

chicago manual of style publishing for professors

chicago manual of style publishing for professors is an essential guide for academics navigating the complex world of scholarly communication. For professors, understanding and applying the principles of the Chicago Manual of Style (CMOS) is not merely a matter of adherence to convention; it's a critical step in ensuring their research is presented with clarity, credibility, and impact. This comprehensive article delves into the intricacies of CMOS as it pertains to academic publishing, covering everything from manuscript preparation and citation styles to the nuances of scholarly prose and the publishing process itself. We will explore the specific demands of academic journals, university presses, and other scholarly venues, providing actionable advice for professors seeking to enhance their publications and streamline their submission workflows. This guide aims to demystify the often-intimidating aspects of academic publishing, empowering professors to confidently share their groundbreaking work with the scholarly community.

Table of Contents

Understanding the Chicago Manual of Style for Academic Publishing

Manuscript Preparation According to CMOS Standards

Citation Styles: Notes-Bibliography vs. Author-Date

Crafting Scholarly Prose: Clarity, Conciseness, and Tone

Navigating the Publishing Process with CMOS

Common Pitfalls and Best Practices in Academic Publishing

The Role of CMOS in Digital Publishing and Open Access

Understanding the Chicago Manual of Style for Academic Publishing

The Chicago Manual of Style (CMOS) stands as a cornerstone of academic publishing, particularly within the humanities and social sciences. For professors, a deep understanding of its guidelines is paramount to producing publishable work that meets the rigorous standards of journals and university presses. CMOS is not just a style guide; it is a comprehensive resource that addresses issues of grammar, punctuation, capitalization, manuscript preparation, and the intricacies of scholarly citation. Its enduring relevance lies in its adaptability and its commitment to clarity and consistency in academic discourse.

Professors often grapple with the sheer volume of information contained within CMOS, but focusing on its core principles for academic publishing can make the process more manageable. Key areas of focus include the correct use of punctuation to enhance readability, the consistent application of capitalization rules, and the precise formatting of bibliographies and indexes. Adhering to CMOS ensures that a professor's work is presented in a professional and credible manner, facilitating its acceptance by editors and reviewers and enhancing its overall scholarly impact.

The Importance of Consistency in Academic Publications

Consistency is a cardinal virtue in academic publishing, and CMOS provides the framework for achieving it. Whether dealing with the spelling of a word, the formatting of a footnote, or the structure of a reference list, adherence to a single, established style guide minimizes ambiguity and reinforces the author's authority. For professors, this means internal consistency within their manuscript and external consistency with the preferred style of their target publication venue. Deviations from established norms can distract readers and, more importantly, signal a lack of meticulousness to editors and peer reviewers, potentially jeopardizing the manuscript's reception.

The pursuit of consistency extends to every element of a scholarly work. This includes the consistent use of abbreviations, the formatting of headings and subheadings, and the presentation of numerical data. By meticulously applying CMOS rules, professors can ensure that their arguments are presented without distraction, allowing the substance of their research to take center stage. This attention to detail is a hallmark of scholarly rigor and is highly valued in the academic publishing landscape.

CMOS and Discipline-Specific Conventions

While CMOS offers a robust set of general guidelines, professors must also be aware of discipline-specific conventions. Many academic fields have developed their own customary practices for presenting research, and these often overlap with or even supersede certain CMOS recommendations. For instance, scientific disciplines might lean more heavily on the author-date system for citations, while the humanities often prefer the notes-bibliography system. Understanding these nuances is crucial for ensuring that a manuscript aligns with the expectations of its intended audience and the editorial standards of the specific discipline.

It is a common practice for academic journals and university presses to specify their preferred style in their author guidelines. Professors are strongly advised to consult these guidelines meticulously before embarking on manuscript preparation. This proactive approach will save considerable time and effort during the revision process, as editors are less likely to request extensive stylistic changes if the manuscript already conforms to their expectations. Familiarity with the target publication's specific stylistic requirements is a fundamental aspect of successful academic publishing.

Manuscript Preparation According to CMOS Standards

The meticulous preparation of a manuscript is a critical phase in the publishing journey for any professor. The Chicago Manual of Style provides comprehensive instructions for

ensuring that a manuscript is not only well-written but also technically sound and ready for submission. This involves attention to detail in formatting, organization, and the proper presentation of all textual elements. For professors, mastering these preparation steps can significantly expedite the review and publication process.

Key aspects of manuscript preparation under CMOS include the clear division of the manuscript into logical sections, the correct formatting of headings and subheadings, and the appropriate use of page numbers and other structural elements. Furthermore, the manual offers guidance on the preparation of front matter, such as the title page and table of contents, as well as back matter, including appendices and indexes. Every element, from the initial draft to the final submission, should reflect a commitment to clarity and professionalism.

Formatting and Layout Guidelines

CMOS provides detailed recommendations for the physical layout of a manuscript, which are crucial for editors and typesetters to work efficiently. These guidelines typically include preferred font types and sizes, line spacing, margin widths, and the formatting of paragraphs. While digital submissions have become standard, these formatting principles remain essential for maintaining a clear and organized document. Professors should pay close attention to these details to present their work in a professional and easily digestible format.

Specific formatting instructions often include:

- Double-spacing throughout the manuscript, including block quotations and notes.
- Consistent indentation for new paragraphs.
- Clear and distinct headings for different sections and subsections.
- Proper pagination, ensuring page numbers are consistently placed.
- The correct formatting of tables and figures, with clear labels and captions.

Adhering to these structural and layout conventions demonstrates a professor's professionalism and respect for the publishing process.

Handling Tables, Figures, and Illustrations

The effective presentation of data and visual information is integral to many academic disciplines. CMOS offers specific guidance on how to integrate tables, figures, and illustrations into a manuscript, ensuring they are not only aesthetically pleasing but also easily understood by the reader. This includes guidelines for labeling, captioning, and referencing these elements within the main text. Professors must ensure that all visual

aids are clear, accurate, and directly support their arguments.

When incorporating visual elements, professors should consider the following:

- Tables should be formatted for clarity, with clear column and row headers.
- Figures and illustrations should be high-resolution and clearly labeled.
- All tables and figures must be referenced in the text and numbered consecutively.
- Captions should be concise and informative, explaining the content of the visual element.
- Permissions for the use of copyrighted images must be secured and properly acknowledged.

Proper handling of these elements enhances the reader's comprehension and the overall impact of the research.

The Importance of a Well-Crafted Index

While not always required for initial submissions, a well-crafted index is a vital component of many scholarly books, particularly those published by university presses. CMOS provides extensive guidance on the principles and practices of index creation. A good index enhances the usability of a book, allowing readers to quickly locate specific topics, concepts, and names. For professors, understanding indexing principles can help them anticipate what information readers will seek and structure their arguments accordingly.

A professional index should:

- Be comprehensive, covering all significant terms and concepts.
- Be accurate, reflecting the page numbers where the terms appear.
- Be logically organized, often with subentries for complex topics.
- Use consistent terminology throughout.

While authors may not always create their own indexes, a clear understanding of what constitutes a good index can benefit their writing and organization. Many academic authors work with professional indexers, and familiarity with CMOS indexing standards ensures effective collaboration.

Citation Styles: Notes-Bibliography vs. Author-Date

One of the most distinctive features of the Chicago Manual of Style is its provision of two primary citation systems: the notes-bibliography system and the author-date system. For professors engaging in academic publishing, selecting and correctly implementing the appropriate system is crucial. The choice often depends on the specific academic discipline, the target journal's or press's guidelines, and the nature of the research being presented.

Both systems are designed to provide readers with clear and accurate attribution of sources, enabling them to locate and verify the information used. However, they differ significantly in their structure and placement of bibliographic information. Understanding the mechanics of each system, as well as their common applications in academic publishing, is essential for professors seeking to adhere to CMOS standards.

The Notes-Bibliography System

The notes-bibliography system, often preferred in the humanities and arts, uses numbered footnotes or endnotes to cite sources within the text. Each note provides detailed bibliographic information for the source being referenced at that specific point in the text. Following the notes, a comprehensive bibliography lists all sources cited in the manuscript in alphabetical order. This system allows for extensive commentary and allows authors to include tangential information without disrupting the main flow of the text.

Key characteristics of the notes-bibliography system include:

- In-text citations are indicated by superscript numbers.
- Footnotes (at the bottom of the page) or endnotes (at the end of the chapter or book) contain the source information.
- The first citation of a source typically includes full bibliographic details.
- Subsequent citations of the same source are abbreviated.
- A bibliography at the end of the work provides a complete list of all sources used.

Professors intending to publish in journals or with presses that favor this system must master the precise formatting of both notes and the bibliography.

The Author-Date System

The author-date system, commonly employed in the social sciences and natural sciences, provides a more concise in-text citation method. In this system, the author's last name and the year of publication are placed in parentheses within the text, immediately after the referenced material. A comprehensive reference list, alphabetized by author's last name, appears at the end of the manuscript, containing full bibliographic details for all cited works. This system is often favored for its efficiency and its direct link between in-text citations and the reference list.

The author-date system features:

- In-text citations include the author's last name and the publication year (e.g., (Smith 2020)).
- If a direct quotation is used, the page number is also included (e.g., (Smith 2020, 45)).
- A reference list at the end of the manuscript contains full details for every cited source.
- This system is generally considered more streamlined for works with numerous citations.

Professors working in disciplines that adopt the author-date system should ensure meticulous accuracy in both their in-text citations and their reference list entries.

Choosing the Right Citation Style for Your Publication

The decision of which citation style to employ within the framework of CMOS is rarely left to the author's discretion. Instead, it is dictated by the editorial policies of the specific academic journal or university press to which a professor intends to submit their work. These guidelines are typically published on the journal's or press's website and should be consulted early in the manuscript preparation process. Ignoring these requirements can lead to significant revisions and delays.

When faced with a choice, or when a specific style is mandated, professors must diligently adhere to the chosen system. This includes understanding the precise formatting for different types of sources (books, articles, websites, etc.) and ensuring that every citation is complete and accurate. Consistency in applying the chosen style throughout the manuscript is paramount. Failure to do so can undermine the credibility of the research and the author's professionalism.

Crafting Scholarly Prose: Clarity, Conciseness, and Tone

Beyond the structural and stylistic conventions of CMOS, the quality of scholarly prose is fundamental to effective academic publishing. For professors, clarity, conciseness, and an appropriate academic tone are not merely desirable attributes but essential components of impactful research dissemination. CMOS offers guidance on achieving these qualities, emphasizing precision in language, logical argumentation, and an objective, professional voice.

The aim of scholarly prose is to communicate complex ideas and findings in a way that is accessible and persuasive to a specialized audience. This requires careful attention to sentence structure, word choice, and the overall flow of the argument. Professors who master the art of scholarly writing will find their work more readily accepted and more effectively understood by their peers.

Achieving Clarity and Precision in Language

Clarity in academic writing means that the author's meaning is unmistakable. This is achieved through the precise use of vocabulary, the construction of well-formed sentences, and the logical organization of ideas. CMOS offers advice on avoiding ambiguity, jargon that is not essential to the discipline, and overly convoluted sentence structures. Professors should strive to express their ideas directly and economically, ensuring that their arguments are easy to follow.

Strategies for enhancing clarity include:

- Using specific and concrete language rather than vague or abstract terms.
- Defining key terms and concepts, especially when they may be interpreted in multiple ways.
- Employing active voice where appropriate to make sentences more direct and vigorous.
- Ensuring smooth transitions between sentences and paragraphs to guide the reader through the argument.

The pursuit of clarity is an ongoing process that benefits from careful revision and feedback from colleagues.

The Virtue of Conciseness in Academic Writing

Conciseness in academic writing involves conveying information efficiently, without unnecessary words or phrases. This principle is particularly important in scholarly publishing, where space can be limited, and readers often appreciate directness. CMOS advocates for the elimination of wordiness, redundancy, and circumlocution. Every sentence and every word should serve a purpose in advancing the author's argument or

presenting essential information.

Professors can cultivate conciseness by:

- Eliminating redundant phrases and unnecessary modifiers.
- Using strong verbs instead of weak verbs with adverbs.
- Avoiding nominalizations (turning verbs into nouns) when a verb form would be more direct.
- Reviewing drafts specifically to identify and remove extraneous words or sentences.

Brevity in academic writing can enhance the impact of a paper, making it more engaging and memorable for the reader.

Maintaining an Appropriate Academic Tone

The tone of academic writing is typically characterized by objectivity, formality, and a degree of detachment. While passionate about their research, professors are expected to present their findings in a measured and analytical manner. CMOS provides guidance on avoiding overly informal language, colloquialisms, and subjective pronouncements that are not supported by evidence. The goal is to establish credibility and to foster an environment of reasoned discourse.

Key elements of an appropriate academic tone include:

- Using formal vocabulary and sentence structures.
- Avoiding personal anecdotes or overly emotional language unless directly relevant to the research and handled with academic rigor.
- Presenting arguments in a balanced and impartial manner, acknowledging counterarguments.
- Maintaining a consistent and professional voice throughout the manuscript.

A well-maintained academic tone reinforces the author's authority and the seriousness of the research being presented.

Navigating the Publishing Process with CMOS

For professors, the journey from manuscript completion to publication is often as intricate as the writing process itself. The Chicago Manual of Style plays a significant role in this

journey, not only in how the manuscript is prepared but also in understanding the expectations of editors and publishers. Familiarity with CMOS principles helps professors anticipate editorial feedback, respond to queries effectively, and ultimately streamline the path to publication.

The publishing process involves several key stages, including submission, peer review, revision, and final production. At each of these stages, adherence to established scholarly conventions, as outlined by CMOS, can smooth the way. Understanding how publishers use style guides like CMOS to ensure consistency and quality across their publications is vital for academic authors.

Submission and Editorial Review

When a professor submits a manuscript to a journal or university press, it undergoes an initial editorial review. Editors assess the manuscript for its scholarly merit, its fit with the publication's scope, and its adherence to stylistic guidelines. A manuscript that demonstrates a solid understanding of and compliance with CMOS (or the specified style guide) is more likely to pass this initial hurdle. Editors often use CMOS as a reference point for evaluating a manuscript's readiness for peer review.

During the editorial review, professors should expect questions related to:

- Clarity of argument and presentation.
- Correctness of citations and bibliographic entries.
- Consistency in formatting and style.
- Adherence to the journal's or press's specific author guidelines.

A well-prepared manuscript, following CMOS, minimizes the likelihood of desk rejection due to stylistic or structural issues.

Responding to Peer Review and Editor's Queries

The peer review process is central to academic publishing, and feedback from reviewers often includes comments on style and presentation. Editors also communicate their own queries, which may pertain to matters of grammar, clarity, or adherence to CMOS. For professors, responding constructively and knowledgeably to these queries is crucial. Demonstrating that one can effectively implement suggested revisions, including those related to style, is a sign of a seasoned academic author.

When addressing reviewer and editor comments related to CMOS:

- Carefully review each query and understand the specific stylistic point being raised.
- Consult CMOS for the correct application of the rule if there is any uncertainty.
- Provide clear explanations for any changes made, or politely justify any deviations if deemed necessary.
- Maintain a collaborative and professional demeanor throughout the revision process.

Effective communication with editors and reviewers, backed by a solid understanding of CMOS, can lead to a stronger final publication.

The Production Phase and CMOS Compliance

Once a manuscript is accepted for publication, it enters the production phase, which involves copyediting, typesetting, and proofreading. During copyediting, a professional editor will meticulously review the manuscript for adherence to CMOS and the publication's house style. This involves standardizing spelling, punctuation, capitalization, and the formatting of citations and references. For professors, understanding these production steps can help them anticipate the types of edits they might receive and participate more effectively in the proofreading stages.

Key activities in the production phase include:

- Copyediting for consistency and adherence to style.
- Typesetting, where the manuscript is converted into the final publication format.
- Proofreading by authors and/or professional proofreaders to catch any remaining errors.
- Ensuring all elements, including tables, figures, and footnotes, are correctly rendered.

A strong foundation in CMOS principles enables professors to collaborate more effectively with production teams, ensuring the final published work is polished and professional.

Common Pitfalls and Best Practices in Academic Publishing

Navigating the academic publishing landscape can be challenging, and professors often encounter common pitfalls that can hinder their progress. Understanding these potential obstacles and adopting best practices, guided by principles like those in the Chicago Manual of Style, can significantly improve the chances of successful publication.

Awareness of these issues allows for proactive avoidance and more efficient engagement with the publishing process.

From inconsistent citation practices to unclear prose, the path to publication is paved with opportunities for refinement. By focusing on meticulous preparation, clear communication, and a thorough understanding of editorial expectations, professors can overcome these common hurdles and contribute their research effectively to their fields.

Inconsistent Citation Practices

One of the most frequent errors professors encounter is inconsistency in their citation practices. This can manifest as a mix of citation styles, incomplete bibliographic information, or incorrect formatting of notes or references. Such inconsistencies can create confusion for readers and signal a lack of attention to detail to editors and reviewers. Adhering strictly to either the notes-bibliography or author-date system, as outlined by CMOS, and ensuring every citation is complete and correctly formatted, is essential.

To avoid citation inconsistencies:

- Choose one of the two CMOS systems and apply it uniformly.
- Use citation management software to help maintain consistency and accuracy.
- Double-check all bibliographic details against the original sources.
- Pay close attention to the specific formatting requirements for different types of sources (books, articles, websites, etc.).

Meticulous attention to citation details is a hallmark of scholarly integrity.

Lack of Clarity in Argumentation

A manuscript may contain groundbreaking research, but if the argument is not presented clearly, its impact will be diminished. Professors must ensure that their research questions are well-defined, their methodology is transparent, and their findings are logically connected to their conclusions. CMOS emphasizes clear and direct prose, which aids in presenting complex ideas in an understandable manner. A lack of clarity can lead to misunderstandings by reviewers and ultimately rejection.

To enhance clarity in argumentation:

- Structure the manuscript logically with clear topic sentences and transitions.

- Ensure that all claims are supported by evidence and that the connection between evidence and claim is explicit.
- Define all key terms and concepts early in the manuscript.
- Read the manuscript aloud to identify awkward phrasing or unclear sentences.

A clear argument is one that is persuasive and easily followed by the intended academic audience.

Ignoring Author Guidelines

Perhaps the most straightforward pitfall to avoid is neglecting to read and follow the specific author guidelines provided by the target journal or university press. These guidelines often detail specific formatting requirements, preferred citation styles (which may deviate slightly from general CMOS), and submission procedures. Failing to adhere to these instructions can lead to a manuscript being returned without review or being rejected outright, regardless of its scholarly merit.

Best practices for following author guidelines include:

- Locate and read the author guidelines for each publication you consider.
- Create a checklist based on these guidelines to ensure all requirements are met.
- Pay special attention to any deviations from standard CMOS requirements.
- Address all points in the guidelines before submitting the manuscript.

Treating author guidelines as mandatory instructions, not mere suggestions, is crucial for successful submission.

The Role of CMOS in Digital Publishing and Open Access

As academic publishing increasingly embraces digital formats and open access models, the principles of the Chicago Manual of Style remain highly relevant. While digital platforms introduce new considerations for presentation and accessibility, CMOS provides a robust foundation for maintaining scholarly integrity and clarity in these evolving environments. Professors must understand how these established principles apply to new publishing modalities.

The fundamental goals of clear communication, accurate citation, and consistent formatting are amplified in the digital realm, where information is widely disseminated

and easily shared. CMOS offers guidance that helps ensure research published online is as credible and accessible as its print counterparts, supporting the mission of open access scholarship.

Adapting CMOS to Online Content

When publishing online, professors need to consider how CMOS principles translate to web-based content. This includes proper formatting for web pages, the use of digital identifiers like DOIs (Digital Object Identifiers), and the accessibility of content for all readers. While CMOS primarily addresses print media, its core tenets regarding grammar, punctuation, and citation are universally applicable. Publishers of online journals and platforms often adapt CMOS guidelines to suit their specific digital environments.

Key considerations for online content include:

- Ensuring all digital elements are easily navigable and load quickly.
- Providing DOIs for all cited journal articles and book chapters.
- Using consistent formatting for hyperlinks where appropriate (though generally avoided in scholarly text itself unless for specific illustrative purposes).
- Considering accessibility standards for images and other visual content.

Maintaining stylistic consistency is crucial, even when adapting to digital formats.

CMOS and Open Access Publishing

Open access publishing aims to make scholarly research freely available to the public, increasing its reach and impact. This laudable goal is best served when the research itself is presented with the highest standards of clarity, accuracy, and professionalism. CMOS provides the necessary framework to ensure that open access publications meet these rigorous expectations. By adhering to CMOS, professors contribute to the integrity and credibility of open access scholarship, making their work more discoverable and trustworthy.

The principles of CMOS are vital for open access because:

- They ensure a consistent and recognizable standard for scholarly work, regardless of its accessibility model.
- Clear and accurate citations facilitate the tracking and building upon of research, a cornerstone of scholarly progress.
- Well-structured and clearly written prose makes research accessible to a broader,

potentially non-specialist audience.

- Adherence to established style guides enhances the perceived legitimacy and reliability of open access content.

For professors committed to open access, applying CMOS ensures their contributions are both widely available and scholarly sound.

Frequently Asked Questions: Chicago Manual of Style Publishing for Professors

Q: What is the primary difference between the notes-bibliography and author-date systems in CMOS for professors?

A: The primary difference lies in how sources are cited within the text. The notes-bibliography system uses numbered footnotes or endnotes to provide detailed source information, followed by a comprehensive bibliography. The author-date system uses parenthetical citations in the text (author, year, and page number for direct quotes) and a reference list at the end. Professors should choose the system preferred by their target publication.

Q: How do professors ensure consistency in their manuscript when using CMOS?

A: Consistency is achieved by meticulously following CMOS rules for all aspects of the manuscript, including punctuation, capitalization, spelling, and citation formatting. It is crucial to apply these rules uniformly throughout the entire document and to consult the Chicago Manual of Style frequently for clarification. Using citation management software can also aid in maintaining consistency.

Q: Are there specific CMOS guidelines for electronic or online sources that professors should be aware of for academic publishing?

A: Yes, CMOS addresses the citation of electronic and online sources, including the use of DOIs (Digital Object Identifiers) and the format for citing web pages, e-books, and online articles. Professors should refer to the latest edition of CMOS for the most current guidance on citing digital materials, ensuring their bibliographies and notes are up-to-date and complete for online publications.

Q: How important is it for professors to consult the specific author guidelines of a journal or publisher when preparing a manuscript according to CMOS?

A: It is critically important. While CMOS provides a comprehensive framework, individual journals and university presses often have their own specific stylistic requirements or preferences that may deviate from general CMOS rules. Professors must consult and adhere to these specific author guidelines to ensure their manuscript meets the publisher's expectations and to avoid rejection or extensive revisions.

Q: Can a professor use a style guide other than CMOS if their discipline commonly uses it?

A: Generally, professors should adhere to the style guide mandated by the journal or publisher. While CMOS is widely used in many humanities and social science disciplines, fields like science and medicine often prefer other styles (e.g., APA, MLA, or specific journal styles). The decision rests with the target publication, not the author's personal preference.

Q: What are the key elements of scholarly prose that CMOS emphasizes for professors?

A: CMOS emphasizes clarity, conciseness, and an appropriate academic tone. This involves using precise language, avoiding jargon where possible or defining it clearly, constructing well-organized sentences and paragraphs, and maintaining an objective, formal, and authoritative voice. The goal is to communicate complex ideas effectively and persuasively.

Q: How does CMOS assist professors in the revision and production stages of publishing?

A: By adhering to CMOS standards from the outset, professors create a manuscript that is more likely to pass editorial review and peer assessment. During revision, understanding CMOS allows professors to respond effectively to editorial queries regarding style. In the production phase, a manuscript that is already well-formatted and consistently styled according to CMOS will require fewer complex edits from copyeditors and typesetters, streamlining the process.

Q: What is the role of an index in academic publishing according to CMOS, and should professors worry about it?

A: For books, a comprehensive and accurate index is a crucial element for usability, enabling readers to quickly find specific topics. CMOS provides extensive guidance on

indexing principles. While authors may not always create their own indexes, understanding what constitutes a good index helps in structuring their work and can facilitate collaboration with professional indexers, ensuring the final book is accessible and user-friendly.

Q: How does CMOS apply to new digital publishing trends like open access?

A: The core principles of CMOS—clarity, consistency, and accurate citation—remain essential for all forms of academic publishing, including open access. CMOS provides the foundational rules for presenting scholarly work credibly, regardless of its distribution model. Applying CMOS ensures that open access research is as rigorously presented and easily understood as traditional print publications, enhancing its trustworthiness and impact.

[Chicago Manual Of Style Publishing For Professors](#)

Chicago Manual Of Style Publishing For Professors

Related Articles

- [chicago manual of style notes bibliography examples website](#)
- [chicago manual of style online support](#)
- [chicago manual of style spelling of printer configurations](#)

[Back to Home](#)