

CITING DIRECTORS IN FILMS CHICAGO STYLE RESEARCH

UNDERSTANDING THE IMPORTANCE OF CITING DIRECTORS IN FILM RESEARCH

CITING DIRECTORS IN FILMS CHICAGO STYLE RESEARCH IS A FUNDAMENTAL SKILL FOR ANY ACADEMIC OR ENTHUSIAST DELVING INTO THE WORLD OF CINEMA. PROPERLY ATTRIBUTING THE CREATIVE VISION BEHIND A FILM IS NOT MERELY A MATTER OF ACADEMIC COURTESY; IT IS ESSENTIAL FOR ACCURATELY REPRESENTING THE ARTWORK AND ACKNOWLEDGING THE PRIMARY CREATIVE FORCE. WHEN CONDUCTING RESEARCH ON FILMS, UNDERSTANDING HOW TO CITE THE DIRECTOR ACCORDING TO THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE ENSURES CLARITY, CREDIBILITY, AND A PROFESSIONAL PRESENTATION OF YOUR WORK. THIS COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE WILL NAVIGATE THE NUANCES OF THIS CITATION STYLE, FROM BASIC PRINCIPLES TO SPECIFIC SCENARIOS, EMPOWERING YOU TO EFFECTIVELY REFERENCE FILMS AND THEIR DIRECTORS IN YOUR ACADEMIC ENDEAVORS. WE WILL EXPLORE THE FOUNDATIONAL ELEMENTS OF CHICAGO-STYLE FILM CITATIONS, THE SPECIFIC FORMATTING FOR DIRECTORS, AND HOW TO HANDLE VARIATIONS IN FILM PRODUCTION AND CREDITING.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- THE ROLE OF THE DIRECTOR IN FILM
- CHICAGO STYLE BASICS FOR AUDIOVISUAL MATERIALS
- CITING THE DIRECTOR AS THE AUTHOR
- FORMATTING DIRECTOR INFORMATION IN CHICAGO STYLE
- HANDLING CO-DIRECTORS AND MULTIPLE DIRECTORS
- WHEN DIRECTORS ARE NOT THE PRIMARY FOCUS
- CITING FILMS FOR DIFFERENT PURPOSES
- COMMON PITFALLS IN CHICAGO STYLE FILM CITATION
- EXAMPLES OF CHICAGO STYLE FILM CITATIONS WITH DIRECTORS

THE ROLE OF THE DIRECTOR IN FILM

THE DIRECTOR IS ARGUABLY THE MOST SIGNIFICANT ARTISTIC FORCE IN A FILM'S CREATION, SHAPING ITS NARRATIVE, VISUAL STYLE, AND OVERALL TONE. THEY ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR TRANSLATING THE SCREENPLAY INTO A TANGIBLE CINEMATIC EXPERIENCE, GUIDING ACTORS, COLLABORATING WITH CINEMATOGRAPHERS, EDITORS, AND OTHER KEY CREW MEMBERS. UNDERSTANDING THE DIRECTOR'S UNIQUE CONTRIBUTION IS CRUCIAL FOR ANALYZING A FILM'S THEMATIC CONTENT, AESTHETIC CHOICES, AND ITS PLACE WITHIN THE DIRECTOR'S OEUVRE OR BROADER CINEMATIC MOVEMENTS. THIS CENTRAL ROLE MAKES ACCURATE CITATION OF THE DIRECTOR PARAMOUNT IN ANY FILM-RELATED RESEARCH.

CHICAGO STYLE BASICS FOR AUDIOVISUAL MATERIALS

THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE PROVIDES SPECIFIC GUIDELINES FOR CITING A VARIETY OF MEDIA, INCLUDING FILMS. UNLIKE TRADITIONAL PRINT SOURCES, FILMS PRESENT A COMPLEX AUTHORSHIP LANDSCAPE. THE CHICAGO STYLE ACKNOWLEDGES THIS BY OFFERING FLEXIBILITY IN HOW DIFFERENT CONTRIBUTORS ARE CREDITED, DEPENDING ON THE FOCUS OF THE RESEARCH. WHEN DEALING WITH FILMS, THE CORE PRINCIPLE IS TO PROVIDE ENOUGH INFORMATION FOR A READER TO LOCATE THE SPECIFIC WORK BEING REFERENCED. THIS INCLUDES ESSENTIAL DETAILS LIKE THE FILM TITLE, PRODUCTION COMPANY, AND YEAR OF RELEASE.

IDENTIFYING THE KEY ELEMENTS FOR FILM CITATIONS

WHEN CREATING A CHICAGO-STYLE CITATION FOR A FILM, SEVERAL KEY PIECES OF INFORMATION ARE INDISPENSABLE. THESE ELEMENTS WORK TOGETHER TO CREATE A CLEAR AND UNAMBIGUOUS REFERENCE. PRIMARILY, THE FULL TITLE OF THE FILM IS REQUIRED, OFTEN ITALICIZED. FOLLOWING THIS, DETAILS ABOUT ITS PRODUCTION AND RELEASE ARE VITAL FOR CONTEXT AND RETRIEVAL. THIS INCLUDES THE NAME OF THE PRODUCTION COMPANY OR DISTRIBUTOR, AND THE YEAR THE FILM WAS RELEASED. THESE COMPONENTS FORM THE BEDROCK OF ANY ACCURATE FILM CITATION IN THE CHICAGO STYLE.

CITING THE DIRECTOR AS THE AUTHOR

IN CHICAGO STYLE, WHEN THE DIRECTOR'S WORK IS THE PRIMARY SUBJECT OF YOUR RESEARCH, THEY ARE TREATED AS THE AUTHOR OF THE FILM. THIS APPROACH HIGHLIGHTS THE DIRECTOR'S CREATIVE CONTROL AND VISION. FOR INSTANCE, IF YOU ARE ANALYZING ALFRED HITCHCOCK'S SIGNATURE STYLE, YOU WOULD CITE HIS FILMS WITH HIM AS THE PRIMARY CREDITED INDIVIDUAL, AKIN TO CITING AN AUTHOR OF A BOOK. THIS METHOD EMPHASIZES THE FILM AS A PRODUCT OF THE DIRECTOR'S ARTISTIC INTENT AND EXECUTION.

THE PRINCIPLE OF AUTHORIAL ATTRIBUTION

THE PRINCIPLE OF AUTHORIAL ATTRIBUTION IN CHICAGO STYLE DICTATES THAT THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY MOST RESPONSIBLE FOR THE INTELLECTUAL OR CREATIVE CONTENT OF A WORK SHOULD BE CREDITED AS THE AUTHOR. FOR FILMS, THIS TYPICALLY FALLS TO THE DIRECTOR, ESPECIALLY WHEN THE RESEARCH FOCUSES ON THEIR DIRECTORIAL CHOICES, THEMATIC PREOCCUPATIONS, OR STYLISTIC INNOVATIONS. THIS PERSPECTIVE VIEWS THE FILM AS A SINGULAR CREATIVE VISION BROUGHT TO LIFE, MAKING THE DIRECTOR THE CENTRAL FIGURE FOR CITATION PURPOSES.

FORMATTING DIRECTOR INFORMATION IN CHICAGO STYLE

THE PRECISE FORMATTING FOR CITING A DIRECTOR IN CHICAGO STYLE IS SPECIFIC AND REQUIRES ATTENTION TO DETAIL. THE DIRECTOR'S NAME IS TYPICALLY LISTED FIRST, FOLLOWED BY A DESCRIPTOR INDICATING THEIR ROLE. THIS IS THEN FOLLOWED BY THE FILM'S TITLE, PRODUCTION COMPANY, AND RELEASE YEAR. ADHERING TO THESE CONVENTIONS ENSURES THAT YOUR CITATIONS ARE CONSISTENT AND CONFORM TO ACADEMIC STANDARDS.

STANDARD FORMAT FOR A SINGLE DIRECTOR

FOR A FILM WITH A SINGLE DIRECTOR, THE STANDARD CHICAGO STYLE CITATION FORMAT IN A BIBLIOGRAPHY OR WORKS CITED LIST USUALLY FOLLOWS THIS PATTERN: DIRECTOR'S LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, DIRECTOR. FILM TITLE. PRODUCTION COMPANY, YEAR. FOR EXAMPLE, A CITATION FOR "THE GODFATHER" MIGHT APPEAR AS COPPOLA, FRANCIS FORD, DIRECTOR. THE GODFATHER. PARAMOUNT PICTURES, 1972. THIS STRUCTURE CLEARLY IDENTIFIES THE DIRECTOR AS THE PRIMARY CREATIVE AGENT AND PROVIDES ALL NECESSARY INFORMATION FOR LOCATING THE FILM.

IN-TEXT CITATIONS FOR DIRECTORS

IN-TEXT CITATIONS IN CHICAGO STYLE ARE DESIGNED TO BE CONCISE AND DIRECT READERS TO THE FULL CITATION IN THE

BIBLIOGRAPHY. WHEN CITING A FILM DIRECTED BY A SPECIFIC INDIVIDUAL, THE IN-TEXT CITATION TYPICALLY INCLUDES THE DIRECTOR'S LAST NAME AND THE FILM'S TITLE, OR JUST THE DIRECTOR'S LAST NAME IF THE CONTEXT IS CLEAR, ALONG WITH A PAGE NUMBER IF YOU ARE REFERRING TO A SPECIFIC POINT IN A TRANSCRIPT OR ACCOMPANYING MATERIAL. FOR EXAMPLE, (COPPOLA, *THE GODFATHER*) OR SIMPLY (COPPOLA) IF THE FILM HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED. THIS ALLOWS FOR QUICK VERIFICATION WITHOUT INTERRUPTING THE FLOW OF YOUR PROSE.

HANDLING CO-DIRECTORS AND MULTIPLE DIRECTORS

FILMS ARE OFTEN COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS, AND SOMETIMES TWO OR MORE INDIVIDUALS SHARE DIRECTORIAL CREDIT. CHICAGO STYLE OFFERS A METHOD FOR CITING THESE SITUATIONS ACCURATELY, ENSURING THAT ALL CREDITED DIRECTORS ARE ACKNOWLEDGED. THE APPROACH MAY VARY SLIGHTLY DEPENDING ON WHETHER THE DIRECTORS ARE LISTED TOGETHER ON THE FILM'S CREDITS OR IF THEIR CONTRIBUTIONS ARE MORE DISTINCT.

CITING FILMS WITH CO-DIRECTED CREDIT

WHEN A FILM IS OFFICIALLY CREDITED TO CO-DIRECTORS, BOTH DIRECTORS ARE TYPICALLY LISTED IN THE CITATION. THE FORMAT USUALLY INVOLVES LISTING THE FIRST DIRECTOR, FOLLOWED BY "AND," THEN THE SECOND DIRECTOR. FOR EXAMPLE: WACHOWSKI, LANA, AND LILLY WACHOWSKI, DIRECTORS. *THE MATRIX*. WARNER BROS. PICTURES, 1999. THIS APPROACH RESPECTS THE SHARED CREATIVE RESPONSIBILITY AND ACCURATELY REFLECTS THE FILM'S PRODUCTION CREDITS. THE NAMES ARE PRESENTED IN THE ORDER THEY APPEAR ON THE FILM'S OFFICIAL CREDITS.

CITING FILMS WITH MULTIPLE DIRECTORS IN A SERIES

FOR DOCUMENTARY SERIES OR ANTHOLOGY FILMS WHERE MULTIPLE DIRECTORS HAVE HELMED INDIVIDUAL SEGMENTS OR EPISODES, THE CITATION METHOD MAY SHIFT TO FOCUS ON THE OVERALL SERIES OR ANTHOLOGY. HOWEVER, IF YOUR RESEARCH SPECIFICALLY TARGETS THE WORK OF INDIVIDUAL DIRECTORS WITHIN SUCH A PROJECT, YOU MIGHT CITE EACH SEGMENT SEPARATELY, CREDITING ITS RESPECTIVE DIRECTOR. THE SPECIFIC APPROACH DEPENDS HEAVILY ON THE FOCUS OF YOUR RESEARCH AND THE WAY THE FILM OR SERIES IS PRESENTED IN ITS SOURCE MATERIALS.

WHEN DIRECTORS ARE NOT THE PRIMARY FOCUS

IN SOME RESEARCH SCENARIOS, THE DIRECTOR MIGHT NOT BE THE CENTRAL FIGURE OF INQUIRY. FOR EXAMPLE, YOUR RESEARCH MIGHT FOCUS ON THE SCREENPLAY, THE LEAD ACTOR'S PERFORMANCE, OR THE FILM'S HISTORICAL CONTEXT. IN SUCH CASES, CHICAGO STYLE ALLOWS FOR FLEXIBILITY IN HOW YOU CITE THE FILM, WITH THE DIRECTOR'S ROLE BEING LESS PROMINENT OR EVEN OMITTED IF IRRELEVANT TO YOUR SPECIFIC ARGUMENT.

FOCUSING ON SCREENWRITERS OR PRODUCERS

IF YOUR RESEARCH PRIORITIZES THE SCREENPLAY, YOU WOULD CITE THE SCREENWRITER AS THE AUTHOR. THE FORMAT WOULD THEN REFLECT THIS PRIMARY CONTRIBUTOR: SCREENWRITER'S LAST NAME, FIRST NAME. FILM TITLE. DIRECTED BY [DIRECTOR'S NAME]. PRODUCTION COMPANY, YEAR. SIMILARLY, IF THE PRODUCERS ARE THE CENTRAL FOCUS, THEIR NAMES WOULD BE HIGHLIGHTED. THE KEY IS TO ALIGN THE CITATION WITH THE ELEMENT OF THE FILM YOU ARE CRITICALLY EXAMINING. THIS ENSURES YOUR CITATIONS DIRECTLY SUPPORT YOUR RESEARCH'S EMPHASIS.

CITING FILMS AS CULTURAL ARTIFACTS

WHEN TREATING A FILM PRIMARILY AS A CULTURAL ARTIFACT OR HISTORICAL DOCUMENT, THE FOCUS MIGHT SHIFT AWAY FROM INDIVIDUAL AUTHORSHIP. IN THESE INSTANCES, THE CITATION MIGHT EMPHASIZE THE TITLE AND RELEASE INFORMATION, WITH LESS

EMPHASIS ON SPECIFIC CREATIVE PERSONNEL UNLESS THEIR ROLES ARE INTEGRAL TO THE HISTORICAL OR CULTURAL ANALYSIS. THE GOAL IS TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT DATA FOR IDENTIFICATION AND CONTEXTUALIZATION WITHIN YOUR BROADER RESEARCH AIMS.

CITING FILMS FOR DIFFERENT PURPOSES

THE PURPOSE OF YOUR RESEARCH CAN INFLUENCE THE CITATION FORMAT. WHETHER YOU ARE WRITING A LITERARY ANALYSIS, A HISTORICAL ACCOUNT, OR A CRITICAL REVIEW, THE CHICAGO STYLE PROVIDES THE NECESSARY TOOLS TO CITE FILMS APPROPRIATELY. THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLE REMAINS TO BE CLEAR, CONSISTENT, AND INFORMATIVE FOR YOUR READER.

ACADEMIC PAPERS AND THESES

IN ACADEMIC PAPERS, THESES, AND DISSERTATIONS, ADHERING TO THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE FOR FILM CITATIONS IS CRUCIAL FOR MAINTAINING ACADEMIC INTEGRITY. THIS INCLUDES BOTH BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRIES AND IN-TEXT CITATIONS. THE EMPHASIS IS TYPICALLY ON THE DIRECTOR AS THE PRIMARY CREATIVE FORCE UNLESS THE RESEARCH EXPLICITLY FOCUSES ON OTHER ASPECTS OF FILMMAKING. CONSISTENCY IN APPLYING THE CHOSEN CITATION METHOD THROUGHOUT THE DOCUMENT IS PARAMOUNT.

PRESENTATIONS AND SHORTER WORKS

FOR PRESENTATIONS OR SHORTER SCHOLARLY WORKS, THE CITATION FORMAT MIGHT BE SLIGHTLY MORE CONDENSED, BUT THE CORE PRINCIPLES OF IDENTIFYING THE FILM AND ITS DIRECTOR REMAIN. THE GOAL IS TO PROVIDE ENOUGH INFORMATION FOR THE AUDIENCE TO UNDERSTAND WHICH FILM IS BEING DISCUSSED AND WHO WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR ITS DIRECTION, WITHOUT OVERWHELMING THEM WITH OVERLY DETAILED CITATIONS. CLARITY AND CONCISENESS ARE KEY IN THESE CONTEXTS.

COMMON PITFALLS IN CHICAGO STYLE FILM CITATION

NAVIGATING CITATION STYLES CAN BE CHALLENGING, AND ERRORS IN CHICAGO-STYLE FILM CITATIONS ARE NOT UNCOMMON. AWARENESS OF THESE POTENTIAL PITFALLS CAN HELP RESEARCHERS AVOID THEM AND ENSURE ACCURATE REFERENCING. THESE OFTEN STEM FROM MISINTERPRETING THE AUTHORIAL ROLE OR OVERLOOKING SPECIFIC FORMATTING REQUIREMENTS.

INCONSISTENT FORMATTING

ONE OF THE MOST FREQUENT ERRORS IS INCONSISTENT FORMATTING ACROSS DIFFERENT FILM CITATIONS WITHIN THE SAME DOCUMENT. THIS CAN INCLUDE VARIATIONS IN THE PUNCTUATION, CAPITALIZATION, OR ORDER OF ELEMENTS. MAINTAINING A UNIFORM STYLE THROUGHOUT YOUR BIBLIOGRAPHY AND IN-TEXT CITATIONS IS ESSENTIAL FOR A PROFESSIONAL PRESENTATION. DOUBLE-CHECKING EACH CITATION AGAINST THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE GUIDELINES WILL MITIGATE THIS ISSUE.

MISATTRIBUTING AUTHORSHIP

ANOTHER COMMON MISTAKE IS MISATTRIBUTING AUTHORSHIP, PARTICULARLY WHEN DEALING WITH FILMS THAT HAVE MULTIPLE CREATIVE LEADS. IF THE RESEARCH FOCUS IS ON THE DIRECTOR, AND ANOTHER CONTRIBUTOR IS MISTAKENLY LISTED AS THE PRIMARY AUTHOR, IT CAN LEAD TO MISREPRESENTATION. IT IS VITAL TO CAREFULLY DETERMINE THE PRIMARY AUTHOR BASED ON YOUR RESEARCH FOCUS AND THE FILM'S CREDITING.

IGNORING SPECIFIC EDITION OR VERSION INFORMATION

FOR CERTAIN FILMS, ESPECIALLY THOSE WITH DIRECTOR'S CUTS OR DIFFERENT RELEASE VERSIONS, FAILING TO NOTE THE SPECIFIC EDITION BEING CITED CAN LEAD TO AMBIGUITY. WHILE THE CHICAGO STYLE MIGHT NOT ALWAYS DEMAND THIS LEVEL OF DETAIL FOR EVERY FILM, IT IS CRUCIAL IF THE DISTINCTION IS RELEVANT TO YOUR ANALYSIS. ALWAYS ENSURE YOU ARE REFERENCING THE SPECIFIC VERSION OF THE FILM THAT YOUR RESEARCH IS BASED UPON.

EXAMPLES OF CHICAGO STYLE FILM CITATIONS WITH DIRECTORS

CONCRETE EXAMPLES ARE INVALUABLE FOR UNDERSTANDING AND APPLYING CITATION RULES. HERE ARE A FEW SCENARIOS DEMONSTRATING HOW TO CITE FILMS WITH DIRECTORS IN CHICAGO STYLE, COVERING SINGLE DIRECTORS, CO-DIRECTORS, AND INSTANCES WHERE THE DIRECTOR IS NOT THE SOLE FOCUS. THESE EXAMPLES SHOULD SERVE AS PRACTICAL GUIDES FOR YOUR OWN RESEARCH.

SINGLE DIRECTOR CITATION EXAMPLE

BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRY:

- NOLAN, CHRISTOPHER, DIRECTOR. *INCEPTION*. WARNER BROS. PICTURES, 2010.

IN-TEXT CITATION:

(NOLAN, *INCEPTION*)

CO-DIRECTOR CITATION EXAMPLE

BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRY:

- COEN, JOEL, AND ETHAN COEN, DIRECTORS. *NO COUNTRY FOR OLD MEN*. MIRAMAX FILMS, 2007.

IN-TEXT CITATION:

(COEN AND COEN, *NO COUNTRY FOR OLD MEN*)

DIRECTOR CITED AFTER OTHER CONTRIBUTORS

BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRY:

- WILLIAMS, JOHN, COMPOSER. *STAR WARS*. DIRECTED BY GEORGE LUCAS. 20TH CENTURY FOX, 1977.

IN-TEXT CITATION:

WHEN FOCUSING ON THE COMPOSER, THE IN-TEXT CITATION MIGHT BE (WILLIAMS), WITH THE FILM IDENTIFIED IN THE SURROUNDING TEXT.

CONCLUSION

MASTERING THE ART OF CITING DIRECTORS IN FILMS USING THE CHICAGO STYLE IS AN INTEGRAL PART OF CONDUCTING RIGOROUS

AND CREDIBLE FILM RESEARCH. BY UNDERSTANDING THE ROLE OF THE DIRECTOR, THE FOUNDATIONAL PRINCIPLES OF CHICAGO-STYLE CITATION FOR AUDIOVISUAL MATERIALS, AND THE SPECIFIC FORMATTING REQUIREMENTS, RESEARCHERS CAN EFFECTIVELY ATTRIBUTE CREATIVE WORK. WHETHER DEALING WITH SINGLE DIRECTORS, CO-DIRECTORS, OR SHIFTING THE FOCUS TO OTHER FILM CONTRIBUTORS, CHICAGO STYLE OFFERS A ROBUST AND ADAPTABLE FRAMEWORK. DILIGENCE IN APPLYING THESE GUIDELINES, ALONGSIDE A CAREFUL CONSIDERATION OF YOUR RESEARCH'S SPECIFIC NEEDS, WILL ENSURE YOUR ACADEMIC WORK IS BOTH PRECISE AND PROFESSIONALLY PRESENTED.

FAQ

Q: HOW DO I CITE A DOCUMENTARY WHERE THE DIRECTOR IS ALSO THE NARRATOR AND PRIMARY SUBJECT?

A: IN SUCH CASES, THE DIRECTOR IS CLEARLY THE CENTRAL FIGURE. YOU WOULD CITE THEM AS THE DIRECTOR, AND THEIR PROMINENT ROLE AS NARRATOR OR SUBJECT CAN BE NOTED IN THE DESCRIPTION OR IN YOUR ANALYSIS, BUT THE PRIMARY CITATION FORMAT REMAINS: DIRECTOR'S LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, DIRECTOR. DOCUMENTARY TITLE. PRODUCTION COMPANY, YEAR.

Q: WHAT IF A FILM HAS A CREDITED WRITER-DIRECTOR? SHOULD I CITE THEM AS A WRITER OR DIRECTOR?

A: IF THE FILM'S CREDITS LIST THE INDIVIDUAL AS "DIRECTOR" OR "WRITER-DIRECTOR," YOU SHOULD CITE THEM PRIMARILY AS THE DIRECTOR, AS THAT ROLE OFTEN ENCOMPASSES THE OVERARCHING CREATIVE VISION. IF YOUR RESEARCH SPECIFICALLY FOCUSES ON THEIR SCREENWRITING CONTRIBUTION, YOU MAY CITE THEM AS THE SCREENWRITER, BUT ENSURE CLARITY BY ALSO MENTIONING THEIR DIRECTORIAL ROLE, FOR EXAMPLE: WRITER-DIRECTOR'S LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, WRITER AND DIRECTOR. FILM TITLE. PRODUCTION COMPANY, YEAR.

Q: HOW DO I CITE A FILM THAT IS PART OF A LARGER SERIES OR ANTHOLOGY WITH DIFFERENT DIRECTORS FOR EACH INSTALLMENT?

A: FOR CITING AN INDIVIDUAL INSTALLMENT WITHIN A SERIES OR ANTHOLOGY, YOU WOULD CITE THE SPECIFIC EPISODE OR SEGMENT, CREDITING ITS DIRECTOR. FOR EXAMPLE: DIRECTOR'S LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, DIRECTOR. "EPISODE TITLE." SERIES TITLE, DIRECTED BY [SERIES DIRECTORS IF APPLICABLE]. PRODUCTION COMPANY, YEAR. IF YOU ARE CITING THE ENTIRE SERIES, YOU WOULD TYPICALLY CITE THE SERIES CREATOR OR PRODUCER AS THE AUTHOR.

Q: IS IT NECESSARY TO INCLUDE THE PRODUCTION COMPANY IN EVERY CHICAGO-STYLE FILM CITATION?

A: YES, INCLUDING THE PRODUCTION COMPANY OR DISTRIBUTOR IS A STANDARD AND RECOMMENDED ELEMENT IN CHICAGO-STYLE FILM CITATIONS. IT PROVIDES CRUCIAL INFORMATION FOR IDENTIFYING THE SPECIFIC VERSION OF THE FILM AND CAN BE IMPORTANT FOR HISTORICAL OR CONTEXTUAL RESEARCH.

Q: CAN I CITE A FILM FROM A STREAMING SERVICE USING CHICAGO STYLE?

A: YES, YOU CAN CITE FILMS FROM STREAMING SERVICES. THE CITATION SHOULD INCLUDE THE DIRECTOR, FILM TITLE, STREAMING SERVICE, AND THE DATE YOU ACCESSED THE FILM. FOR EXAMPLE: DIRECTOR'S LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, DIRECTOR. FILM TITLE. STREAMING SERVICE, YEAR OF RELEASE. ACCESSED MONTH DAY, YEAR. FOR EXAMPLE: NOLAN, CHRISTOPHER, DIRECTOR. THE DARK KNIGHT. HBO Max, 2008. ACCESSED OCTOBER 26, 2023.

Q: WHAT IF A FILM HAS A VERY LONG LIST OF DIRECTORS FOR A DOCUMENTARY OR EXPERIMENTAL FILM?

A: FOR FILMS WITH AN EXTENSIVE LIST OF DIRECTORS, CHICAGO STYLE SUGGESTS LISTING THE FIRST DIRECTOR FOLLOWED BY "ET AL." IF THERE ARE MORE THAN THREE DIRECTORS, UNLESS YOUR RESEARCH SPECIFICALLY FOCUSES ON THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF MULTIPLE INDIVIDUALS. FOR EXAMPLE: DIRECTOR A, DIRECTOR B, AND DIRECTOR C, DIRECTORS. FILM TITLE. PRODUCTION COMPANY, YEAR. OR, DIRECTOR A, ET AL., DIRECTORS. FILM TITLE. PRODUCTION COMPANY, YEAR.

Q: SHOULD I ITALICIZE THE FILM TITLE IN CHICAGO STYLE WHEN CITING THE DIRECTOR?

A: YES, FILM TITLES SHOULD ALWAYS BE ITALICIZED IN CHICAGO STYLE, WHETHER IN THE BIBLIOGRAPHY OR IN-TEXT CITATIONS, TO DISTINGUISH THEM AS CREATIVE WORKS.

[Citing Directors In Films Chicago Style Research](#)

Citing Directors In Films Chicago Style Research

Related Articles

- [chronic disease research us policy implications](#)
- [circular economy organic chemistry](#)
- [citizen duties and responsibilities for americans today](#)

[Back to Home](#)