

CHICAGO STYLE AUTHOR DATE FOR CONVERSATION

UNDERSTANDING CHICAGO STYLE AUTHOR-DATE FOR CONVERSATION

CHICAGO STYLE AUTHOR DATE FOR CONVERSATION REFERS TO A SPECIFIC CITATION METHOD USED WITHIN ACADEMIC AND PROFESSIONAL CONTEXTS, PARTICULARLY WHEN DISCUSSING OR REFERENCING INFORMATION IN A MORE IMMEDIATE OR LESS FORMAL, YET STILL CREDIBLE, MANNER. WHILE THE FULL CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE OFFERS BOTH A NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY AND AN AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, THIS ARTICLE FOCUSES ON THE LATTER AS IT APPLIES TO CONVERSATIONAL OR LESS FORMAL ACADEMIC DISCOURSE, ENSURING CLARITY AND PROPER ATTRIBUTION. WE WILL DELVE INTO THE CORE COMPONENTS OF THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, EXPLORING ITS IN-TEXT CITATION FORMAT, THE CORRESPONDING ENTRY IN THE REFERENCE LIST, AND ITS ADVANTAGES IN VARIOUS COMMUNICATIVE SCENARIOS. UNDERSTANDING THESE NUANCES IS CRUCIAL FOR MAINTAINING ACADEMIC INTEGRITY AND FACILITATING SMOOTH INTELLECTUAL EXCHANGE, ESPECIALLY WHEN INFORMAL DISCUSSIONS DRAW UPON RESEARCHED MATERIAL. THIS GUIDE WILL PROVIDE A COMPREHENSIVE OVERVIEW, EMPOWERING YOU TO USE THE CHICAGO AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM EFFECTIVELY IN YOUR EVERYDAY ACADEMIC CONVERSATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- THE FUNDAMENTALS OF CHICAGO STYLE AUTHOR-DATE IN-TEXT CITATIONS
- CRAFTING YOUR REFERENCE LIST IN CHICAGO AUTHOR-DATE
- WHEN TO EMPLOY CHICAGO STYLE AUTHOR-DATE IN CONVERSATIONAL SETTINGS
- ADVANTAGES OF USING AUTHOR-DATE FOR ACADEMIC DIALOGUE
- COMMON PITFALLS TO AVOID

THE FUNDAMENTALS OF CHICAGO STYLE AUTHOR-DATE IN-TEXT CITATIONS

THE CORNERSTONE OF THE CHICAGO AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM LIES IN ITS CONCISE IN-TEXT CITATIONS, WHICH ALLOW READERS TO QUICKLY IDENTIFY THE SOURCE OF INFORMATION WITHOUT DISRUPTING THE FLOW OF THE NARRATIVE. UNLIKE THE MORE VERBOSE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM, THE AUTHOR-DATE FORMAT INTEGRATES CITATION INFORMATION DIRECTLY INTO THE SENTENCE OR PARAGRAPH, TYPICALLY AT THE END OF A CLAUSE OR SENTENCE. THIS STREAMLINED APPROACH IS DESIGNED FOR CLARITY AND EFFICIENCY, MAKING IT IDEAL FOR SITUATIONS WHERE A BRIEF REFERENCE IS SUFFICIENT TO GUIDE THE AUDIENCE. THE PRIMARY GOAL IS TO PROVIDE ENOUGH INFORMATION FOR A LISTENER OR READER TO LOCATE THE FULL DETAILS IN THE REFERENCE LIST WITHOUT AN EXTENSIVE INTERRUPTION.

AUTHOR'S LAST NAME AND PUBLICATION YEAR

THE MOST BASIC FORM OF AN IN-TEXT CITATION IN CHICAGO AUTHOR-DATE STYLE INCLUDES THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME AND THE YEAR OF PUBLICATION OF THE SOURCE. THIS PAIRING SERVES AS A DIRECT POINTER TO THE CORRESPONDING ENTRY IN YOUR REFERENCE LIST. FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU ARE DISCUSSING A THEORY PROPOSED BY SMITH IN 2020, THE CITATION WOULD APPEAR AS (SMITH 2020). THIS CONCISE FORMAT IS EFFECTIVE FOR COMMON KNOWLEDGE WITHIN A SPECIFIC DISCIPLINE OR WHEN THE SOURCE HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY ESTABLISHED IN THE CONVERSATION OR ACCOMPANYING TEXT. IT ASSUMES A CERTAIN LEVEL OF FAMILIARITY WITH THE LITERATURE OR THAT THE AUDIENCE CAN EASILY CONSULT THE REFERENCE LIST.

INCLUDING PAGE NUMBERS FOR SPECIFIC INFORMATION

WHEN REFERRING TO A SPECIFIC PIECE OF INFORMATION, SUCH AS A DIRECT QUOTE OR A PARTICULAR STATISTIC, IT IS ESSENTIAL TO INCLUDE THE PAGE NUMBER(S) WITHIN THE IN-TEXT CITATION. THIS PROVIDES A PRECISE LOCATION FOR THE INFORMATION, FURTHER AIDING YOUR AUDIENCE IN VERIFYING THE SOURCE. THE FORMAT FOR THIS IS TYPICALLY (AUTHOR'S LAST NAME YEAR, PAGE NUMBER). FOR INSTANCE, IF YOU ARE QUOTING A PASSAGE FROM SMITH'S 2020 WORK ON PAGE 45, THE CITATION WOULD BE (SMITH 2020, 45). FOR A RANGE OF PAGES, YOU WOULD USE (SMITH 2020, 45-47). THIS DETAILED REFERENCING IS CRUCIAL FOR SCHOLARLY DISCUSSIONS WHERE PRECISION IS PARAMOUNT.

HANDLING MULTIPLE AUTHORS AND CORPORATE AUTHORS

CITING SOURCES WITH MULTIPLE AUTHORS REQUIRES SPECIFIC FORMATTING WITHIN THE CHICAGO AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM TO MAINTAIN CLARITY AND ACCURACY. FOR WORKS WITH TWO AUTHORS, BOTH NAMES ARE LISTED, SEPARATED BY "AND," FOLLOWED BY THE YEAR: (JONES AND MILLER 2019). FOR WORKS WITH THREE OR MORE AUTHORS, YOU TYPICALLY LIST THE FIRST AUTHOR'S LAST NAME FOLLOWED BY "ET AL." AND THE YEAR: (DAVIS ET AL. 2021). THIS "ET AL." CONVENTION IS A TIME-SAVER AND PREVENTS OVERLY LONG PARENTHETICAL CITATIONS, ESPECIALLY IN EXTENSIVE WORKS. CORPORATE AUTHORS, SUCH AS ORGANIZATIONS OR GOVERNMENT AGENCIES, ARE CITED BY THEIR FULL NAME OR A COMMONLY RECOGNIZED ABBREVIATION, FOLLOWED BY THE YEAR: (WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION 2022) OR (WHO 2022).

CRAFTING YOUR REFERENCE LIST IN CHICAGO AUTHOR-DATE

THE REFERENCE LIST, OFTEN TITLED "REFERENCES" OR "WORKS CITED" (THOUGH "REFERENCES" IS MORE COMMON WITH AUTHOR-DATE), IS A VITAL COMPANION TO THE IN-TEXT CITATIONS. IT PROVIDES THE COMPLETE BIBLIOGRAPHIC INFORMATION FOR EVERY SOURCE CITED IN YOUR WORK, ALLOWING READERS TO LOCATE AND CONSULT THE ORIGINAL MATERIALS. THE ARRANGEMENT OF THE REFERENCE LIST IS ALPHABETICAL BY THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME. EACH ENTRY IS METICULOUSLY FORMATTED TO INCLUDE ALL NECESSARY DETAILS FOR IDENTIFICATION AND RETRIEVAL. THIS SECTION ENSURES THAT ALL CLAIMS ARE SUBSTANTIATED AND THAT PROPER CREDIT IS GIVEN TO THE ORIGINAL CREATORS OF THE IDEAS AND INFORMATION.

BOOK ENTRIES: ESSENTIAL COMPONENTS

A TYPICAL BOOK ENTRY IN A CHICAGO AUTHOR-DATE REFERENCE LIST INCLUDES THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME AND FIRST INITIAL, THE PUBLICATION YEAR, THE TITLE OF THE BOOK (ITALICIZED), AND THE PUBLICATION INFORMATION. THE FORMAT IS GENERALLY: AUTHOR'S LAST NAME, FIRST INITIAL. YEAR. TITLE OF BOOK. CITY OF PUBLICATION: PUBLISHER. FOR EXAMPLE: BROWN, J. 2018. *THE HISTORY OF SCIENCE*. NEW YORK: ACADEMIC PRESS. IF THERE ARE MULTIPLE EDITIONS, THE EDITION NUMBER IS INCLUDED AFTER THE TITLE. IF CITING AN EDITED VOLUME WHERE YOU ARE NOT THE EDITOR, THE EDITOR'S NAME WOULD APPEAR IN A SIMILAR FASHION TO AN AUTHOR, BUT PRECEDED BY "ED."

JOURNAL ARTICLE ENTRIES: KEY DETAILS

JOURNAL ARTICLES ARE A COMMON SOURCE IN ACADEMIC DISCUSSIONS, AND THEIR ENTRIES IN THE REFERENCE LIST REQUIRE SPECIFIC DETAILS. THE FORMAT TYPICALLY INCLUDES THE AUTHOR'S LAST NAME AND FIRST INITIAL, THE PUBLICATION YEAR, THE ARTICLE TITLE (IN QUOTATION MARKS), THE JOURNAL TITLE (ITALICIZED), THE VOLUME AND ISSUE NUMBER, AND THE PAGE RANGE OF THE ARTICLE. FOR EXAMPLE: CHEN, L. 2020. "COGNITIVE BIASES IN DECISION MAKING." *JOURNAL OF PSYCHOLOGY* 35, no. 2: 112-130. IT IS IMPORTANT TO BE PRECISE WITH VOLUME AND ISSUE NUMBERS, AS THESE ARE CRITICAL FOR LOCATING THE ARTICLE WITHIN THE JOURNAL.

WEBSITES AND OTHER ONLINE SOURCES

CITING ONLINE SOURCES, INCLUDING WEBSITES, ARTICLES, AND REPORTS FOUND ONLINE, REQUIRES A SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT APPROACH TO ENSURE ACCESSIBILITY. THE GENERAL FORMAT INCLUDES THE AUTHOR'S NAME (IF AVAILABLE), PUBLICATION DATE (OR LAST UPDATED DATE), TITLE OF THE WEBPAGE OR ARTICLE, THE WEBSITE NAME, AND THE URL. IF A PUBLICATION DATE IS NOT AVAILABLE, YOU MIGHT USE "(N.D.)" FOR "NO DATE." FOR EXAMPLE: GARCIA, M. 2023. "THE IMPACT OF AI ON EDUCATION." TECH TRENDS TODAY. ACCESSED DECEMBER 15, 2023.

[HTTPS://WWW.TECHTRENDSTODAY.COM/AI-EDUCATION](https://www.techtrendstoday.com/ai-education). IT IS CRUCIAL TO PROVIDE THE MOST STABLE URL POSSIBLE.

WHEN TO EMPLOY CHICAGO STYLE AUTHOR-DATE IN CONVERSATIONAL SETTINGS

THE CHICAGO AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, WHILE ROOTED IN FORMAL ACADEMIC WRITING, POSSESSES CHARACTERISTICS THAT MAKE IT HIGHLY SUITABLE FOR CERTAIN CONVERSATIONAL CONTEXTS, PARTICULARLY WITHIN ACADEMIC OR RESEARCH-ORIENTED DISCUSSIONS. ITS EFFICIENCY AND DIRECTNESS CAN ENHANCE THE CLARITY AND CREDIBILITY OF SPOKEN OR INFORMAL WRITTEN EXCHANGES WHERE REFERENCING IS NECESSARY BUT THE FORMALITY OF FOOTNOTES IS IMPRACTICAL. THE GOAL IS TO PROVIDE IMMEDIATE, ACTIONABLE INFORMATION WITHOUT OVERWHELMING THE LISTENER.

PRESENTATIONS AND LECTURES

DURING PRESENTATIONS AND LECTURES, THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM IS INVALUABLE. VISUAL AIDS, SUCH AS SLIDES, CAN DISPLAY IN-TEXT CITATIONS FOR KEY POINTS, ALLOWING THE AUDIENCE TO TRACK SOURCES WITHOUT THE PRESENTER NEEDING TO PAUSE EXTENSIVELY FOR LENGTHY BIBLIOGRAPHIC DETAILS. THE PRESENTER CAN THEN REFER TO THE DISPLAYED INFORMATION, AND A FULL REFERENCE LIST CAN BE PROVIDED IN ACCOMPANYING HANDOUTS OR AS PART OF THE PRESENTATION FILE. THIS APPROACH MAINTAINS AN ACADEMIC TONE WHILE KEEPING THE DELIVERY ENGAGING AND FOCUSED.

INFORMAL ACADEMIC DISCUSSIONS AND SEMINARS

IN INFORMAL ACADEMIC DISCUSSIONS, SUCH AS SEMINAR MEETINGS OR THESIS GROUP DISCUSSIONS, REFERENCING IDEAS OR FINDINGS FROM SPECIFIC WORKS IS COMMON. USING THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM IN SPOKEN REFERENCES, LIKE "AS SMITH ARGUED IN HIS 2020 PAPER..." OR "THIS CONCEPT, EXPLORED BY DAVIS ET AL. (2021), IS PARTICULARLY RELEVANT HERE...", PROVIDES IMMEDIATE CONTEXT AND ATTRIBUTION. IF THE DISCUSSION REQUIRES PINPOINTING A SPECIFIC DETAIL, ADDING THE PAGE NUMBER, AS IN "(SMITH 2020, 45)," FURTHER ENHANCES PRECISION. THIS AVOIDS THE AWKWARDNESS OF LENGTHY VERBAL DESCRIPTIONS OF SOURCES.

STUDENT WORK AND DRAFT FEEDBACK

WHEN STUDENTS ARE WORKING ON DRAFTS OR SEEKING FEEDBACK, USING THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM CAN BE A PRACTICAL WAY TO REFERENCE SOURCES DISCUSSED. THIS ALLOWS INSTRUCTORS OR PEERS TO QUICKLY VERIFY THE BASIS OF AN ARGUMENT OR TO LOCATE A SPECIFIC PASSAGE FOR CRITIQUE. IT BRIDGES THE GAP BETWEEN THE ROUGH STAGES OF WRITING AND THE POLISHED FINAL PRODUCT, ENSURING THAT EVEN IN THE DEVELOPMENTAL PHASE, PROPER ATTRIBUTION IS CONSIDERED AND IMPLEMENTED. THE EASE OF INTEGRATION INTO WRITTEN NOTES OR EMAIL FEEDBACK MAKES IT EFFICIENT.

ADVANTAGES OF USING AUTHOR-DATE FOR ACADEMIC DIALOGUE

THE CHICAGO AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM OFFERS SEVERAL DISTINCT ADVANTAGES, PARTICULARLY WHEN APPLIED TO ACADEMIC DIALOGUE AND LESS FORMAL SCHOLARLY EXCHANGES. ITS EMPHASIS ON CONCISENESS AND IMMEDIATE REFERENCE MAKES IT A

PRACTICAL TOOL FOR VARIOUS COMMUNICATIVE SCENARIOS. THESE BENEFITS CONTRIBUTE TO A MORE FLUID AND EFFICIENT ACADEMIC DISCOURSE.

READABILITY AND FLOW

ONE OF THE PRIMARY ADVANTAGES OF THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM IS ITS CONTRIBUTION TO THE READABILITY AND FLOW OF TEXT. BY EMBEDDING CITATIONS WITHIN THE TEXT ITSELF, IT MINIMIZES THE DISRUPTIONS THAT CAN OCCUR WITH FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES. THIS ALLOWS READERS TO FOLLOW THE ARGUMENTS MORE SMOOTHLY, ONLY PAUSING TO CONSIDER THE SOURCE WHEN THE CITATION APPEARS. THIS IS ESPECIALLY BENEFICIAL IN SPOKEN CONTEXTS WHERE CONSTANT INTERRUPTION CAN DISENGAGE AN AUDIENCE.

EASE OF NAVIGATION

THE DIRECT LINK BETWEEN THE IN-TEXT CITATION (AUTHOR, YEAR) AND THE CORRESPONDING ENTRY IN THE REFERENCE LIST MAKES NAVIGATION EXCEPTIONALLY EASY FOR THE AUDIENCE. THEY CAN QUICKLY LOCATE THE FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIC DETAILS IF THEY WISH TO CONSULT THE SOURCE FURTHER. THIS IS A SIGNIFICANT ADVANTAGE FOR THOSE ENGAGING WITH THE MATERIAL, AS IT EMPOWERS THEM TO EXPLORE THE CITED WORKS INDEPENDENTLY WITHOUT A COMPLEX SYSTEM OF CROSS-REFERENCING.

CONCISENESS AND EFFICIENCY

IN SITUATIONS WHERE BREVITY AND EFFICIENCY ARE PARAMOUNT, SUCH AS IN PRESENTATIONS OR QUICK ACADEMIC DISCUSSIONS, THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM EXCELS. THE MINIMAL INFORMATION REQUIRED IN THE IN-TEXT CITATION KEEPS THE FOCUS ON THE CONTENT BEING DISCUSSED, WHILE THE REFERENCE LIST PROVIDES THE COMPLETE DETAILS. THIS EFFICIENCY STREAMLINES THE COMMUNICATION PROCESS, ENSURING THAT INFORMATION IS CONVEYED EFFECTIVELY WITHOUT UNNECESSARY VERBOSITY.

COMMON PITFALLS TO AVOID

DESPITE ITS ADVANTAGES, THE CHICAGO AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM, LIKE ANY CITATION METHOD, CAN LEAD TO ERRORS IF NOT APPLIED CAREFULLY. AWARENESS OF COMMON PITFALLS CAN HELP PREVENT THESE MISTAKES AND ENSURE THE INTEGRITY OF YOUR REFERENCES. VIGILANCE IN APPLICATION IS KEY TO ITS EFFECTIVE USE.

INCONSISTENT FORMATTING

A COMMON MISTAKE IS INCONSISTENT FORMATTING OF IN-TEXT CITATIONS AND REFERENCE LIST ENTRIES. FOR EXAMPLE, VARIATIONS IN PUNCTUATION, CAPITALIZATION, OR THE INCLUSION OF ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS LIKE PAGE NUMBERS CAN CONFUSE READERS AND UNDERMINE THE CREDIBILITY OF THE WORK. STRICT ADHERENCE TO THE GUIDELINES FOR EACH ELEMENT IS CRUCIAL FOR MAINTAINING CONSISTENCY.

OMITTING NECESSARY INFORMATION

ANOTHER PITFALL IS OMITTING CRUCIAL INFORMATION FROM CITATIONS, SUCH AS THE YEAR OF PUBLICATION OR AUTHOR'S NAME, ESPECIALLY WHEN THE CONTEXT IS NOT EXCEPTIONALLY CLEAR. THIS CAN LEAVE THE AUDIENCE UNABLE TO LOCATE THE SOURCE. SIMILARLY, FAILING TO INCLUDE PAGE NUMBERS WHEN QUOTING DIRECTLY OR REFERRING TO SPECIFIC PASSAGES CAN OBSCURE THE ORIGIN OF THE INFORMATION.

MISINTERPRETING SOURCE TYPES

MISAPPLYING CITATION RULES BASED ON THE TYPE OF SOURCE IS ALSO A FREQUENT ERROR. THE FORMATTING FOR A BOOK, A JOURNAL ARTICLE, AND A WEBSITE DIFFERS SIGNIFICANTLY. ASSUMING A ONE-SIZE-FITS-ALL APPROACH CAN LEAD TO INCORRECT CITATIONS. IT IS VITAL TO CONSULT STYLE GUIDES OR RELIABLE RESOURCES FOR THE SPECIFIC FORMATTING REQUIREMENTS OF EACH SOURCE TYPE.

FAQ

Q: WHAT IS THE PRIMARY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN CHICAGO AUTHOR-DATE AND THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM FOR CONVERSATIONS?

A: THE PRIMARY DIFFERENCE IS HOW SOURCES ARE CITED. CHICAGO AUTHOR-DATE USES PARENTHETICAL IN-TEXT CITATIONS (AUTHOR YEAR, PAGE) THAT LINK DIRECTLY TO A REFERENCE LIST. THE NOTES-BIBLIOGRAPHY SYSTEM USES FOOTNOTES OR ENDNOTES, WHICH CAN BE MORE DISRUPTIVE IN SPOKEN CONVERSATION BUT OFFER MORE SPACE FOR ANNOTATIONS. FOR CONVERSATIONAL SETTINGS, AUTHOR-DATE IS GENERALLY MORE EFFICIENT.

Q: CAN I USE THE AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM FOR A PRESENTATION'S SLIDE NOTES?

A: YES, THE CHICAGO AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM IS HIGHLY RECOMMENDED FOR PRESENTATION SLIDE NOTES AND ON-SCREEN CITATIONS. IT KEEPS THE MAIN PRESENTATION CONCISE WHILE ALLOWING FOR QUICK REFERENCE TO SOURCES, WHICH CAN BE ELABORATED ON VERBALLY OR IN ACCOMPANYING HANDOUT MATERIALS.

Q: HOW DO I CITE A SOURCE THAT HAS NO AUTHOR IN THE CHICAGO AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM?

A: IF A SOURCE HAS NO AUTHOR, YOU TYPICALLY USE THE FIRST FEW WORDS OF THE TITLE IN THE IN-TEXT CITATION, FOLLOWED BY THE YEAR. FOR EXAMPLE, IF THE TITLE IS "THE FUTURE OF RENEWABLE ENERGY," THE CITATION MIGHT BE ("FUTURE OF RENEWABLE ENERGY" 2023). IN THE REFERENCE LIST, THE TITLE WOULD COME FIRST, FOLLOWED BY THE PUBLICATION DETAILS.

Q: WHAT IF I AM DISCUSSING A SOURCE THAT WAS MENTIONED EARLIER IN THE CONVERSATION? DO I STILL NEED TO CITE IT USING CHICAGO AUTHOR-DATE?

A: YES, EVEN IF A SOURCE HAS BEEN MENTIONED EARLIER, IT IS GOOD PRACTICE TO RE-CITE IT WHEN YOU REFER TO ITS SPECIFIC IDEAS OR INFORMATION, ESPECIALLY IF THERE HAS BEEN A SIGNIFICANT SHIFT IN TOPIC OR IF IT HAS BEEN A WHILE. THIS REINFORCES THE ATTRIBUTION AND HELPS ANY NEW PARTICIPANTS IN THE CONVERSATION UNDERSTAND THE SOURCE OF THE INFORMATION.

Q: IS IT APPROPRIATE TO USE THE CHICAGO AUTHOR-DATE SYSTEM IN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE DISCUSSING ACADEMIC WORK?

A: ABSOLUTELY. CHICAGO AUTHOR-DATE IS VERY WELL-SUITED FOR ACADEMIC EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE. IT ALLOWS YOU TO QUICKLY REFERENCE SOURCES WHEN DISCUSSING RESEARCH, PROVIDING FEEDBACK, OR COLLABORATING, AND THE RECIPIENT CAN EASILY FIND THE FULL CITATION IN YOUR REFERENCE LIST OR BY CHECKING THE MENTIONED SOURCE.

Q: How do I cite a direct quote with the author-date system in a spoken context?

A: When quoting directly in a spoken context using the author-date system, you would state the author and year, and then, if possible or relevant, mention the page number. For example, you might say, "As Smith (2020) states on page 45, 'The implications are far-reaching.'" This provides immediate attribution and location for the audience.

Q: What if the source has both an author and a corporate author listed? How do I cite this in Chicago author-date?

A: Typically, you would cite the primary author. If the work is published by a corporate entity but has a clear individual author responsible for the content, cite the individual author. If the corporate entity is essentially the author, you cite that. Consult the Chicago Manual of Style for specific nuances on this, but prioritize the most direct attribution of authorship.

[Chicago Style Author Date For Conversation](#)

Chicago Style Author Date For Conversation

Related Articles

- [chicago notes bibliography sample](#)
- [chicago manual style visual aids](#)
- [chicago manual title page for research proposals and dissertations](#)

[Back to Home](#)