

CHICAGO STYLE FOR RESEARCHERS

CHICAGO STYLE FOR RESEARCHERS IS A CORNERSTONE OF ACADEMIC INTEGRITY AND CLEAR COMMUNICATION, PROVIDING A STANDARDIZED FRAMEWORK FOR CITING SOURCES AND PRESENTING SCHOLARLY WORK. NAVIGATING ITS INTRICACIES IS ESSENTIAL FOR ANYONE AIMING TO PUBLISH OR PRESENT RESEARCH IN FIELDS THAT COMMONLY ADOPT THIS CITATION METHOD, SUCH AS HISTORY, LITERATURE, AND THE ARTS. THIS COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE WILL DELVE INTO THE CORE COMPONENTS OF CHICAGO STYLE, EMPOWERING RESEARCHERS WITH THE KNOWLEDGE TO APPLY ITS PRINCIPLES EFFECTIVELY. WE WILL EXPLORE THE TWO PRIMARY SYSTEMS—NOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY, AND AUTHOR-DATE—DETAILING THEIR UNIQUE STRUCTURES AND APPLICATIONS. FURTHERMORE, THIS ARTICLE WILL COVER THE NUANCES OF IN-TEXT CITATIONS, COMPREHENSIVE BIBLIOGRAPHY CONSTRUCTION, AND COMMON FORMATTING REQUIREMENTS THAT ENSURE YOUR RESEARCH IS BOTH CREDIBLE AND ACCESSIBLE TO A SCHOLARLY AUDIENCE. MASTERING CHICAGO STYLE NOT ONLY LENDS AUTHORITY TO YOUR WORK BUT ALSO FACILITATES THE VERIFICATION OF YOUR SOURCES AND CONTRIBUTES TO THE BROADER ACADEMIC DISCOURSE.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

UNDERSTANDING CHICAGO STYLE: THE DUAL SYSTEMS

THE NOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM: DETAILED EXPLANATION

AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM: AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH

CRAFTING EFFECTIVE IN-TEXT CITATIONS IN CHICAGO STYLE

BUILDING A COMPREHENSIVE BIBLIOGRAPHY OR REFERENCE LIST

FORMATTING YOUR RESEARCH PAPER ACCORDING TO CHICAGO STYLE GUIDELINES

COMMON PITFALLS AND HOW TO AVOID THEM IN CHICAGO STYLE

CHICAGO STYLE FOR DIFFERENT SOURCE TYPES: A PRACTICAL GUIDE

UNDERSTANDING CHICAGO STYLE: THE DUAL SYSTEMS

THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE (CMOS) IS RENOWNED FOR ITS FLEXIBILITY, OFFERING TWO DISTINCT CITATION SYSTEMS TO ACCOMMODATE THE DIVERSE NEEDS OF ACADEMIC DISCIPLINES. RESEARCHERS MUST FIRST DETERMINE WHICH SYSTEM IS MOST APPROPRIATE FOR THEIR FIELD OR SPECIFIC PUBLICATION REQUIREMENTS. WHILE BOTH SYSTEMS AIM TO PROVIDE CLEAR ATTRIBUTION AND TRACEABILITY OF SOURCES, THEIR STRUCTURAL APPROACHES TO CITATIONS DIFFER SIGNIFICANTLY.

THE PRIMARY DISTINCTION LIES IN HOW SOURCES ARE REFERENCED WITHIN THE TEXT AND HOW THOSE REFERENCES ARE COMPILED AT THE END OF THE WORK. ONE SYSTEM PRIORITIZES DETAILED NOTES, WHILE THE OTHER RELIES ON CONCISE AUTHOR-DATE PARENTHESES. UNDERSTANDING THESE FUNDAMENTAL DIFFERENCES IS THE FIRST CRUCIAL STEP FOR ANY RESEARCHER ADOPTING CHICAGO STYLE.

THE NOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM: DETAILED EXPLANATION

THE NOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM IS WIDELY FAVORED IN THE HUMANITIES, PARTICULARLY IN FIELDS LIKE HISTORY, LITERATURE, AND ART HISTORY. THIS SYSTEM INVOLVES USING SUPERSCRIT NUMBERS WITHIN THE TEXT TO INDICATE A CITATION, CORRESPONDING TO NUMBERED NOTES (EITHER FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES) THAT APPEAR AT THE BOTTOM OF THE PAGE OR AT THE END OF THE DOCUMENT, RESPECTIVELY. THESE NOTES PROVIDE FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC INFORMATION FOR THE FIRST CITATION OF A SOURCE AND CAN INCLUDE ADDITIONAL COMMENTARY OR CROSS-REFERENCES. SUBSEQUENT CITATIONS OF THE SAME SOURCE ARE THEN TYPICALLY SHORTENED.

FOOTNOTES VS. ENDNOTES

RESEARCHERS USING THE NOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM MUST DECIDE BETWEEN FOOTNOTES AND ENDNOTES. FOOTNOTES APPEAR AT THE BOTTOM OF THE PAGE WHERE THE REFERENCE IS MADE, OFFERING IMMEDIATE CONTEXT TO THE READER WITHOUT INTERRUPTING THE FLOW OF THE MAIN TEXT. ENDNOTES, CONVERSELY, ARE COMPILED AT THE END OF THE CHAPTER OR THE

ENTIRE DOCUMENT, PROVIDING A MORE CONSOLIDATED LIST OF ALL NOTES. THE CHOICE OFTEN DEPENDS ON THE PUBLISHER'S PREFERENCE OR THE RESEARCHER'S STYLISTIC INCLINATION, THOUGH ENDNOTES CAN SOMETIMES BE PREFERRED FOR LONGER WORKS WITH EXTENSIVE CITATIONS.

THE FIRST FULL NOTE

THE INITIAL CITATION OF A SOURCE IN A NOTE REQUIRES COMPLETE BIBLIOGRAPHIC INFORMATION. THIS INCLUDES THE AUTHOR'S FULL NAME, THE TITLE OF THE WORK (ITALICIZED FOR BOOKS AND ARTICLES, OR ENCLOSED IN QUOTATION MARKS FOR SHORTER PIECES), PUBLICATION DETAILS SUCH AS THE PLACE OF PUBLICATION, PUBLISHER, AND YEAR, AND SPECIFIC PAGE NUMBERS. FOR EXAMPLE:

- FOOTNOTE: 1. MICHEL FOUCAULT, *DISCIPLINE AND PUNISH: THE BIRTH OF THE PRISON*, TRANS. ALAN SHERIDAN (NEW YORK: VINTAGE BOOKS, 1995), 17.
- ENDNOTE: 1. MICHEL FOUCAULT, *DISCIPLINE AND PUNISH: THE BIRTH OF THE PRISON*, TRANS. ALAN SHERIDAN (NEW YORK: VINTAGE BOOKS, 1995), 17.

SUBSEQUENT SHORTENED NOTES

AFTER THE FIRST FULL CITATION, SUBSEQUENT REFERENCES TO THE SAME SOURCE CAN BE ABBREVIATED. THIS USUALLY INVOLVES THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME, A SHORTENED VERSION OF THE TITLE (IF NECESSARY TO DISTINGUISH FROM OTHER WORKS BY THE SAME AUTHOR), AND THE SPECIFIC PAGE NUMBER(S). THE TERM "IBID." (FROM THE LATIN IBIDEM, MEANING "IN THE SAME PLACE") CAN BE USED IF THE CURRENT NOTE REFERS TO THE IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING NOTE. FOR INSTANCE:

- 2. FOUCAULT, *DISCIPLINE AND PUNISH*, 25.
- 3. IBID., 30.
- 4. FOUCAULT, *DISCIPLINE AND PUNISH*, 42.

THE BIBLIOGRAPHY

A CRUCIAL COMPONENT OF THE NOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM IS THE BIBLIOGRAPHY, WHICH APPEARS AT THE END OF THE DOCUMENT. THIS IS AN ALPHABETICAL LIST OF ALL SOURCES CITED IN THE NOTES. UNLIKE THE NOTES THEMSELVES, THE BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRIES FOR BOOKS TYPICALLY BEGIN WITH THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME FOLLOWED BY THEIR FIRST NAME. THE STRUCTURE OF BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRIES MIRRORS THAT OF THE FIRST FULL NOTE BUT MAY OMIT SPECIFIC PAGE NUMBERS UNLESS REFERENCING A SPECIFIC CHAPTER OR SECTION. THE BIBLIOGRAPHY SERVES AS A COMPLETE REFERENCE GUIDE FOR THE READER, ALLOWING THEM TO LOCATE ALL THE SOURCES CONSULTED AND CITED.

AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM: AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH

THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM IS MORE COMMONLY EMPLOYED IN THE SOCIAL SCIENCES AND NATURAL SCIENCES. IN THIS SYSTEM, IN-TEXT CITATIONS CONSIST OF THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME AND THE YEAR OF PUBLICATION, ENCLOSED IN PARENTHESES. A CORRESPONDING REFERENCE LIST, WHICH IS AN ALPHABETICAL COMPILATION OF ALL CITED WORKS, IS PROVIDED AT THE END OF THE DOCUMENT. THIS SYSTEM OFFERS A MORE CONCISE IN-TEXT CITATION, ALLOWING READERS TO QUICKLY IDENTIFY THE

SOURCE AND PUBLICATION YEAR WITHOUT REFERRING TO FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES.

IN-TEXT PARENTHETICAL CITATIONS

WHEN USING THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, CITATIONS WITHIN THE TEXT ARE BRIEF. THEY TYPICALLY INCLUDE THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME AND THE YEAR OF PUBLICATION. IF A DIRECT QUOTE IS USED, THE PAGE NUMBER IS ALSO INCLUDED. FOR EXAMPLE:

- (SMITH 2020)
- (JONES AND LEE 2018, 45)

WHEN THE AUTHOR'S NAME IS PART OF THE SENTENCE'S NARRATIVE, ONLY THE YEAR NEEDS TO BE IN PARENTHESES:

- SMITH (2020) ARGUES THAT...

THE REFERENCE LIST

THE REFERENCE LIST IN THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM IS ANALOGOUS TO THE BIBLIOGRAPHY IN THE NOTES SYSTEM. IT IS AN ALPHABETICAL COMPILATION OF ALL SOURCES CITED IN THE TEXT, WITH EACH ENTRY PROVIDING FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC DETAILS. THE FORMAT FOR ENTRIES IN THE REFERENCE LIST IS SIMILAR TO THAT OF THE FIRST FULL NOTE IN THE NOTES SYSTEM, BUT THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME PRECEDES THEIR FIRST NAME. FOR INSTANCE, A BOOK ENTRY MIGHT LOOK LIKE THIS:

- SMITH, JOHN A. 2020. *THE FUTURE OF WORK*. CHICAGO: UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS.

THIS SYSTEM EMPHASIZES THE TEMPORAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE AUTHOR AND THEIR WORK, MAKING IT EASIER TO TRACK THE EVOLUTION OF IDEAS WITHIN A FIELD.

CRAFTING EFFECTIVE IN-TEXT CITATIONS IN CHICAGO STYLE

REGARDLESS OF THE CHOSEN SYSTEM, THE EFFECTIVENESS OF IN-TEXT CITATIONS HINGES ON CLARITY AND ACCURACY. RESEARCHERS MUST BE METICULOUS IN ENSURING THAT EVERY PIECE OF INFORMATION DERIVED FROM AN EXTERNAL SOURCE IS PROPERLY ATTRIBUTED. THIS NOT ONLY UPHOLDS ACADEMIC HONESTY BUT ALSO ALLOWS READERS TO TRACE THE ORIGINS OF IDEAS AND DATA PRESENTED IN THE RESEARCH.

DIRECT QUOTATIONS

WHEN INCORPORATING DIRECT QUOTES, IT IS IMPERATIVE TO ENCLOSE THE BORROWED TEXT IN QUOTATION MARKS AND PROVIDE THE PRECISE LOCATION OF THE QUOTE WITHIN THE SOURCE. IN THE NOTES SYSTEM, THIS MEANS INCLUDING THE PAGE NUMBER IN THE NOTE. IN THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, THE PAGE NUMBER IS INCLUDED IN THE PARENTHETICAL CITATION. FOR LONGER QUOTATIONS (TYPICALLY OVER 40 WORDS), THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE RECOMMENDS INDENTING THE ENTIRE QUOTE AS A BLOCK, WITHOUT QUOTATION MARKS, AND CITING IT APPROPRIATELY.

PARAPHRASING AND SUMMARIZING

EVEN WHEN A SOURCE IS PARAPHRASED OR SUMMARIZED IN ONE'S OWN WORDS, CITATION IS STILL REQUIRED. WHILE PAGE NUMBERS MIGHT BE OPTIONAL FOR PARAPHRASED MATERIAL IF THE ENTIRE SOURCE IS BEING DISCUSSED, IT IS GOOD PRACTICE TO INCLUDE THEM FOR CLARITY, ESPECIALLY IF THE PARAPHRASE FOCUSES ON A SPECIFIC IDEA OR ARGUMENT. THE IN-TEXT CITATION FORMAT REMAINS THE SAME: A NOTE IN THE NOTES SYSTEM OR A PARENTHETICAL CITATION IN THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM.

CITING MULTIPLE AUTHORS AND WORKS

CHICAGO STYLE PROVIDES SPECIFIC GUIDELINES FOR CITING WORKS WITH MULTIPLE AUTHORS. FOR WORKS WITH TWO AUTHORS, BOTH NAMES ARE USUALLY INCLUDED. FOR WORKS WITH THREE OR MORE AUTHORS, THE FIRST AUTHOR'S NAME IS GIVEN, FOLLOWED BY "ET AL." (AND OTHERS). WHEN CITING MULTIPLE WORKS WITHIN A SINGLE PARENTHETICAL CITATION OR NOTE, THEY ARE SEPARATED BY SEMICOLONS, AND WORKS BY THE SAME AUTHOR ARE SEPARATED BY COMMAS.

BUILDING A COMPREHENSIVE BIBLIOGRAPHY OR REFERENCE LIST

THE BIBLIOGRAPHY (FOR THE NOTES SYSTEM) OR REFERENCE LIST (FOR THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM) IS A CRITICAL COMPONENT OF ANY CHICAGO-STYLE PAPER. IT SERVES AS A COMPLETE INVENTORY OF ALL THE SOURCES CONSULTED AND CITED, PROVIDING READERS WITH THE NECESSARY INFORMATION TO LOCATE AND EXAMINE THESE SOURCES THEMSELVES. ACCURACY AND CONSISTENCY IN FORMATTING ARE PARAMOUNT FOR THESE LISTS.

ALPHABETICAL ORDER

BOTH BIBLIOGRAPHIES AND REFERENCE LISTS ARE ARRANGED IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER BY THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME. IF A SOURCE HAS NO AUTHOR, IT IS ALPHABETIZED BY THE FIRST SIGNIFICANT WORD OF THE TITLE (IGNORING ARTICLES LIKE "A," "AN," AND "THE"). MULTIPLE WORKS BY THE SAME AUTHOR ARE THEN LISTED CHRONOLOGICALLY BY PUBLICATION YEAR.

FORMATTING ENTRIES

THE STRUCTURE OF EACH ENTRY DEPENDS ON THE TYPE OF SOURCE. BOOKS, JOURNAL ARTICLES, BOOK CHAPTERS, WEBSITES, AND OTHER MEDIA EACH HAVE THEIR SPECIFIC FORMATTING REQUIREMENTS FOR AUTHOR, TITLE, PUBLICATION INFORMATION, AND ACCESS DETAILS. CONSISTENT APPLICATION OF THESE RULES ENSURES A PROFESSIONAL AND EASILY NAVIGABLE REFERENCE SECTION. FOR EXAMPLE, BOOK TITLES ARE ITALICIZED, WHILE ARTICLE TITLES ARE PLACED IN QUOTATION MARKS.

CONSISTENCY IS KEY

THE MOST IMPORTANT ASPECT OF CONSTRUCTING A BIBLIOGRAPHY OR REFERENCE LIST IS MAINTAINING ABSOLUTE CONSISTENCY. EVERY ENTRY SHOULD ADHERE TO THE SAME FORMATTING PRINCIPLES THROUGHOUT THE LIST. MINOR VARIATIONS CAN DISRUPT THE FLOW AND PROFESSIONALISM OF THE WORK, SO CAREFUL PROOFREADING IS ESSENTIAL.

FORMATTING YOUR RESEARCH PAPER ACCORDING TO CHICAGO STYLE GUIDELINES

BEYOND CITATIONS, CHICAGO STYLE DICTATES VARIOUS FORMATTING ELEMENTS FOR RESEARCH PAPERS, CONTRIBUTING TO THEIR OVERALL READABILITY AND ACADEMIC PRESENTATION. THESE GUIDELINES COVER EVERYTHING FROM MARGINS AND PAGE NUMBERING TO THE PRESENTATION OF HEADINGS AND TABLES.

GENERAL MANUSCRIPT FORMAT

STANDARD MANUSCRIPT FORMATTING INCLUDES SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS FOR MARGINS, FONT TYPE, AND SPACING. TYPICALLY, PAPERS SHOULD HAVE ONE-INCH MARGINS ON ALL SIDES, BE TYPED IN A READABLE FONT LIKE TIMES NEW ROMAN OR ARIAL (USUALLY 12-POINT), AND BE DOUBLE-SPACED THROUGHOUT. THIS PROVIDES AMPLE WHITE SPACE, MAKING THE TEXT EASIER TO READ AND ALLOWING FOR EDITORIAL COMMENTS.

PAGE NUMBERING

PAGE NUMBERING IN CHICAGO STYLE USUALLY BEGINS WITH THE FIRST PAGE OF THE MAIN TEXT. PRELIMINARY PAGES, SUCH AS THE TITLE PAGE AND TABLE OF CONTENTS, MAY BE NUMBERED WITH LOWERCASE ROMAN NUMERALS. THE TITLE PAGE ITSELF IS GENERALLY NOT NUMBERED BUT COUNTS AS PAGE I. PAGE NUMBERS ARE TYPICALLY PLACED IN THE UPPER RIGHT-HAND CORNER OF THE PAGE.

HEADINGS AND SUBHEADINGS

CHICAGO STYLE ALLOWS FOR A FLEXIBLE HIERARCHY OF HEADINGS AND SUBHEADINGS TO ORGANIZE THE CONTENT OF A RESEARCH PAPER. THESE HEADINGS SHOULD BE CLEARLY DISTINGUISHED FROM THE MAIN TEXT THROUGH VARIATIONS IN CAPITALIZATION, FONT STYLE, OR PLACEMENT. FOR INSTANCE, MAIN SECTION HEADINGS MIGHT BE CENTERED AND CAPITALIZED, WHILE SUBHEADINGS COULD BE FLUSH LEFT AND ITALICIZED.

TABLES AND FIGURES

WHEN INCORPORATING TABLES AND FIGURES INTO A RESEARCH PAPER, CHICAGO STYLE PROVIDES GUIDELINES FOR THEIR LABELING, TITLING, AND PLACEMENT. TABLES ARE TYPICALLY NUMBERED SEQUENTIALLY (TABLE 1, TABLE 2, ETC.) AND PLACED AFTER THE TEXT THAT REFERS TO THEM. FIGURES, WHICH INCLUDE CHARTS, GRAPHS, AND ILLUSTRATIONS, ARE ALSO NUMBERED SEQUENTIALLY (FIGURE 1, FIGURE 2, ETC.) AND SHOULD BE CLEARLY LABELED WITH CAPTIONS THAT EXPLAIN THEIR CONTENT AND CITE THEIR SOURCE IF NECESSARY.

COMMON PITFALLS AND HOW TO AVOID THEM IN CHICAGO STYLE

RESEARCHERS NEW TO CHICAGO STYLE, OR EVEN SEASONED ACADEMICS, CAN ENCOUNTER COMMON ERRORS. BEING AWARE OF THESE POTENTIAL PITFALLS CAN SIGNIFICANTLY IMPROVE THE ACCURACY AND QUALITY OF CITATION PRACTICES.

INCONSISTENT FORMATTING

PERHAPS THE MOST FREQUENT ERROR IS INCONSISTENT FORMATTING WITHIN CITATIONS OR BETWEEN DIFFERENT TYPES OF SOURCES. THIS CAN RANGE FROM VARIATIONS IN PUNCTUATION TO DIFFERENT WAYS OF CAPITALIZING TITLES. METICULOUS ATTENTION TO THE CMOS GUIDELINES AND CAREFUL PROOFREADING ARE THE BEST DEFENSES AGAINST THIS.

OMITTING NECESSARY INFORMATION

ANOTHER COMMON MISTAKE IS FAILING TO INCLUDE ALL THE REQUIRED BIBLIOGRAPHIC INFORMATION FOR A SOURCE, SUCH AS THE PUBLISHER'S NAME, THE YEAR OF PUBLICATION, OR SPECIFIC PAGE NUMBERS FOR DIRECT QUOTES. RESEARCHERS MUST CONSULT THE CMOS FOR THE PRECISE DETAILS NEEDED FOR EACH SOURCE TYPE.

INCORRECT USE OF "IBID." OR "OP. CIT."

WHILE "IBID." IS STILL ACCEPTABLE IN THE NOTES SYSTEM, ITS USAGE IS BECOMING LESS COMMON IN FAVOR OF SHORTENED NOTES. TERMS LIKE "OP. CIT." (FROM THE LATIN OPERE CITATO, MEANING "IN THE WORK CITED") ARE LARGELY CONSIDERED ARCHAIC AND SHOULD BE AVOIDED IN FAVOR OF SHORTENED CITATIONS THAT CLEARLY IDENTIFY THE AUTHOR AND WORK.

MISINTERPRETING SOURCE TYPES

DIFFERENT SOURCES—BOOKS, ARTICLES, WEBSITES, INTERVIEWS, ARCHIVAL MATERIALS—REQUIRE DISTINCT CITATION FORMATS. MISCLASSIFYING A SOURCE TYPE OR APPLYING THE WRONG FORMAT CAN LEAD TO INACCURACIES. CONSULTING THE RELEVANT CHAPTER IN THE CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE FOR EACH SOURCE TYPE IS CRUCIAL.

CHICAGO STYLE FOR DIFFERENT SOURCE TYPES: A PRACTICAL GUIDE

CHICAGO STYLE'S COMPREHENSIVE NATURE MEANS IT COVERS A VAST ARRAY OF SOURCE MATERIALS. UNDERSTANDING THE SPECIFIC FORMATTING FOR COMMON SOURCE TYPES IS ESSENTIAL FOR RESEARCHERS.

Books

FOR A BOOK IN THE NOTES SYSTEM, THE FIRST NOTE TYPICALLY INCLUDES THE AUTHOR'S FULL NAME, THE TITLE IN ITALICS, PUBLICATION INFORMATION (PLACE, PUBLISHER, YEAR), AND THE PAGE NUMBER. IN THE BIBLIOGRAPHY, THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME COMES FIRST. FOR THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, THE IN-TEXT CITATION IS (AUTHOR YEAR) AND THE REFERENCE LIST ENTRY FOLLOWS A SIMILAR PATTERN TO THE BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRY BUT WITH THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME FIRST.

JOURNAL ARTICLES

JOURNAL ARTICLES ARE CITED WITH THE AUTHOR'S NAME, THE ARTICLE TITLE IN QUOTATION MARKS, THE JOURNAL TITLE IN ITALICS, THE VOLUME AND ISSUE NUMBERS, THE YEAR OF PUBLICATION, AND THE PAGE RANGE OF THE ARTICLE. SPECIFIC PAGE NUMBERS ARE INCLUDED FOR DIRECT QUOTES OR PARAPHRASED MATERIAL.

WEBSITES AND ONLINE RESOURCES

CITING ONLINE SOURCES REQUIRES CAREFUL ATTENTION TO DETAILS SUCH AS THE AUTHOR (IF AVAILABLE), TITLE OF THE SPECIFIC PAGE OR ARTICLE, NAME OF THE WEBSITE, PUBLICATION OR LAST UPDATED DATE, AND THE URL. FOR ONLINE SOURCES THAT MIGHT CHANGE OR DISAPPEAR, INCLUDING AN ACCESS DATE IS ALSO RECOMMENDED.

EDITED VOLUMES AND BOOK CHAPTERS

WHEN CITING A CHAPTER WITHIN AN EDITED VOLUME, THE CITATION INCLUDES THE CHAPTER AUTHOR, THE CHAPTER TITLE, THE EDITOR(S) OF THE VOLUME, THE TITLE OF THE VOLUME, AND THE PAGE RANGE OF THE CHAPTER. THE FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC DETAILS OF THE VOLUME ARE THEN PROVIDED.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS ABOUT CHICAGO STYLE FOR RESEARCHERS

Q: WHAT IS THE PRIMARY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE NOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM AND THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM IN CHICAGO STYLE?

A: THE PRIMARY DIFFERENCE LIES IN HOW CITATIONS ARE PRESENTED WITHIN THE TEXT AND COMPILED AT THE END OF THE DOCUMENT. THE NOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM USES NUMBERED NOTES (FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES) FOR IN-TEXT CITATIONS AND CONCLUDES WITH A BIBLIOGRAPHY. THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM USES PARENTHETICAL AUTHOR-DATE CITATIONS IN THE TEXT AND CONCLUDES WITH A REFERENCE LIST.

Q: WHEN SHOULD A RESEARCHER CHOOSE THE NOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM VERSUS THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM?

A: THE NOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM IS TRADITIONALLY PREFERRED IN THE HUMANITIES (HISTORY, LITERATURE, ARTS), WHILE THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM IS MORE COMMON IN THE SOCIAL SCIENCES AND NATURAL SCIENCES. THE CHOICE OFTEN DEPENDS ON THE CONVENTIONS OF THE SPECIFIC DISCIPLINE OR THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE PUBLISHER OR INSTITUTION.

Q: HOW DO I CITE A SOURCE THAT I'VE ONLY PARAPHRASED, NOT QUOTED DIRECTLY, IN CHICAGO STYLE?

A: EVEN WHEN PARAPHRASING OR SUMMARIZING A SOURCE IN YOUR OWN WORDS, YOU MUST STILL CITE IT. IN THE NOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM, YOU WOULD USE A NUMBERED NOTE. IN THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, YOU WOULD USE A PARENTHETICAL CITATION WITH THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME AND THE YEAR OF PUBLICATION. INCLUDING THE PAGE NUMBER FOR PARAPHRASED MATERIAL IS OFTEN RECOMMENDED FOR CLARITY.

Q: WHAT IS THE CORRECT FORMAT FOR CITING A BOOK WITH TWO AUTHORS IN CHICAGO STYLE'S NOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM?

A: FOR THE FIRST FULL NOTE, YOU WOULD LIST THE AUTHORS' NAMES AS THEY APPEAR ON THE TITLE PAGE, FOLLOWED BY THE BOOK TITLE, PUBLICATION DETAILS, AND PAGE NUMBER. FOR EXAMPLE: 1. JOHN SMITH AND JANE DOE, *THE HISTORY OF CITATION STYLES* (CHICAGO: UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS, 2022), 75. IN THE BIBLIOGRAPHY, THE ENTRY WOULD BE SMITH, JOHN, AND JANE DOE. *THE HISTORY OF CITATION STYLES*. CHICAGO: UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS, 2022.

Q: How should I format a website citation in Chicago style?

A: A website citation typically includes the author's name (if available), the title of the specific page or article in quotation marks, the name of the website in italics, the publication or last updated date, and the URL. If the content is likely to change, an access date is also recommended. For example: Jane Doe, "Understanding Chicago Style," *Academic Writing Blog*, October 26, 2023, <https://www.example.com/chicagostyle>.

Q: Is it acceptable to use "ibid." in Chicago style?

A: While "ibid." is a valid abbreviation in the Notes and Bibliography system, its use is becoming less common. Many scholars and publishers prefer shortened notes for subsequent citations, which clearly indicate the author and work, even if it's the immediately preceding source.

Q: What are the basic margin and font requirements for a Chicago-style research paper?

A: Generally, research papers formatted in Chicago style should have one-inch margins on all sides. The text should be double-spaced, and a standard, readable font such as Times New Roman or Arial in 12-point size is recommended.

Q: How do I cite a source that has no author?

A: If a source has no author, it is cited by its title. In the Notes and Bibliography system, the title appears first in the note or bibliography entry. In the Author-Date system, the title replaces the author's name in the in-text citation and in the reference list. When alphabetizing, the title is used, ignoring initial articles like "A," "An," or "The."

[Chicago Style For Researchers](#)

Chicago Style For Researchers

Related Articles

- [chicago style for art history lectures](#)
- [chicago style footnote example white paper](#)
- [chicago style for ethical considerations linguistics](#)

[Back to Home](#)