

CONFLICT THEORY SOCIOLOGY EXAMPLES

THE ROLE OF CONFLICT THEORY IN UNDERSTANDING SOCIAL STRUCTURES: SOCIOLOGY EXAMPLES

CONFLICT THEORY SOCIOLOGY EXAMPLES PROVIDE A POWERFUL LENS THROUGH WHICH TO ANALYZE THE INHERENT POWER IMBALANCES AND STRUGGLES THAT SHAPE OUR SOCIETIES. THIS THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK, OFTEN CONTRASTED WITH FUNCTIONALISM, POSITS THAT SOCIETY IS IN A CONSTANT STATE OF FLUX DUE TO COMPETITION FOR LIMITED RESOURCES. INSTEAD OF FOCUSING ON CONSENSUS AND STABILITY, CONFLICT THEORY HIGHLIGHTS HOW DOMINANT GROUPS MAINTAIN THEIR POWER OVER SUBORDINATE ONES THROUGH VARIOUS SOCIAL INSTITUTIONS. UNDERSTANDING CONFLICT THEORY SOCIOLOGY EXAMPLES ALLOWS US TO DECONSTRUCT ISSUES RANGING FROM CLASS INEQUALITY AND GENDER DISPARITIES TO RACIAL DISCRIMINATION AND INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS, REVEALING THE UNDERLYING MECHANISMS OF SOCIAL CONTROL AND RESISTANCE. THIS ARTICLE DELVES INTO THE CORE TENETS OF CONFLICT THEORY, EXPLORES ITS DIVERSE APPLICATIONS, AND ILLUSTRATES ITS RELEVANCE THROUGH COMPELLING REAL-WORLD CASE STUDIES.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

UNDERSTANDING THE CORE PRINCIPLES OF CONFLICT THEORY

KEY THINKERS AND THEIR CONTRIBUTIONS

CONFLICT THEORY SOCIOLOGY EXAMPLES IN ACTION

CLASS STRUGGLE AND ECONOMIC INEQUALITY

GENDER CONFLICT AND PATRIARCHY

RACIAL AND ETHNIC CONFLICT

POLITICAL AND STATE POWER STRUGGLES

INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS AND GLOBAL CONFLICTS

CRITIQUES AND LIMITATIONS OF CONFLICT THEORY

THE ENDURING RELEVANCE OF CONFLICT THEORY

UNDERSTANDING THE CORE PRINCIPLES OF CONFLICT THEORY

AT ITS HEART, CONFLICT THEORY ARGUES THAT SOCIAL ORDER IS NOT MAINTAINED THROUGH WIDESPREAD AGREEMENT, BUT RATHER THROUGH DOMINATION AND COERCION. IT SUGGESTS THAT SOCIETIES ARE CHARACTERIZED BY INEVITABLE CONFLICT ARISING FROM THE UNEQUAL DISTRIBUTION OF RESOURCES SUCH AS WEALTH, POWER, AND PRESTIGE. THESE RESOURCES ARE FINITE, LEADING TO COMPETITION BETWEEN DIFFERENT SOCIAL GROUPS. THOSE WHO POSSESS MORE RESOURCES – THE DOMINANT OR ELITE GROUPS – ACTIVELY WORK TO MAINTAIN THEIR ADVANTAGES, OFTEN AT THE EXPENSE OF THOSE WITH FEWER RESOURCES – THE SUBORDINATE OR MARGINALIZED GROUPS. THIS PERPETUAL STRUGGLE FOR POWER AND RESOURCES IS SEEN AS THE PRIMARY DRIVER OF SOCIAL CHANGE.

THE THEORY EMPHASIZES THAT INSTITUTIONS LIKE THE GOVERNMENT, EDUCATION SYSTEM, AND MEDIA ARE NOT NEUTRAL ENTITIES. INSTEAD, THEY ARE OFTEN USED BY THE POWERFUL TO LEGITIMIZE THEIR DOMINANCE AND PERPETUATE EXISTING INEQUALITIES. FOR INSTANCE, EDUCATIONAL CURRICULA MIGHT EMPHASIZE THE ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE RULING CLASS OR PRESENT HISTORY IN A WAY THAT JUSTIFIES THE CURRENT SOCIAL HIERARCHY. SIMILARLY, MEDIA NARRATIVES CAN SHAPE PUBLIC PERCEPTION TO FAVOR THE INTERESTS OF THOSE IN POWER, THUS MINIMIZING DISSENT AND RESISTANCE. THIS CONSTANT INTERPLAY OF POWER, IDEOLOGY, AND RESISTANCE FORMS THE BEDROCK OF CONFLICT THEORY'S ANALYTICAL APPROACH.

KEY THINKERS AND THEIR CONTRIBUTIONS

WHILE KARL MARX IS WIDELY RECOGNIZED AS THE PROGENITOR OF CONFLICT THEORY, HIS IDEAS HAVE BEEN EXPANDED AND REFINED BY NUMEROUS SOCIOLOGISTS. MARX'S SEMINAL WORK FOCUSED ON THE INHERENT CONFLICT BETWEEN THE BOURGEOISIE (THE CAPITALIST CLASS WHO OWN THE MEANS OF PRODUCTION) AND THE PROLETARIAT (THE WORKING CLASS WHO SELL THEIR LABOR). HE ARGUED THAT THIS CLASS STRUGGLE WOULD ULTIMATELY LEAD TO A REVOLUTION AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A CLASSLESS SOCIETY. HIS ANALYSIS LAID THE GROUNDWORK FOR UNDERSTANDING HOW ECONOMIC STRUCTURES GENERATE SOCIAL CONFLICT.

BEYOND MARX, THINKERS LIKE MAX WEBER CONTRIBUTED BY BROADENING THE SCOPE OF CONFLICT BEYOND JUST ECONOMIC CLASS. WEBER INTRODUCED THE CONCEPTS OF STATUS (SOCIAL PRESTIGE) AND PARTY (POLITICAL POWER) AS ADDITIONAL DIMENSIONS OF SOCIAL STRATIFICATION AND SOURCES OF CONFLICT, ARGUING THAT POWER IS NOT SOLELY DERIVED FROM ECONOMIC OWNERSHIP. LATER, FIGURES SUCH AS C. WRIGHT MILLS DEVELOPED THE CONCEPT OF THE "POWER ELITE," SUGGESTING THAT A SMALL, INTERCONNECTED GROUP OF LEADERS FROM BUSINESS, MILITARY, AND GOVERNMENT HOLDS DISPROPORTIONATE POWER AND INFLUENCES POLICY FOR THEIR OWN BENEFIT. THESE CONTRIBUTIONS HAVE ENRICHED CONFLICT THEORY BY RECOGNIZING THE MULTI-FACETED NATURE OF POWER AND ITS MANIFESTATION IN VARIOUS SOCIAL ARENAS.

CONFLICT THEORY SOCIOLOGY EXAMPLES IN ACTION

TO TRULY GRASP THE POWER OF CONFLICT THEORY, IT'S ESSENTIAL TO EXAMINE CONCRETE EXAMPLES THAT ILLUSTRATE ITS APPLICATION ACROSS DIFFERENT SOCIETAL DOMAINS. THESE EXAMPLES SHOWCASE HOW THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF POWER, INEQUALITY, AND STRUGGLE PLAY OUT IN OBSERVABLE SOCIAL PHENOMENA. BY DISSECTING THESE SCENARIOS, WE GAIN A CLEARER UNDERSTANDING OF THE DYNAMICS THAT SHAPE OUR WORLD AND THE PERSISTENT CHALLENGES FACED BY VARIOUS GROUPS WITHIN IT.

CLASS STRUGGLE AND ECONOMIC INEQUALITY

ONE OF THE MOST PROMINENT CONFLICT THEORY SOCIOLOGY EXAMPLES IS THE ANALYSIS OF CLASS STRUGGLE AND ECONOMIC INEQUALITY. IN CAPITALIST SOCIETIES, CONFLICT THEORISTS LIKE MARX HIGHLIGHT THE INHERENT TENSION BETWEEN THOSE WHO OWN CAPITAL (THE BOURGEOISIE) AND THOSE WHO LABOR FOR WAGES (THE PROLETARIAT). THE BOURGEOISIE SEEKS TO MAXIMIZE PROFITS BY MINIMIZING LABOR COSTS, LEADING TO EXPLOITATION OF WORKERS THROUGH LOW WAGES, POOR WORKING CONDITIONS, AND JOB INSECURITY. CONVERSELY, THE PROLETARIAT, DRIVEN BY THE NEED FOR SURVIVAL, MAY ORGANIZE TO DEMAND BETTER COMPENSATION AND WORKING CONDITIONS, CREATING A DYNAMIC OF ONGOING CONFLICT. THIS CAN MANIFEST IN LABOR STRIKES, UNION NEGOTIATIONS, AND POLITICAL MOVEMENTS ADVOCATING FOR WEALTH REDISTRIBUTION OR STRONGER WORKER PROTECTIONS.

THINK ABOUT THE VAST DISPARITIES IN WEALTH WE SEE TODAY. CONFLICT THEORY SUGGESTS THIS ISN'T AN ACCIDENT OF THE MARKET; RATHER, IT'S A DIRECT RESULT OF A SYSTEM WHERE THOSE WITH CAPITAL HAVE THE POWER TO ACCUMULATE MORE, OFTEN AT THE EXPENSE OF THOSE WHO LACK IT. THE WEALTH GENERATED BY A COMPANY'S SUCCESS IS DISPROPORTIONATELY FUNNELED TO SHAREHOLDERS AND EXECUTIVES, WHILE FRONTLINE WORKERS MAY STRUGGLE TO MAKE ENDS MEET. THIS CREATES A SOCIETAL DIVISION AND AN INHERENT TENSION, AS THE EXPLOITED GROUP RECOGNIZES THE UNFAIR DISTRIBUTION OF THE FRUITS OF THEIR LABOR.

GENDER CONFLICT AND PATRIARCHY

CONFLICT THEORY OFFERS A CRITICAL PERSPECTIVE ON GENDER INEQUALITY, OFTEN FRAMED AS THE STRUGGLE BETWEEN MEN AND WOMEN WITHIN A PATRIARCHAL SYSTEM. PATRIARCHY, IN THIS CONTEXT, REFERS TO A SOCIAL SYSTEM WHERE MEN HOLD PRIMARY POWER AND AUTHORITY IN POLITICAL, ECONOMIC, AND SOCIAL SPHERES. CONFLICT THEORISTS ARGUE THAT THIS POWER IMBALANCE IS MAINTAINED THROUGH SOCIAL STRUCTURES, NORMS, AND IDEOLOGIES THAT SUBORDINATE WOMEN AND GRANT MEN PRIVILEGES. THIS CAN BE SEEN IN AREAS LIKE THE GENDER PAY GAP, UNDERREPRESENTATION OF WOMEN IN LEADERSHIP POSITIONS, AND SOCIETAL EXPECTATIONS THAT REINFORCE TRADITIONAL GENDER ROLES.

CONSIDER THE HISTORICAL UNDERREPRESENTATION OF WOMEN IN STEM FIELDS OR CORPORATE BOARDROOMS. CONFLICT THEORY WOULD ANALYZE THIS NOT SIMPLY AS A MATTER OF INDIVIDUAL CHOICE OR DIFFERING ABILITIES, BUT AS A PRODUCT OF SYSTEMIC BARRIERS AND POWER DYNAMICS THAT FAVOR MEN. THE ONGOING FEMINIST MOVEMENT, WITH ITS DEMANDS FOR EQUAL PAY, REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS, AND AN END TO GENDER-BASED VIOLENCE, IS A CLEAR EXAMPLE OF SUBORDINATE GROUPS ACTIVELY CHALLENGING DOMINANT POWER STRUCTURES TO ACHIEVE GREATER EQUALITY.

RACIAL AND ETHNIC CONFLICT

RACIAL AND ETHNIC CONFLICTS ARE ALSO FERTILE GROUND FOR CONFLICT THEORY ANALYSIS. THE THEORY POSITS THAT DOMINANT RACIAL OR ETHNIC GROUPS OFTEN WIELD POWER TO MAINTAIN THEIR STATUS AND PRIVILEGE OVER MINORITY GROUPS. THIS CAN RESULT IN SYSTEMIC DISCRIMINATION IN AREAS SUCH AS HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION, AND THE JUSTICE SYSTEM. THE HISTORICAL LEGACY OF SLAVERY, COLONIALISM, AND SEGREGATION ARE PRIME EXAMPLES OF HOW DOMINANT GROUPS HAVE USED POWER TO OPPRESS AND MARGINALIZE OTHERS. THE ONGOING STRUGGLES FOR CIVIL RIGHTS, RACIAL JUSTICE, AND AN END TO ETHNIC DISCRIMINATION ARE MANIFESTATIONS OF THIS CONFLICT.

THINK ABOUT INSTANCES OF RACIAL PROFILING OR DISPARITIES IN SENTENCING FOR SIMILAR CRIMES ACROSS RACIAL GROUPS. CONFLICT THEORY SUGGESTS THAT THESE ARE NOT ISOLATED INCIDENTS BUT RATHER PATTERNS THAT REINFORCE EXISTING POWER HIERARCHIES. THE EMERGENCE OF MOVEMENTS LIKE BLACK LIVES MATTER IS A DIRECT RESPONSE TO PERCEIVED SYSTEMIC OPPRESSION AND A DEMAND FOR ACCOUNTABILITY AND CHANGE FROM THE DOMINANT STRUCTURES THAT PERPETUATE RACIAL INEQUALITY.

POLITICAL AND STATE POWER STRUGGLES

THE STATE ITSELF IS OFTEN VIEWED THROUGH A CONFLICT THEORY LENS AS AN INSTRUMENT USED BY THE DOMINANT CLASS TO MAINTAIN ITS POWER AND CONTROL OVER THE GENERAL POPULATION. THIS PERSPECTIVE SUGGESTS THAT LAWS, POLICIES, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT ARE NOT NECESSARILY DESIGNED FOR THE COLLECTIVE GOOD, BUT RATHER TO PROTECT THE INTERESTS OF THE RULING ELITE. POLITICAL POWER STRUGGLES, THEREFORE, BECOME BATTLES OVER WHO CONTROLS THE STATE APPARATUS AND CAN USE IT TO THEIR ADVANTAGE. REVOLUTIONS, PROTESTS, AND LOBBYING EFFORTS ARE ALL WAYS IN WHICH DIFFERENT GROUPS ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OR SEIZE STATE POWER.

CONSIDER DEBATES OVER TAXATION POLICIES. CONFLICT THEORY WOULD SUGGEST THAT THE WEALTHY MAY LOBBY FOR LOWER TAXES ON CAPITAL GAINS, WHILE WORKING-CLASS GROUPS MIGHT ADVOCATE FOR HIGHER TAXES ON CORPORATIONS TO FUND SOCIAL PROGRAMS. THESE COMPETING INTERESTS REFLECT THE UNDERLYING POWER STRUGGLE OVER HOW SOCIETAL RESOURCES ARE DISTRIBUTED AND WHO BENEFITS FROM GOVERNMENT POLICIES.

INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS AND GLOBAL CONFLICTS

CONFLICT THEORY CAN ALSO BE APPLIED TO UNDERSTAND INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS AND GLOBAL CONFLICTS. IT SUGGESTS THAT NATIONS OFTEN ENGAGE IN COMPETITION FOR RESOURCES, TERRITORY, AND INFLUENCE ON THE GLOBAL STAGE. POWERFUL NATIONS MAY EXPLOIT WEAKER ONES THROUGH ECONOMIC POLICIES, MILITARY INTERVENTION, OR CULTURAL DOMINANCE. WARS, TRADE DISPUTES, AND GEOPOLITICAL RIVALRIES CAN ALL BE INTERPRETED AS MANIFESTATIONS OF THESE POWER STRUGGLES BETWEEN STATES. THE QUEST FOR HEGEMONY BY CERTAIN GLOBAL POWERS AND THE RESISTANCE FROM OTHERS ARE CENTRAL TO THIS ANALYSIS.

FOR EXAMPLE, THE SCRAMBLE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES BY MORE POWERFUL NATIONS CAN BE SEEN AS A CONFLICT OVER ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE. SIMILARLY, THE FORMATION OF ALLIANCES AND THE ARMS RACES BETWEEN NATIONS CAN BE UNDERSTOOD AS STRATEGIES EMPLOYED TO GAIN OR MAINTAIN POWER AND SECURITY IN A SYSTEM PERCEIVED AS INHERENTLY COMPETITIVE AND POTENTIALLY HOSTILE.

CRITIQUES AND LIMITATIONS OF CONFLICT THEORY

WHILE CONFLICT THEORY OFFERS VALUABLE INSIGHTS INTO THE DYNAMICS OF POWER AND INEQUALITY, IT IS NOT WITHOUT ITS CRITICS. ONE OF THE MOST COMMON CRITIQUES IS THAT IT OVEREMPHASIZES CONFLICT AND TENDS TO NEGLECT THE ASPECTS OF SOCIAL ORDER, STABILITY, AND CONSENSUS THAT ALSO EXIST IN SOCIETIES. CRITICS ARGUE THAT WHILE CONFLICT IS PRESENT, IT DOESN'T MEAN SOCIETIES ARE IN A PERPETUAL STATE OF CHAOS. MANY INDIVIDUALS AND GROUPS DO COOPERATE

AND SHARE COMMON VALUES, CONTRIBUTING TO SOCIAL COHESION.

ANOTHER LIMITATION IS THAT SOME VERSIONS OF CONFLICT THEORY CAN BE OVERLY DETERMINISTIC, SUGGESTING THAT SOCIAL OUTCOMES ARE SOLELY THE PRODUCT OF POWER STRUGGLES AND THAT INDIVIDUALS HAVE LITTLE AGENCY. FURTHERMORE, BY FOCUSING ON MACRO-LEVEL STRUCTURES, IT CAN SOMETIMES OVERLOOK THE NUANCES OF MICRO-LEVEL SOCIAL INTERACTIONS AND THE SUBJECTIVE EXPERIENCES OF INDIVIDUALS. SOME SCHOLARS ALSO POINT OUT THAT CONFLICT THEORY CAN BE SEEN AS TOO FOCUSED ON NEGATIVE ASPECTS OF SOCIETY, POTENTIALLY LEADING TO A PESSIMISTIC OUTLOOK WITHOUT OFFERING PRACTICAL SOLUTIONS FOR ACHIEVING A MORE EQUITABLE SOCIETY.

THE ENDURING RELEVANCE OF CONFLICT THEORY

DESPITE ITS CRITIQUES, CONFLICT THEORY REMAINS AN INDISPENSABLE TOOL FOR UNDERSTANDING THE COMPLEXITIES OF SOCIAL LIFE. ITS STRENGTH LIES IN ITS ABILITY TO CHALLENGE CONVENTIONAL WISDOM AND REVEAL THE OFTEN-HIDDEN POWER DYNAMICS THAT SHAPE OUR INSTITUTIONS AND INTERACTIONS. BY HIGHLIGHTING THE WAYS IN WHICH RESOURCES ARE UNEQUALLY DISTRIBUTED AND HOW POWER IS USED TO MAINTAIN THOSE INEQUALITIES, CONFLICT THEORY PROVIDES A CRITICAL FRAMEWORK FOR SOCIAL ANALYSIS AND ACTIVISM. IT ENCOURAGES US TO ASK DIFFICULT QUESTIONS ABOUT FAIRNESS, JUSTICE, AND THE DISTRIBUTION OF POWER IN OUR COMMUNITIES AND ON A GLOBAL SCALE.

THE ONGOING STRUGGLES FOR SOCIAL JUSTICE, EQUALITY, AND HUMAN RIGHTS WORLDWIDE ARE A TESTAMENT TO THE ENDURING RELEVANCE OF CONFLICT THEORY. WHETHER EXAMINING THE FIGHT FOR FAIR WAGES, THE PURSUIT OF GENDER EQUALITY, OR THE DEMAND FOR RACIAL JUSTICE, CONFLICT THEORY OFFERS A ROBUST EXPLANATION FOR WHY THESE STRUGGLES EXIST AND HOW THEY ARE PERPETUATED BY EXISTING POWER STRUCTURES. IT EMPOWERS US TO SEE BEYOND SURFACE-LEVEL EXPLANATIONS AND TO UNDERSTAND THE DEEPER, SYSTEMIC FORCES AT PLAY, DRIVING US TO ADVOCATE FOR A MORE EQUITABLE AND JUST WORLD.

FAQ

Q: WHAT IS THE CORE IDEA BEHIND CONFLICT THEORY IN SOCIOLOGY?

A: THE CORE IDEA BEHIND CONFLICT THEORY IN SOCIOLOGY IS THAT SOCIETY IS IN A PERPETUAL STATE OF CONFLICT DUE TO COMPETITION FOR SCARCE RESOURCES. IT POSITS THAT SOCIAL ORDER IS MAINTAINED NOT BY CONSENSUS, BUT BY THE DOMINATION OF THE POWERFUL OVER THE LESS POWERFUL.

Q: CAN YOU PROVIDE A SIMPLE, EVERYDAY EXAMPLE OF CONFLICT THEORY IN ACTION?

A: A SIMPLE EXAMPLE IS A DISPUTE BETWEEN EMPLOYEES AND MANAGEMENT OVER WAGES AND WORKING CONDITIONS. EMPLOYEES (THE LESS POWERFUL GROUP) ARE IN CONFLICT WITH MANAGEMENT (THE MORE POWERFUL GROUP) OVER THE DISTRIBUTION OF PROFITS AND THE TERMS OF LABOR.

Q: HOW DOES CONFLICT THEORY EXPLAIN SOCIAL CLASS DIFFERENCES?

A: CONFLICT THEORY EXPLAINS SOCIAL CLASS DIFFERENCES AS A DIRECT RESULT OF THE UNEQUAL DISTRIBUTION OF WEALTH, POWER, AND PRESTIGE. IT HIGHLIGHTS THE INHERENT CONFLICT BETWEEN THE CAPITALIST CLASS (BOURGEOISIE) AND THE WORKING CLASS (PROLETARIAT) IN THEIR STRUGGLE OVER THE MEANS OF PRODUCTION AND THE FRUITS OF LABOR.

Q: IS CONFLICT THEORY ONLY ABOUT VIOLENT CONFLICT?

A: NO, CONFLICT THEORY ENCOMPASSES MORE THAN JUST VIOLENT CONFLICT. IT INCLUDES ANY FORM OF STRUGGLE OR TENSION BETWEEN GROUPS, SUCH AS POLITICAL DISAGREEMENTS, ECONOMIC COMPETITION, SOCIAL MOVEMENTS, AND IDEOLOGICAL

DEBATES, ALL STEMMING FROM POWER IMBALANCES AND COMPETING INTERESTS.

Q: HOW DOES CONFLICT THEORY RELATE TO FEMINISM?

A: CONFLICT THEORY PROVIDES A FRAMEWORK FOR UNDERSTANDING FEMINISM AS A STRUGGLE AGAINST PATRIARCHAL POWER STRUCTURES. IT ANALYZES HOW MEN, AS THE DOMINANT GENDER GROUP, MAINTAIN THEIR POWER AND PRIVILEGE, AND HOW FEMINIST MOVEMENTS AIM TO CHALLENGE AND DISMANTLE THESE INEQUALITIES.

Q: WHAT ARE SOME COMMON CRITICISMS OF CONFLICT THEORY?

A: COMMON CRITICISMS INCLUDE THAT IT OVEREMPHASIZES CONFLICT AND IGNORES SOCIAL STABILITY AND CONSENSUS, THAT IT CAN BE TOO DETERMINISTIC, AND THAT IT MAY OVERLOOK MICRO-LEVEL SOCIAL INTERACTIONS AND INDIVIDUAL AGENCY.

Q: HOW DOES CONFLICT THEORY APPLY TO EDUCATION SYSTEMS?

A: CONFLICT THEORY VIEWS EDUCATION SYSTEMS AS INSTITUTIONS THAT CAN PERPETUATE SOCIAL INEQUALITIES. IT SUGGESTS THAT EDUCATIONAL CURRICULA AND POLICIES OFTEN FAVOR THE DOMINANT CLASS, REINFORCING EXISTING POWER STRUCTURES AND PROVIDING ADVANTAGES TO THOSE ALREADY IN POSITIONS OF PRIVILEGE.

Q: CAN CONFLICT THEORY EXPLAIN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS?

A: YES, CONFLICT THEORY CAN EXPLAIN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS BY FRAMING THEM AS A STRUGGLE BETWEEN NATIONS FOR RESOURCES, POWER, AND INFLUENCE. IT HIGHLIGHTS HOW DOMINANT NATIONS MAY EXPLOIT WEAKER ONES, LEADING TO GEOPOLITICAL TENSIONS AND CONFLICTS.

Conflict Theory Sociology Examples

Conflict Theory Sociology Examples

Related Articles

- [computer vision for robotics beginners](#)
- [conceptual precision strategies](#)
- [conceptual art evolution](#)

[Back to Home](#)