

CHICAGO STYLE INDIRECT QUOTING GUIDELINES

CHICAGO STYLE INDIRECT QUOTING GUIDELINES: A COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE

CHICAGO STYLE INDIRECT QUOTING GUIDELINES ARE ESSENTIAL FOR ACADEMIC AND PROFESSIONAL WRITING, ENSURING PROPER ATTRIBUTION AND ACADEMIC INTEGRITY. THIS GUIDE DELVES DEEP INTO THE NUANCES OF PARAPHRASING AND SUMMARIZING WITHIN THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE (CMOS), OFFERING CLEAR EXPLANATIONS AND PRACTICAL EXAMPLES. WE WILL EXPLORE THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF INDIRECT CITATION, THE SPECIFIC FORMATTING REQUIREMENTS FOR NOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHIES, AND COMMON PITFALLS TO AVOID. MASTERING THESE CHICAGO STYLE INDIRECT QUOTING GUIDELINES WILL ENHANCE YOUR WRITING'S CREDIBILITY AND ENSURE YOUR WORK ADHERES TO SCHOLARLY STANDARDS. THIS ARTICLE AIMS TO DEMYSTIFY THE PROCESS, PROVIDING A ROBUST FRAMEWORK FOR CITING SOURCES INDIRECTLY IN YOUR RESEARCH PAPERS, ESSAYS, AND OTHER ACADEMIC ENDEAVORS.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

UNDERSTANDING INDIRECT QUOTATIONS IN CHICAGO STYLE
THE PURPOSE AND IMPORTANCE OF INDIRECT QUOTING
WHEN TO USE INDIRECT QUOTES
KEY COMPONENTS OF AN INDIRECT CITATION
FORMATting CHICAGO STYLE INDIRECT QUOTES IN NOTES
AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM VS. NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM
INTEGRATING INDIRECT QUOTES INTO YOUR TEXT
PARAPHRASING EFFECTIVELY ACCORDING TO CHICAGO STYLE
SUMMARIZING SOURCES IN CHICAGO STYLE
COMMON MISTAKES IN CHICAGO STYLE INDIRECT QUOTING
MAINTAINING ACADEMIC INTEGRITY WITH INDIRECT CITATIONS
ADVANCED CHICAGO STYLE INDIRECT QUOTING SCENARIOS
INDIRECT QUOTING FROM DIGITAL AND ONLINE SOURCES
CITING INDIRECT SOURCES (SECONDHAND SOURCES)

UNDERSTANDING INDIRECT QUOTATIONS IN CHICAGO STYLE

INDIRECT QUOTATIONS, OFTEN REFERRED TO AS PARAPHRASES OR SUMMARIES, INVOLVE RESTATING THE IDEAS OR INFORMATION FROM A SOURCE IN YOUR OWN WORDS. UNLIKE DIRECT QUOTATIONS, WHICH REPLICATE THE EXACT WORDING OF THE ORIGINAL TEXT, INDIRECT QUOTATIONS REQUIRE YOU TO SYNTHESIZE AND REPHRASE THE MATERIAL. IN CHICAGO STYLE, THE ACCURATE REPRESENTATION OF THE ORIGINAL SOURCE'S MEANING IS PARAMOUNT, ALONGSIDE CLEAR ATTRIBUTION TO THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR. THIS DISTINCTION IS CRUCIAL FOR AVOIDING PLAGIARISM AND FOR DEMONSTRATING YOUR COMPREHENSION OF THE SOURCE MATERIAL.

THE PURPOSE AND IMPORTANCE OF INDIRECT QUOTING

THE PRIMARY PURPOSE OF INDIRECT QUOTING IS TO INTEGRATE SOURCE MATERIAL SEAMLESSLY INTO YOUR OWN WRITING WHILE GIVING CREDIT TO THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR. IT ALLOWS YOU TO PRESENT COMPLEX IDEAS CONCISELY, SUPPORT YOUR ARGUMENTS WITH EVIDENCE, AND MAINTAIN A SMOOTH NARRATIVE FLOW. BY PARAPHRASING, YOU DEMONSTRATE YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE MATERIAL AND CAN TAILOR IT TO FIT THE SPECIFIC CONTEXT AND VOICE OF YOUR OWN WORK. PROPER INDIRECT QUOTING IS A CORNERSTONE OF SCHOLARLY COMMUNICATION, FOSTERING TRANSPARENCY AND ALLOWING READERS TO VERIFY YOUR INFORMATION.

WHEN TO USE INDIRECT QUOTES

INDIRECT QUOTES ARE MOST EFFECTIVE WHEN YOU WANT TO EXPLAIN A CONCEPT FROM A SOURCE IN SIMPLER TERMS, SUMMARIZE A LENGTHY PASSAGE, OR USE AN AUTHOR'S IDEA WITHOUT NEEDING TO PRESERVE THEIR EXACT PHRASING. THEY ARE ALSO USEFUL WHEN THE ORIGINAL WORDING IS UNNECESSARILY COMPLEX OR DOES NOT FIT THE STYLE OF YOUR PAPER.

GENERALLY, WHEN THE PRECISE WORDING IS NOT CRITICAL BUT THE IDEA OR INFORMATION IS, AN INDIRECT QUOTE IS THE PREFERRED METHOD. HOWEVER, IF THE ORIGINAL WORDING IS PARTICULARLY STRIKING, INFLUENTIAL, OR UNIQUELY PHRASED, A DIRECT QUOTATION MIGHT BE MORE APPROPRIATE.

KEY COMPONENTS OF AN INDIRECT CITATION

REGARDLESS OF WHETHER YOU ARE PARAPHRASING OR SUMMARIZING, AN INDIRECT CITATION IN CHICAGO STYLE REQUIRES TWO ESSENTIAL COMPONENTS: THE IN-TEXT CITATION AND THE FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC ENTRY. THE IN-TEXT CITATION, TYPICALLY A FOOTNOTE OR ENDNOTE IN THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM, OR A PARENTHETICAL REFERENCE IN THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, DIRECTS THE READER TO THE SOURCE. THE BIBLIOGRAPHIC ENTRY, FOUND AT THE END OF YOUR PAPER, PROVIDES ALL THE NECESSARY PUBLICATION DETAILS FOR THE READER TO LOCATE THE ORIGINAL SOURCE. OMITTING EITHER OF THESE COMPONENTS CONSTITUTES PLAGIARISM.

FORMATTING CHICAGO STYLE INDIRECT QUOTES IN NOTES

CHICAGO STYLE OFFERS TWO PRIMARY CITATION SYSTEMS: THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM AND THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM. THE GUIDELINES FOR INDIRECT QUOTING DIFFER SLIGHTLY BETWEEN THEM, PARTICULARLY IN THEIR IN-TEXT PRESENTATION. HOWEVER, BOTH SYSTEMS REQUIRE METICULOUS ATTENTION TO DETAIL TO ENSURE ACCURATE AND COMPLETE ATTRIBUTION.

AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM VS. NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM

IN THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM, INDIRECT QUOTATIONS ARE CITED USING FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES. THE FIRST NOTE FOR A GIVEN SOURCE TYPICALLY INCLUDES FULL PUBLICATION DETAILS, WHILE SUBSEQUENT NOTES CAN BE SHORTENED. FOR EXAMPLE, A PARAPHRASE MIGHT BE FOLLOWED BY A SUPERScript NUMBER LEADING TO A NOTE LIKE: "FOUCAULT, DISCIPLINE AND PUNISH, 178." IN CONTRAST, THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM USES PARENTHETICAL IN-TEXT CITATIONS, WHICH INCLUDE THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME AND THE YEAR OF PUBLICATION, AND SOMETIMES THE PAGE NUMBER. A TYPICAL AUTHOR-DATE CITATION FOR AN INDIRECT QUOTE WOULD APPEAR AS: (FOUCAULT 1977, 178).

INTEGRATING INDIRECT QUOTES INTO YOUR TEXT

WHEN INTEGRATING AN INDIRECT QUOTATION, IT IS CRUCIAL TO INTRODUCE THE PARAPHRASED OR SUMMARIZED MATERIAL SMOOTHLY. USE SIGNAL PHRASES TO INDICATE THAT YOU ARE REFERENCING A SOURCE, SUCH AS "ACCORDING TO SMITH..." OR "AS JONES ARGUES..." THIS HELPS YOUR READER UNDERSTAND THAT THE IDEA ORIGINATES FROM ELSEWHERE. THE CITATION SHOULD FOLLOW IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE BORROWED INFORMATION. THE GOAL IS TO BLEND THE SOURCE MATERIAL WITH YOUR OWN PROSE WITHOUT JARRING THE READER, ENSURING THAT THE ATTRIBUTION IS CLEAR AND UNAMBIGUOUS.

PARAPHRASING EFFECTIVELY ACCORDING TO CHICAGO STYLE

PARAPHRASING INVOLVES MORE THAN JUST CHANGING A FEW WORDS; IT REQUIRES A DEEP UNDERSTANDING OF THE SOURCE MATERIAL AND THE ABILITY TO RE-EXPRESS ITS CORE IDEAS IN YOUR OWN DISTINCT VOICE AND SENTENCE STRUCTURE. CHICAGO STYLE EMPHASIZES THAT A PARAPHRASE SHOULD ACCURATELY REFLECT THE ORIGINAL MEANING WITHOUT DISTORTION.

TECHNIQUES FOR SUCCESSFUL PARAPHRASING

TO PARAPHRASE EFFECTIVELY, FIRST, READ THE PASSAGE CAREFULLY UNTIL YOU FULLY GRASP ITS MEANING. THEN, SET THE ORIGINAL TEXT ASIDE AND WRITE THE IDEA IN YOUR OWN WORDS, FOCUSING ON CONVEYING THE ESSENCE OF THE AUTHOR'S ARGUMENT. COMPARE YOUR PARAPHRASE WITH THE ORIGINAL TO ENSURE ACCURACY IN MEANING AND TO AVOID INADVERTENTLY USING TOO MUCH OF THE ORIGINAL PHRASING. PAY CLOSE ATTENTION TO SENTENCE STRUCTURE AND VOCABULARY; AIM FOR

SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES FROM THE ORIGINAL TEXT.

- READ THE ORIGINAL TEXT MULTIPLE TIMES.
- UNDERSTAND THE MAIN POINT AND SUPPORTING DETAILS.
- REWRITE THE IDEA USING YOUR OWN VOCABULARY AND SENTENCE STRUCTURE.
- CHECK FOR ACCURACY AGAINST THE ORIGINAL.
- ENSURE NO MORE THAN 10% OF THE ORIGINAL WORDING IS RETAINED (AND IF SO, IT SHOULD BE QUOTED AND CITED).

ATTRIBUTING PARAPHRASED MATERIAL

EVERY PARAPHRASE MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY A CITATION. IN THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM, THIS MEANS A FOOTNOTE OR ENDNOTE. IN THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, IT'S A PARENTHETICAL CITATION. THE CITATION SHOULD POINT TO THE SPECIFIC PAGE OR PAGES FROM WHICH THE INFORMATION WAS DRAWN, IF APPLICABLE. EVEN IF YOU ARE PARAPHRASING AN ENTIRE CONCEPT OR CHAPTER, REFERENCING THE RELEVANT SECTION OR THE ENTIRE WORK IN YOUR CITATION IS NECESSARY TO FULFILL CHICAGO STYLE REQUIREMENTS.

SUMMARIZING SOURCES IN CHICAGO STYLE

SUMMARIZING IS AKIN TO PARAPHRASING BUT TYPICALLY INVOLVES CONDENSING A LARGER PORTION OF TEXT, SUCH AS A SECTION, CHAPTER, OR EVEN AN ENTIRE BOOK, INTO A SHORTER OVERVIEW. LIKE PARAPHRASING, SUMMARIZING REQUIRES YOU TO EXPRESS THE AUTHOR'S IDEAS IN YOUR OWN WORDS AND TO PROVIDE PROPER ATTRIBUTION.

CONDENSING INFORMATION ACCURATELY

WHEN SUMMARIZING, FOCUS ON THE MAIN ARGUMENTS, KEY FINDINGS, AND OVERALL THESIS OF THE SOURCE. OMIT MINOR DETAILS, EXAMPLES, OR ELABORATIONS THAT DO NOT CONTRIBUTE TO THE OVERARCHING MESSAGE. THE SUMMARY SHOULD BE A CONCISE REPRESENTATION OF THE ORIGINAL WORK'S SUBSTANCE. ACCURACY IS PARAMOUNT; ENSURE YOUR SUMMARY DOES NOT MISREPRESENT THE AUTHOR'S INTENTIONS OR CONCLUSIONS. IT'S CRUCIAL TO CONVEY THE CORE IDEAS FAITHFULLY.

CITATION PRACTICES FOR SUMMARIES

SIMILAR TO PARAPHRASES, SUMMARIES NECESSITATE CLEAR CITATIONS. WHETHER USING NOTES OR PARENTHETICAL REFERENCES, YOU MUST INDICATE THE SOURCE OF THE SUMMARIZED INFORMATION. FOR SUMMARIES OF EXTENSIVE WORKS, IT MAY BE SUFFICIENT TO CITE THE WORK GENERALLY IN YOUR NOTE OR PARENTHETICAL REFERENCE, OR TO INDICATE THE SPECIFIC PAGES OR SECTIONS THAT CONTAIN THE IDEAS YOU ARE SUMMARIZING. ALWAYS REFER TO THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE FOR THE MOST PRECISE GUIDANCE ON CITING LENGTHY PASSAGES OR ENTIRE WORKS.

COMMON MISTAKES IN CHICAGO STYLE INDIRECT QUOTING

DESPITE CAREFUL ATTENTION, WRITERS SOMETIMES MAKE ERRORS WHEN USING INDIRECT QUOTES IN CHICAGO STYLE. RECOGNIZING THESE COMMON PITFALLS CAN HELP PREVENT THEM AND ENSURE SCHOLARLY RIGOR IN YOUR WORK.

INSUFFICIENT PARAPHRASING

ONE FREQUENT MISTAKE IS INSUFFICIENT PARAPHRASING, OFTEN CALLED PATCHWRITING. THIS OCCURS WHEN A WRITER CHANGES ONLY A FEW WORDS OR REARRANGES THE SENTENCE STRUCTURE SLIGHTLY WHILE RETAINING THE ORIGINAL PHRASING AND SENTENCE PATTERNS. THIS IS STILL CONSIDERED PLAGIARISM. A TRUE PARAPHRASE INVOLVES A SUBSTANTIAL REWRITING OF THE SOURCE MATERIAL IN THE WRITER'S OWN WORDS AND STYLE.

INACCURATE REPRESENTATION OF IDEAS

ANOTHER ERROR IS MISREPRESENTING THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR'S IDEAS. THIS CAN HAPPEN THROUGH MISINTERPRETATION, OVERSIMPLIFICATION, OR TAKING IDEAS OUT OF CONTEXT. IT IS VITAL TO ENSURE THAT YOUR PARAPHRASE OR SUMMARY ACCURATELY REFLECTS THE MEANING AND INTENT OF THE ORIGINAL SOURCE. ALWAYS RE-READ YOUR PARAPHRASE AGAINST THE ORIGINAL TO CONFIRM FIDELITY.

MISSING OR INCOMPLETE CITATIONS

THE MOST CRITICAL ERROR IS FAILING TO CITE THE SOURCE ALTOGETHER OR PROVIDING INCOMPLETE CITATION INFORMATION. EVEN FOR INDIRECT QUOTES, ATTRIBUTION IS MANDATORY. IN THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM, THIS MEANS A FOOTNOTE OR ENDNOTE; IN THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, A PARENTHETICAL CITATION AND A FULL ENTRY IN THE BIBLIOGRAPHY. ENSURE ALL NECESSARY INFORMATION, INCLUDING PAGE NUMBERS WHERE RELEVANT, IS INCLUDED.

MAINTAINING ACADEMIC INTEGRITY WITH INDIRECT CITATIONS

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY IS THE BEDROCK OF SCHOLARLY WORK. PROPER INDIRECT CITATION IS NOT MERELY A TECHNICAL REQUIREMENT; IT IS A FUNDAMENTAL ETHICAL OBLIGATION THAT UPHOLDS HONESTY AND GIVES CREDIT WHERE IT IS DUE. BY ADHERING TO CHICAGO STYLE INDIRECT QUOTING GUIDELINES, YOU DEMONSTRATE RESPECT FOR THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF OTHERS AND CONTRIBUTE TO A TRUSTWORTHY ACADEMIC ENVIRONMENT.

THE ETHICAL IMPERATIVE OF ATTRIBUTION

EVERY IDEA, CONCEPT, OR PIECE OF INFORMATION THAT IS NOT YOUR OWN ORIGINAL THOUGHT MUST BE ATTRIBUTED. INDIRECT QUOTES ARE NO EXCEPTION. FAILING TO CITE PARAPHRASED OR SUMMARIZED MATERIAL IS A FORM OF PLAGIARISM, WHICH CAN HAVE SEVERE ACADEMIC CONSEQUENCES. USING INDIRECT QUOTES EFFECTIVELY INVOLVES NOT ONLY CORRECTLY FORMATTING YOUR CITATIONS BUT ALSO UNDERSTANDING THE ETHICAL IMPERATIVE BEHIND THEM: TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF OTHER SCHOLARS AND RESEARCHERS.

BUILDING CREDIBILITY THROUGH PROPER CITATION

WHEN YOU METICULOUSLY CITE YOUR SOURCES, INCLUDING YOUR INDIRECT QUOTES, YOU BUILD CREDIBILITY FOR YOUR OWN WORK. YOUR READERS CAN TRUST THAT YOUR ARGUMENTS ARE SUPPORTED BY EVIDENCE AND THAT YOU HAVE ENGAGED THOUGHTFULLY WITH EXISTING SCHOLARSHIP. PROPER CITATION PRACTICES ALSO ALLOW YOUR AUDIENCE TO EXPLORE THE ORIGINAL SOURCES THEMSELVES, FOSTERING A DEEPER ENGAGEMENT WITH THE SUBJECT MATTER. THIS TRANSPARENCY IS A HALLMARK OF SOUND ACADEMIC RESEARCH.

ADVANCED CHICAGO STYLE INDIRECT QUOTING SCENARIOS

WHILE THE CORE PRINCIPLES OF INDIRECT QUOTING ARE STRAIGHTFORWARD, CERTAIN SITUATIONS REQUIRE MORE NUANCED APPLICATION OF CHICAGO STYLE GUIDELINES. THESE OFTEN INVOLVE DIFFERENT TYPES OF SOURCES OR SPECIFIC CHALLENGES IN ATTRIBUTION.

INDIRECT QUOTING FROM DIGITAL AND ONLINE SOURCES

CITING DIGITAL AND ONLINE SOURCES IN CHICAGO STYLE REQUIRES CAREFUL ATTENTION TO THE DETAILS AVAILABLE. WHEN PARAPHRASING OR SUMMARIZING ONLINE CONTENT, YOU SHOULD STILL INCLUDE A CITATION IN YOUR NOTES OR PARENTHETICAL REFERENCE. FOR WEB PAGES WITHOUT PAGE NUMBERS, YOU MAY CITE THE SECTION OR PARAGRAPH NUMBER IF DISCERNIBLE, OR SIMPLY THE AUTHOR AND TITLE. THE BIBLIOGRAPHIC ENTRY WILL INCLUDE THE URL AND THE DATE OF ACCESS. ENSURE YOU CAPTURE ENOUGH IDENTIFYING INFORMATION TO ALLOW A READER TO LOCATE THE SPECIFIC CONTENT.

CITING INDIRECT SOURCES (SECONDHAND SOURCES)

CITING A SOURCE THAT YOU FOUND QUOTED IN ANOTHER SOURCE (A SECONDHAND SOURCE) IS GENERALLY DISCOURAGED IN CHICAGO STYLE. HOWEVER, IF IT IS ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY, YOU SHOULD CITE THE SOURCE YOU ACTUALLY CONSULTED AND INDICATE THAT YOU ARE REFERENCING THE ORIGINAL SOURCE INDIRECTLY. FOR EXAMPLE, IN A NOTE, YOU MIGHT WRITE: "QUOTED IN JOHN SMITH, *THE GREAT IDEAS* (CHICAGO: UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS, 2020), 45, ORIGINALLY PUBLISHED IN MARY JONES, *ORIGINAL THOUGHTS* (NEW YORK: PUBLISHER, 1990)." THIS CLEARLY SHOWS THAT YOU READ SMITH'S BOOK AND ARE REFERENCING JONES'S WORK AS IT APPEARS WITHIN SMITH'S PUBLICATION.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

Q: WHAT IS THE PRIMARY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A DIRECT QUOTE AND AN INDIRECT QUOTE IN CHICAGO STYLE?

A: A DIRECT QUOTE USES THE EXACT WORDING FROM THE ORIGINAL SOURCE, ENCLOSED IN QUOTATION MARKS, WHILE AN INDIRECT QUOTE (PARAPHRASE OR SUMMARY) RESTATES THE SOURCE'S IDEAS IN YOUR OWN WORDS AND SENTENCE STRUCTURE.

Q: DO I NEED TO INCLUDE PAGE NUMBERS FOR INDIRECT QUOTES IN CHICAGO STYLE?

A: YES, CHICAGO STYLE GENERALLY REQUIRES PAGE NUMBERS FOR INDIRECT QUOTES, ESPECIALLY IN THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM, TO PINPOINT THE EXACT LOCATION OF THE INFORMATION. IN THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, PAGE NUMBERS ARE ALSO OFTEN INCLUDED.

Q: CAN I JUST CHANGE A FEW WORDS IN A SENTENCE TO MAKE IT AN INDIRECT QUOTE?

A: NO, SIMPLY CHANGING A FEW WORDS OR THE ORDER OF WORDS IN A SENTENCE FROM THE ORIGINAL SOURCE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A PROPER PARAPHRASE AND CAN STILL BE CONSIDERED PLAGIARISM. A TRUE PARAPHRASE REQUIRES SIGNIFICANT REWRITING IN YOUR OWN WORDS AND SENTENCE STRUCTURE.

Q: HOW DO I CITE AN INDIRECT QUOTE IF THE SOURCE HAS NO AUTHOR?

A: IF A SOURCE HAS NO AUTHOR, YOU WOULD TYPICALLY USE THE TITLE OF THE WORK IN YOUR CITATION (SHORTENED TITLE FOR NOTES, FULL TITLE FOR THE BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRY).

Q: WHEN IS IT APPROPRIATE TO USE AN INDIRECT QUOTE VERSUS A DIRECT QUOTE?

A: INDIRECT QUOTES ARE SUITABLE FOR EXPLAINING CONCEPTS, SUMMARIZING LENGTHY PASSAGES, OR WHEN THE PRECISE WORDING ISN'T CRITICAL. DIRECT QUOTES ARE BEST WHEN THE ORIGINAL PHRASING IS IMPORTANT, PARTICULARLY IMPACTFUL, OR ESSENTIAL TO THE ARGUMENT.

Q: WHAT IS THE ROLE OF THE BIBLIOGRAPHY IN CHICAGO STYLE INDIRECT QUOTING?

A: THE BIBLIOGRAPHY (OR REFERENCE LIST IN THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM) PROVIDES THE COMPLETE PUBLICATION DETAILS FOR ALL SOURCES CITED IN YOUR WORK, ALLOWING READERS TO LOCATE THE ORIGINAL TEXTS. IT IS A CRUCIAL COMPONENT ALONGSIDE THE IN-TEXT CITATIONS OR NOTES.

Q: HOW SHOULD I HANDLE PARAPHRASING A VERY TECHNICAL OR SPECIALIZED TERM?

A: IF A SOURCE USES A SPECIALIZED TERM THAT IS ESSENTIAL TO THE CONCEPT, YOU MAY NEED TO USE THAT TERM IN YOUR PARAPHRASE. IN SUCH CASES, IT IS OFTEN GOOD PRACTICE TO DEFINE THE TERM OR PROVIDE CONTEXT, AND TO ENSURE YOUR CITATION IS PRECISE.

Q: CAN I SUMMARIZE AN ENTIRE BOOK FOR MY PAPER USING CHICAGO STYLE?

A: YES, YOU CAN SUMMARIZE AN ENTIRE BOOK. YOUR IN-TEXT CITATION OR NOTE WOULD THEN REFER TO THE ENTIRE WORK, AND YOUR BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRY WOULD LIST THE FULL DETAILS OF THE BOOK. THE SUMMARY ITSELF WOULD NEED TO BE A CONCISE OVERVIEW OF THE BOOK'S MAIN ARGUMENTS.

Chicago Style Indirect Quoting Guidelines

Chicago Style Indirect Quoting Guidelines

Related Articles

- [chicago style for teacher education programs](#)
- [chicago style journal formatting tips](#)
- [chicago style in-text citations for personal communication](#)

[Back to Home](#)