS, INDIVIDUAL LIBERTY, AND THE SOCIAL CONTRACT PROVIDED THE INTELLECTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE DELEGATES. THEY AIMED TO CREATE A GOVERNMENT THAT PROTECTED THESE RIGHTS AND PROMOTED THE GENERAL WELFARE, THEREBY FOSTERING A NATIONAL IDENTITY ROOTED IN THE PRINCIPLES OF FREEDOM, SELF-GOVERNANCE, AND THE PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS.

## **Q: WAS THE US NATIONAL IDENTITY FORMED AT THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION STATIC OR DESIGNED TO EVOLVE?**

A: THE CONVENTION WAS DESIGNED TO CREATE A FRAMEWORK THAT COULD ADAPT. THE AMENDMENT PROCESS, THOUGH DIFFICULT, EXPLICITLY ALLOWED FOR THE CONSTITUTION AND, BY EXTENSION, THE NATIONAL IDENTITY IT REPRESENTS, TO EVOLVE OVER TIME TO REFLECT CHANGING SOCIETAL VALUES AND ADDRESS NEW CHALLENGES.

## **Q: HOW DID THE EXCLUSION OF CERTAIN GROUPS FROM FULL PARTICIPATION AT THE CONVENTION IMPACT THE EARLY US NATIONAL IDENTITY?**

A: THE FACT THAT WOMEN, ENSLAVED PEOPLE, AND NATIVE AMERICANS WERE LARGELY EXCLUDED FROM THE CONVENTION AND ITS DELIBERATIONS MEANT THAT THE EARLY CONCEPTION OF "WE THE PEOPLE" WAS LIMITED. THIS EXCLUSION CREATED A FUNDAMENTAL CONTRADICTION WITH THE STATED IDEALS OF LIBERTY AND EQUALITY, LEADING TO LONG STRUGGLES FOR INCLUSION THAT HAVE CONTINUOUSLY RESHAPED AND BROADENED THE UNDERSTANDING OF US NATIONAL IDENTITY.

## **[Constitutional Convention Us National Identity](#)**

Constitutional Convention Us National Identity

## **Related Articles**

- [consumer behavior in microeconomics](#)
- [constitutional convention us ratification debates](#)
- [consulting revenue presentations](#)

[Back to Home](#)