

COGNITIVE PSYCHOLOGY AND DECISION-MAKING BIASES EXPLAINED

COGNITIVE PSYCHOLOGY AND DECISION-MAKING BIASES EXPLAINED: NAVIGATING THE HUMAN MIND'S SHORTCUTS

COGNITIVE PSYCHOLOGY AND DECISION-MAKING BIASES EXPLAINED THROUGH A DEEP DIVE INTO THE FASCINATING MECHANISMS OF THE HUMAN MIND. OUR BRAINS, REMARKABLE AS THEY ARE, OFTEN EMPLOY MENTAL SHORTCUTS, OR HEURISTICS, THAT CAN LEAD TO SYSTEMATIC ERRORS IN JUDGMENT KNOWN AS COGNITIVE BIASES. UNDERSTANDING THESE BIASES IS CRUCIAL FOR MAKING MORE RATIONAL DECISIONS IN PERSONAL, PROFESSIONAL, AND EVEN SOCIETAL CONTEXTS. THIS COMPREHENSIVE ARTICLE WILL EXPLORE THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF COGNITIVE PSYCHOLOGY THAT UNDERPIN OUR THINKING PROCESSES AND DISSECT A VARIETY OF COMMON DECISION-MAKING BIASES. WE WILL DELVE INTO HOW THESE COGNITIVE DISTORTIONS INFLUENCE OUR PERCEPTIONS, EVALUATIONS, AND CHOICES, PROVIDING CLEAR EXPLANATIONS AND PRACTICAL EXAMPLES. BY SHEDDING LIGHT ON THESE INHERENT MENTAL TENDENCIES, WE AIM TO EQUIP YOU WITH THE KNOWLEDGE TO RECOGNIZE AND POTENTIALLY MITIGATE THEIR IMPACT ON YOUR OWN DECISION-MAKING.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION TO COGNITIVE PSYCHOLOGY AND DECISION-MAKING
THE FOUNDATIONS OF COGNITIVE PSYCHOLOGY
HEURISTICS: MENTAL SHORTCUTS IN ACTION
UNDERSTANDING COGNITIVE BIASES
COMMON DECISION-MAKING BIASES EXPLAINED
CONFIRMATION BIAS
AVAILABILITY HEURISTIC
ANCHORING BIAS
FRAMING EFFECT
SUNK COST FALLACY
OVERCONFIDENCE BIAS
HINDSIGHT BIAS
DUNNING-KRUGER EFFECT
THE IMPACT OF COGNITIVE BIASES
MITIGATING DECISION-MAKING BIASES
CONCLUSION: TOWARDS MORE RATIONAL DECISION-MAKING

THE FOUNDATIONS OF COGNITIVE PSYCHOLOGY

COGNITIVE PSYCHOLOGY IS THE SCIENTIFIC STUDY OF THE MIND AND ITS PROCESSES. IT EXPLORES HOW PEOPLE PERCEIVE, THINK, LEARN, REMEMBER, AND SOLVE PROBLEMS. THIS FIELD IS CONCERNED WITH THE INTERNAL MENTAL STATES AND PROCESSES THAT UNDERLIE BEHAVIOR, MOVING BEYOND MERE OBSERVATION TO UNDERSTAND THE MECHANISMS OF THOUGHT ITSELF. KEY AREAS OF STUDY INCLUDE ATTENTION, MEMORY, LANGUAGE, REASONING, AND PROBLEM-SOLVING, ALL OF WHICH PLAY A DIRECT ROLE IN HOW WE ARRIVE AT DECISIONS.

AT ITS CORE, COGNITIVE PSYCHOLOGY VIEWS THE MIND AS AN INFORMATION-PROCESSING SYSTEM, NOT UNLIKE A COMPUTER. INFORMATION IS RECEIVED THROUGH SENSORY INPUT, PROCESSED, STORED, AND RETRIEVED. THIS MODEL HELPS RESEARCHERS UNDERSTAND THE VARIOUS STAGES AND OPERATIONS INVOLVED IN HUMAN COGNITION. WHEN FACED WITH A DECISION, OUR COGNITIVE SYSTEM RAPIDLY ACCESSES STORED INFORMATION, EVALUATES OPTIONS BASED ON THESE INTERNAL REPRESENTATIONS, AND THEN GENERATES AN OUTPUT – A CHOICE OR JUDGMENT. THE EFFICIENCY OF THIS SYSTEM, HOWEVER, IS NOT ALWAYS PERFECT, AND IT IS WITHIN THESE PROCESSING PATHWAYS THAT BIASES CAN EMERGE.

HEURISTICS: MENTAL SHORTCUTS IN ACTION

HEURISTICS ARE MENTAL SHORTCUTS OR RULES OF THUMB THAT ALLOW US TO MAKE DECISIONS AND SOLVE PROBLEMS QUICKLY

AND EFFICIENTLY. THEY ARE ESSENTIAL FOR NAVIGATING A COMPLEX WORLD WHERE EXHAUSTIVE ANALYSIS OF EVERY OPTION IS OFTEN IMPOSSIBLE OR IMPRACTICAL. THESE SHORTCUTS ARE DEVELOPED THROUGH EXPERIENCE AND LEARNING AND ARE GENERALLY USEFUL. HOWEVER, THEY CAN ALSO LEAD TO SYSTEMATIC ERRORS WHEN APPLIED INAPPROPRIATELY OR WHEN THE SITUATION DEMANDS A MORE DELIBERATE AND ANALYTICAL APPROACH.

THE CONCEPT OF HEURISTICS WAS POPULARIZED BY PSYCHOLOGISTS AMOS TVERSKY AND DANIEL KAHNEMAN. THEY IDENTIFIED SEVERAL KEY HEURISTICS THAT SIGNIFICANTLY INFLUENCE OUR JUDGMENTS. THESE COGNITIVE TOOLS HELP US SIMPLIFY COMPLEX JUDGMENTS, REDUCE COGNITIVE LOAD, AND ARRIVE AT ANSWERS WITH LESS EFFORT. WITHOUT HEURISTICS, EVEN SIMPLE DECISIONS COULD BECOME OVERWHELMING. HOWEVER, UNDERSTANDING THAT THESE SHORTCUTS EXIST IS THE FIRST STEP IN RECOGNIZING WHEN THEY MIGHT BE LEADING US ASTRAY AND CONTRIBUTING TO DECISION-MAKING BIASES.

UNDERSTANDING COGNITIVE BIASES

COGNITIVE BIASES ARE SYSTEMATIC PATTERNS OF DEVIATION FROM NORM OR RATIONALITY IN JUDGMENT. THEY ARE OFTEN A RESULT OF THE BRAIN'S ATTEMPT TO SIMPLIFY INFORMATION PROCESSING. WHILE HEURISTICS ARE THE MENTAL SHORTCUTS THEMSELVES, COGNITIVE BIASES ARE THE PREDICTABLE ERRORS THAT CAN ARISE FROM THE APPLICATION OF THESE HEURISTICS. THEY REPRESENT A TENDENCY TO THINK IN CERTAIN WAYS THAT CAN LEAD TO A DEVIATION FROM ACCURATE JUDGMENT.

THESE BIASES ARE NOT NECESSARILY INDICATORS OF INTELLECTUAL WEAKNESS OR DELIBERATE ILLOGIC. INSTEAD, THEY ARE OFTEN BYPRODUCTS OF OUR COGNITIVE ARCHITECTURE, DESIGNED FOR SURVIVAL AND EFFICIENCY IN EVOLUTIONARY HISTORY. THEY CAN MANIFEST IN VARIOUS ASPECTS OF OUR LIVES, FROM MUNDANE CHOICES LIKE WHAT TO EAT FOR LUNCH TO SIGNIFICANT DECISIONS LIKE FINANCIAL INVESTMENTS OR CAREER PATHS. RECOGNIZING THESE PATTERNS IS CRUCIAL FOR CRITICAL THINKING AND IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF OUR DECISIONS.

COMMON DECISION-MAKING BIASES EXPLAINED

NUMEROUS COGNITIVE BIASES HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AND STUDIED. EACH BIAS REPRESENTS A SPECIFIC WAY IN WHICH OUR THINKING CAN BECOME SKEWED, LEADING TO SUBOPTIMAL JUDGMENTS AND DECISIONS. UNDERSTANDING THESE COMMON BIASES IS KEY TO IDENTIFYING THEM IN OURSELVES AND OTHERS.

CONFIRMATION BIAS

CONFIRMATION BIAS IS THE TENDENCY TO SEARCH FOR, INTERPRET, FAVOR, AND RECALL INFORMATION IN A WAY THAT CONFIRMS OR SUPPORTS ONE'S PRIOR BELIEFS OR VALUES. PEOPLE TEND TO SEEK OUT EVIDENCE THAT ALIGNS WITH THEIR EXISTING VIEWS AND DISREGARD OR DOWNPLAY EVIDENCE THAT CONTRADICTS THEM. THIS BIAS CAN REINFORCE EXISTING BELIEFS, MAKING THEM RESISTANT TO CHANGE, EVEN WHEN FACED WITH COMPELLING COUNTER-EVIDENCE.

FOR EXAMPLE, SOMEONE WHO BELIEVES A PARTICULAR POLITICAL CANDIDATE IS SUPERIOR MAY ACTIVELY SEEK OUT NEWS ARTICLES AND OPINIONS THAT PRAISE THE CANDIDATE WHILE IGNORING OR DISMISSING NEGATIVE REPORTS. THIS SELECTIVE PROCESSING OF INFORMATION STRENGTHENS THEIR INITIAL BELIEF, MAKING THEM LESS LIKELY TO OBJECTIVELY EVALUATE THE CANDIDATE'S STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES.

AVAILABILITY HEURISTIC

THE AVAILABILITY HEURISTIC IS A MENTAL SHORTCUT THAT RELIES ON IMMEDIATE EXAMPLES THAT COME TO A GIVEN PERSON'S MIND WHEN EVALUATING A SPECIFIC TOPIC, CONCEPT, METHOD, OR DECISION. IF INSTANCES OF AN EVENT ARE EASILY RECALLED, PEOPLE TEND TO THINK THAT SUCH EVENTS ARE MORE FREQUENT OR PROBABLE THAN THEY ACTUALLY ARE. VIVID, DRAMATIC,

OR RECENT EVENTS ARE OFTEN MORE AVAILABLE IN MEMORY.

A CLASSIC EXAMPLE IS THE FEAR OF FLYING VERSUS DRIVING. PLANE CRASHES, THOUGH STATISTICALLY RARE, ARE OFTEN HIGHLY PUBLICIZED AND DRAMATIC, MAKING THEM MORE MEMORABLE AND READILY AVAILABLE IN OUR MINDS. CONSEQUENTLY, PEOPLE MAY OVERESTIMATE THE RISK OF FLYING AND UNDERESTIMATE THE RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH DRIVING, WHICH, WHILE STATISTICALLY MORE DANGEROUS, OFTEN INVOLVE LESS DRAMATIC AND LESS MEMORABLE INCIDENTS.

ANCHORING BIAS

ANCHORING BIAS, ALSO KNOWN AS FOCALISM, IS A COGNITIVE BIAS THAT DESCRIBES THE COMMON HUMAN TENDENCY TO RELY TOO HEAVILY ON THE FIRST PIECE OF INFORMATION OFFERED (THE "ANCHOR") WHEN MAKING DECISIONS. ONCE AN ANCHOR IS SET, SUBSEQUENT JUDGMENTS ARE OFTEN MADE BY ADJUSTING AWAY FROM THAT ANCHOR, AND THERE IS A BIAS TOWARD INTERPRETING OTHER INFORMATION AROUND THE ANCHOR.

IN NEGOTIATIONS, THE FIRST PRICE OFFERED OFTEN SERVES AS AN ANCHOR. IF A SELLER ASKS FOR A HIGH PRICE, EVEN IF IT'S UNREALISTIC, IT CAN ANCHOR THE BUYER'S PERCEPTION OF THE ITEM'S VALUE, LEADING THEM TO OFFER A HIGHER PRICE THAN THEY MIGHT HAVE OTHERWISE. SIMILARLY, IN ESTIMATIONS, IF ASKED TO ESTIMATE A VALUE AFTER BEING GIVEN A RELATED, EVEN IRRELEVANT, NUMBER, PEOPLE TEND TO BE INFLUENCED BY THAT INITIAL NUMBER.

FRAMING EFFECT

THE FRAMING EFFECT IS A COGNITIVE BIAS WHERE PEOPLE DECIDE ON OPTIONS BASED ON WHETHER THE OPTIONS ARE PRESENTED WITH POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE CONNOTATIONS; E.G., AS A LOSS OR AS A GAIN. THE WAY INFORMATION IS PRESENTED, OR "FRAMED," CAN SIGNIFICANTLY INFLUENCE OUR CHOICES, EVEN IF THE UNDERLYING OPTIONS ARE OBJECTIVELY THE SAME.

CONSIDER TWO MEDICAL TREATMENTS FOR A DISEASE: ONE FRAMED AS HAVING A "90% SURVIVAL RATE" AND ANOTHER AS HAVING A "10% MORTALITY RATE." ALTHOUGH THESE STATEMENTS CONVEY THE SAME STATISTICAL OUTCOME, PEOPLE ARE MORE LIKELY TO CHOOSE THE TREATMENT FRAMED IN TERMS OF SURVIVAL, AS IT SOUNDS MORE POSITIVE AND LESS RISKY.

SUNK COST FALLACY

THE SUNK COST FALLACY, ALSO KNOWN AS THE CONCORDE FALLACY, IS THE PHENOMENON WHERE PEOPLE TEND TO CONTINUE AN ENDEAVOR AS A RESULT OF PREVIOUSLY INVESTED RESOURCES (TIME, MONEY, OR EFFORT), EVEN WHEN IT IS CLEAR THAT CONTINUING IS NOT THE BEST DECISION. THE REASONING IS THAT BECAUSE SO MUCH HAS ALREADY BEEN INVESTED, IT WOULD BE A WASTE TO ABANDON THE PROJECT, REGARDLESS OF FUTURE PROSPECTS.

IMAGINE INVESTING A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF MONEY AND TIME INTO A FAILING BUSINESS VENTURE. THE SUNK COST FALLACY WOULD LEAD YOU TO CONTINUE POURING MORE RESOURCES INTO IT, HOPING TO RECOVER YOUR INITIAL INVESTMENT, RATHER THAN CUTTING YOUR LOSSES AND MOVING ON TO MORE PROMISING OPPORTUNITIES. THE PAST INVESTMENT SHOULD IDEALLY BE IRRELEVANT TO FUTURE DECISIONS.

OVERCONFIDENCE BIAS

OVERCONFIDENCE BIAS IS A WELL-ESTABLISHED BIAS IN WHICH A PERSON'S SUBJECTIVE CONFIDENCE IN HIS OR HER JUDGMENTS IS RELIABLY GREATER THAN THE OBJECTIVE ACCURACY OF THOSE JUDGMENTS, ESPECIALLY WHEN CONFIDENCE IS RELATIVELY HIGH. THIS CAN LEAD TO TAKING EXCESSIVE RISKS AND MAKING POORLY CONSIDERED DECISIONS.

FOR INSTANCE, A TRADER WHO IS OVERLY CONFIDENT IN THEIR MARKET PREDICTIONS MIGHT INVEST HEAVILY BASED ON INTUITION, WITHOUT ADEQUATE RESEARCH OR RISK ASSESSMENT, LEADING TO SUBSTANTIAL FINANCIAL LOSSES IF THEIR PREDICTIONS ARE INACCURATE.

HINDSIGHT BIAS

HINDSIGHT BIAS, ALSO KNOWN AS THE "I-KNEW-IT-ALL-ALONG" PHENOMENON, IS THE TENDENCY FOR PEOPLE TO PERCEIVE PAST EVENTS AS HAVING BEEN MORE PREDICTABLE THAN THEY ACTUALLY WERE BEFORE THEY TOOK PLACE. AFTER AN EVENT HAS OCCURRED, PEOPLE OFTEN BELIEVE THEY WOULD HAVE PREDICTED OR EXPECTED THE OUTCOME.

FOLLOWING A MAJOR ELECTION RESULT, MANY PEOPLE MIGHT CLAIM THEY "SAW IT COMING" AND PREDICTED THE OUTCOME ACCURATELY, EVEN IF THEY EXPRESSED UNCERTAINTY OR PREDICTED A DIFFERENT RESULT BEFOREHAND. THIS BIAS CAN HINDER LEARNING FROM PAST EXPERIENCES BECAUSE IT DISTORTS OUR MEMORY OF OUR PRIOR LEVEL OF KNOWLEDGE OR UNCERTAINTY.

DUNNING-KRUGER EFFECT

THE DUNNING-KRUGER EFFECT IS A COGNITIVE BIAS WHEREBY PEOPLE WITH LOW ABILITY, EXPERTISE, OR EXPERIENCE REGARDING A CERTAIN TYPE OF TASK OR AREA OF KNOWLEDGE TEND TO OVERESTIMATE THEIR ABILITY OR KNOWLEDGE. CONVERSELY, EXPERTS MAY UNDERESTIMATE THEIR RELATIVE COMPETENCE, ERRONEOUSLY ASSUMING THAT TASKS THAT ARE EASY FOR THEM ARE ALSO EASY FOR OTHERS. THIS LEADS TO A MISCALIBRATION OF CONFIDENCE AND COMPETENCE.

AN INDIVIDUAL WITH VERY LITTLE KNOWLEDGE OF A COMPLEX SUBJECT MIGHT CONFIDENTLY EXPRESS STRONG OPINIONS AND BELIEVE THEY ARE HIGHLY KNOWLEDGEABLE, WHILE A TRUE EXPERT, AWARE OF THE NUANCES AND COMPLEXITIES, MAY BE MORE MODEST IN THEIR CLAIMS AND EVEN UNDERESTIMATE HOW MUCH THEIR KNOWLEDGE SURPASSES THAT OF THE AVERAGE PERSON.

THE IMPACT OF COGNITIVE BIASES

THE IMPACT OF COGNITIVE BIASES CAN BE PROFOUND AND FAR-REACHING, INFLUENCING DECISIONS IN VIRTUALLY EVERY DOMAIN OF HUMAN ACTIVITY. IN PERSONAL FINANCE, BIASES CAN LEAD TO POOR INVESTMENT CHOICES, EXCESSIVE SPENDING, AND INADEQUATE SAVING. IN HEALTHCARE, THEY CAN AFFECT DIAGNOSES, TREATMENT ADHERENCE, AND PATIENT-DOCTOR COMMUNICATION. IN THE WORKPLACE, BIASES CAN IMPACT HIRING DECISIONS, PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS, AND STRATEGIC PLANNING.

ON A LARGER SCALE, COGNITIVE BIASES CONTRIBUTE TO SOCIETAL ISSUES SUCH AS PREJUDICE, POLITICAL POLARIZATION, AND THE SPREAD OF MISINFORMATION. UNDERSTANDING THESE BIASES IS NOT MERELY AN ACADEMIC EXERCISE; IT IS A PRACTICAL NECESSITY FOR NAVIGATING THE COMPLEXITIES OF MODERN LIFE AND STRIVING FOR MORE OBJECTIVE AND EFFECTIVE DECISION-MAKING. THEY SHAPE OUR PERCEPTIONS OF RISK, OUR EVALUATIONS OF EVIDENCE, AND ULTIMATELY, THE CHOICES WE MAKE, OFTEN WITHOUT OUR CONSCIOUS AWARENESS.

MITIGATING DECISION-MAKING BIASES

WHILE COMPLETELY ELIMINATING COGNITIVE BIASES IS LIKELY IMPOSSIBLE, THERE ARE SEVERAL STRATEGIES TO MITIGATE THEIR INFLUENCE. THE FIRST STEP IS AWARENESS: RECOGNIZING THAT THESE BIASES EXIST AND UNDERSTANDING HOW THEY OPERATE. BY ACTIVELY LEARNING ABOUT COMMON BIASES, INDIVIDUALS CAN BEGIN TO IDENTIFY THEM IN THEIR OWN THOUGHT PROCESSES.

ANOTHER EFFECTIVE STRATEGY IS TO SLOW DOWN THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS AND ENGAGE IN MORE DELIBERATE, ANALYTICAL THINKING RATHER THAN RELYING SOLELY ON INTUITION OR HEURISTICS. SEEKING DIVERSE PERSPECTIVES FROM

OTHERS WHO MAY NOT SHARE YOUR INITIAL BELIEFS CAN ALSO HELP TO CHALLENGE ASSUMPTIONS AND EXPOSE POTENTIAL BLIND SPOTS. FURTHERMORE, ACTIVELY LOOKING FOR DISCONFIRMING EVIDENCE, RATHER THAN JUST CONFIRMING EVIDENCE, CAN LEAD TO MORE BALANCED EVALUATIONS. ESTABLISHING CLEAR CRITERIA AND CHECKLISTS FOR IMPORTANT DECISIONS CAN ALSO HELP TO ENSURE THAT ALL RELEVANT FACTORS ARE CONSIDERED OBJECTIVELY, REDUCING THE IMPACT OF EMOTIONAL OR INTUITIVE BIASES.

ULTIMATELY, A COMMITMENT TO CRITICAL THINKING, INTELLECTUAL HUMILITY, AND A WILLINGNESS TO QUESTION ONE'S OWN ASSUMPTIONS ARE CRUCIAL FOR MAKING MORE RATIONAL AND INFORMED DECISIONS. BY UNDERSTANDING THE INTRICATE INTERPLAY BETWEEN COGNITIVE PSYCHOLOGY AND DECISION-MAKING BIASES, WE EMPOWER OURSELVES TO NAVIGATE THE HUMAN MIND'S INHERENT SHORTCUTS WITH GREATER AWARENESS AND PRECISION.

Q: WHAT IS THE PRIMARY GOAL OF COGNITIVE PSYCHOLOGY WHEN STUDYING DECISION-MAKING?

A: THE PRIMARY GOAL OF COGNITIVE PSYCHOLOGY WHEN STUDYING DECISION-MAKING IS TO UNDERSTAND THE MENTAL PROCESSES INVOLVED IN HOW INDIVIDUALS PERCEIVE INFORMATION, EVALUATE OPTIONS, AND ARRIVE AT A CHOICE OR JUDGMENT, WITH A PARTICULAR FOCUS ON THE COGNITIVE MECHANISMS, HEURISTICS, AND BIASES THAT INFLUENCE THESE PROCESSES.

Q: HOW DO HEURISTICS CONTRIBUTE TO DECISION-MAKING BIASES?

A: HEURISTICS ARE MENTAL SHORTCUTS THAT SIMPLIFY COMPLEX DECISIONS. WHILE EFFICIENT, THEY CAN LEAD TO PREDICTABLE ERRORS IN JUDGMENT, KNOWN AS COGNITIVE BIASES, WHEN APPLIED IN SITUATIONS WHERE A MORE ANALYTICAL APPROACH IS REQUIRED OR WHEN THE HEURISTIC LEADS TO AN INACCURATE REPRESENTATION OF REALITY.

Q: CAN CONFIRMATION BIAS BE OVERCOME?

A: CONFIRMATION BIAS CAN BE SIGNIFICANTLY MITIGATED THROUGH CONSCIOUS EFFORT, SUCH AS ACTIVELY SEEKING OUT INFORMATION THAT CONTRADICTS ONE'S BELIEFS, ENGAGING IN DEVIL'S ADVOCACY (ARGUING AGAINST ONE'S OWN POSITION), AND BEING OPEN TO REVISING ONE'S VIEWS BASED ON NEW EVIDENCE.

Q: WHY IS THE AVAILABILITY HEURISTIC A PROBLEM IN RISK ASSESSMENT?

A: THE AVAILABILITY HEURISTIC IS A PROBLEM IN RISK ASSESSMENT BECAUSE IT CAUSES PEOPLE TO OVERESTIMATE THE LIKELIHOOD OF EVENTS THAT ARE EASILY RECALLED (E.G., DUE TO VIVIDNESS OR RECENCY), LEADING TO AN INACCURATE PERCEPTION OF RISK AND POTENTIALLY IRRATIONAL FEAR OR OVERCONFIDENCE.

Q: WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A SUNK COST FALLACY AND OPPORTUNITY COST?

A: THE SUNK COST FALLACY INVOLVES CONTINUING AN ENDEAVOR DUE TO PAST INVESTMENTS, REGARDLESS OF FUTURE PROSPECTS. OPPORTUNITY COST, ON THE OTHER HAND, IS THE VALUE OF THE NEXT-BEST ALTERNATIVE THAT IS FORGONE WHEN A DECISION IS MADE. SUNK COSTS ARE IRRELEVANT TO RATIONAL DECISION-MAKING, WHILE OPPORTUNITY COSTS ARE FUNDAMENTAL TO IT.

Q: HOW DOES THE FRAMING EFFECT INFLUENCE OUR CHOICES?

A: THE FRAMING EFFECT INFLUENCES CHOICES BY ALTERING HOW OPTIONS ARE PRESENTED, MAKING THEM APPEAR MORE OR LESS DESIRABLE BASED ON WHETHER THE INFORMATION IS FRAMED IN TERMS OF GAINS OR LOSSES, EVEN IF THE OBJECTIVE OUTCOMES

ARE THE SAME.

Q: WHAT ARE THE PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE DUNNING-KRUGER EFFECT?

A: THE PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE DUNNING-KRUGER EFFECT INCLUDE INDIVIDUALS WITH LOW COMPETENCE OVERESTIMATING THEIR ABILITIES, LEADING TO POOR DECISION-MAKING, RESISTANCE TO FEEDBACK, AND AN INABILITY TO RECOGNIZE THEIR OWN MISTAKES, WHILE EXPERTS MAY UNDERESTIMATE THE DIFFICULTY OF TASKS FOR OTHERS.

Q: IS IT POSSIBLE TO MAKE PURELY RATIONAL DECISIONS, FREE FROM ALL COGNITIVE BIASES?

A: WHILE IT IS EXTREMELY CHALLENGING, AND PERHAPS IMPOSSIBLE, TO MAKE DECISIONS ENTIRELY FREE FROM ALL COGNITIVE BIASES DUE TO THE INHERENT NATURE OF HUMAN COGNITION, INDIVIDUALS CAN SIGNIFICANTLY IMPROVE THEIR DECISION-MAKING BY BECOMING AWARE OF BIASES AND EMPLOYING STRATEGIES TO MITIGATE THEIR INFLUENCE.

Cognitive Psychology And Decision Making Biases Explained

Cognitive Psychology And Decision Making Biases Explained

Related Articles

- [cold war intelligence operations in the cyber domain](#)
- [cognitive psychology and metacognition basics](#)
- [cognitive genetics applications us](#)

[Back to Home](#)