

chicago citation examples for circa

The Importance of Chicago Citation Examples for Circa Dates

chicago citation examples for circa are essential for academic writers, researchers, and anyone adhering to the Chicago Manual of Style (CMOS). This comprehensive guide delves into the nuances of correctly citing sources that feature approximate dates, often denoted by "circa" or "c." Understanding how to employ "circa" in your Chicago-style citations ensures the accuracy and credibility of your academic work, demonstrating a meticulous approach to source attribution. This article will explore the various scenarios where "circa" appears, providing clear, actionable examples for both footnotes/endnotes and bibliographies, and highlighting the underlying principles of the Chicago Manual of Style regarding temporal uncertainty. We will also touch upon common pitfalls to avoid when citing approximate dates.

Table of Contents

- Understanding Circa in Chicago Style
- Citing Circa Dates in Footnotes and Endnotes
- Citing Circa Dates in Bibliographies
- Variations and Special Cases for Circa
- Common Mistakes with Circa Citations

Understanding Circa in Chicago Style

The Chicago Manual of Style acknowledges that not all historical sources have precise publication or creation dates. In such instances, the abbreviation "circa," often shortened to "c.," is employed to indicate an approximate date. This practice is crucial for maintaining academic integrity, as it transparently communicates the uncertainty surrounding a source's temporal origin rather than presenting a guess as a definitive fact. Using "circa" signals to readers that the date provided is an estimation based on available evidence.

The use of "circa" is not exclusive to printed materials; it can also apply to historical artifacts, artworks, musical compositions, and any other form of source where a precise year of creation is unknown or debated. The Chicago Manual of Style provides guidelines to ensure consistency in how these approximate dates are presented across different types of sources and within both the note-citation system and the author-date system. The primary goal is clarity and accuracy in representing the available information.

When to Use Circa

You should utilize "circa" when the exact year of a source's publication, creation, or event is not definitively known. This often occurs with older documents, manuscripts, historical artifacts, or when scholarly consensus points to a range of years rather than a single, precise one. For example, a medieval manuscript might not have a colophon explicitly stating its completion date.

Another common scenario involves historical accounts that provide context but not a specific year. If a document refers to an event without providing a year, but other contextual clues suggest a particular period, citing "circa" is appropriate. The key principle is to be honest about the limitations of the available dating information. It's better to use "circa" than to invent or assume a precise date that cannot be verified.

The Abbreviation "c."

The abbreviation "c." is a widely accepted and commonly used shorthand for "circa" within academic writing. It functions identically to the full word and offers a more concise presentation in citations. Both "circa" and "c." are placed before the approximate year. The choice between using the full word or the abbreviation often comes down to editorial preference or specific journal guidelines, though "c." is generally more prevalent in citations due to its brevity.

It is important to maintain consistency in your chosen form throughout a single document. If you opt to use "c." for one citation, continue to use it for all subsequent approximate dates within that work. This ensures a polished and professional presentation, avoiding a disjointed appearance. Always double-check your chosen style guide or publisher's requirements for any specific preferences regarding the use of "circa" versus "c.".

Citing Circa Dates in Footnotes and Endnotes

When using the footnote or endnote system within the Chicago Manual of Style, the placement and formatting of "circa" are critical for accurate citation. The abbreviation "c." is typically used for brevity, and it precedes the estimated year.

Footnote/Endnote for a Book with Circa Date

For a book where the publication date is approximate, the citation in a footnote or endnote would look like this:

- 1. John Smith, *The History of Old Towns* (London: Unknown Press, c. 1850), 45.

In this example, "c. 1850" indicates that the publication date is estimated to be around 1850. The "Unknown Press" signifies that the publisher is either not identified or also uncertain.

Footnote/Endnote for an Article with Circa Date

If you are citing an article, perhaps from a historical journal or a collection of essays, where the original publication date is approximate, the format would adapt accordingly:

- 2. Jane Doe, "Early American Life," *Journal of Historical Studies* 15, no. 2 (c. 1920): 112.

Here, "c. 1920" suggests the article was published around that year. The specific volume, issue, and page numbers follow standard Chicago style.

Footnote/Endnote for an Artwork or Artifact

Citing visual art or artifacts with approximate creation dates also follows a similar principle. The "circa" notation clearly communicates the uncertainty in dating:

- 3. Leonardo da Vinci, *Mona Lisa* (Paris: Louvre Museum, c. 1503–1506).

When a range is more appropriate than a single year, as is often the case with art, you can use a hyphen between two "circa" dates or one "circa" date followed by a range. The example above indicates the painting was likely created between 1503 and 1506. If the entire range is approximate, one might write "c. 1503-1506."

Citing Circa Dates in Bibliographies

The bibliography section in Chicago style also requires clear and consistent citation of approximate dates. While the full bibliographic entry is provided here, the principle of using "circa" or "c." remains the same as in footnotes/endnotes, though often the abbreviation "c." is preferred for conciseness.

Bibliography Entry for a Book with Circa Date

The bibliographic entry for the book cited in the footnote example would appear as:

- Smith, John. *The History of Old Towns*. London: Unknown Press, c. 1850.

Notice the inversion of author and title, standard for bibliographies. The "c. 1850" accurately reflects the estimated publication date.

Bibliography Entry for an Article with Circa Date

Similarly, the article citation in the bibliography would be formatted as:

- Doe, Jane. "Early American Life." *Journal of Historical Studies* 15, no. 2 (c. 1920): 110–125.

The page range is included, and the "c. 1920" remains prominent to indicate the approximate publication year. Ensuring the page range is also accurately represented is as important as the date.

Bibliography Entry for an Artwork or Artifact

For visual sources, the bibliography entry will reflect the information provided in the note, adapted for the bibliographic format:

- Da Vinci, Leonardo. *Mona Lisa*. Paris: Louvre Museum, c. 1503–1506.

This entry provides the artist, title, location, and the estimated date of creation. The objective is to give the reader enough information to locate the source or understand its context, including the temporal uncertainty.

Variations and Special Cases for Circa

While the standard use of "c." before a year is common, there are instances where variations in date approximation might require slightly different approaches within Chicago style. These variations are designed to capture more nuanced temporal estimations.

Circa with a Range of Years

When a source is known to fall within a specific period rather than a single approximate year, Chicago style allows for indicating this range. This is particularly useful for historical periods or when a specific event's timeframe is debated:

- John Adams, *A Study of Ancient Pottery* (Cambridge: University Press, c. 250–200 BCE).

In this example, "c. 250–200 BCE" indicates that the pottery is estimated to date from somewhere within that five-century span, specifically in the period before common era. The "c." applies to the entire range, signifying that the entire range is approximate.

Circa with a Decade or Century

In cases where only a decade or century can be reliably estimated, Chicago style provides clear formatting:

- Mary Jones, "Victorian Social Customs," *History Today* 42, no. 1 (c. 1880s): 33.
- David Lee, *The Renaissance Artistic Movement* (New York: Art Books, c. 15th century).

For a decade, adding an "s" to the final year (e.g., "c. 1880s") is the standard convention. For a century, stating the century directly (e.g., "c. 15th century") is appropriate. This level of approximation is often used for older materials where finer dating is impossible.

Circa with Events or Undated Publications

When citing an event that occurred around a particular time but without a precise date, or an undated publication where an approximate publication time can be inferred:

- Sarah Green, "The Impact of the Printing Press on Society," presented at the Historical Society Conference (c. 1995).
- Robert Brown, *A Traveler's Account* (Location: Publisher, c. late 19th century).

In the first example, "c. 1995" denotes the approximate year the presentation was given. In the second, "c. late 19th century" provides a broader, yet still informative, temporal context for the publication. The key is to provide the most accurate approximation possible.

Common Mistakes with Circa Citations

Despite the clear guidelines, several common errors can arise when incorporating "circa" into Chicago-style citations. Awareness of these pitfalls can help writers avoid them and ensure the accuracy of their work.

Using "Circa" Incorrectly with Known Dates

A frequent mistake is the unnecessary use of "circa" when a precise date is actually known. If a source clearly states its publication year, do not add "circa." This can mislead readers into believing there is uncertainty where none exists.

- Incorrect: John Smith, *The History of Old Towns* (London: Unknown Press, c. 1850). (If the book states it was published in 1850).
- Correct: John Smith, *The History of Old Towns* (London: Unknown Press, 1850).

Always verify the exact publication date from the source itself before resorting to "circa."

Inconsistent Use of "Circa" vs. "c."

As mentioned earlier, inconsistency in using the full word "circa" versus its abbreviation "c." can detract from the professionalism of a document. Readers expect uniformity in citation style.

- Inconsistent: 1. Jane Doe, "Early American Life," *Journal of Historical Studies* 15, no. 2 (circa 1920): 112. 2. John Smith, *The History of Old Towns* (London: Unknown Press, c. 1850), 45.
- Consistent: 1. Jane Doe, "Early American Life," *Journal of Historical Studies* 15, no. 2 (c. 1920): 112. 2. John Smith, *The History of Old Towns* (London: Unknown Press, c. 1850), 45.

Choose one form and apply it throughout your work.

Misinterpreting the Scope of "Circa"

Another error occurs when the "circa" designation is applied too broadly or too narrowly. For instance, using "c. 1900" for a source that is clearly from the 1950s is a misrepresentation. Conversely, using it when a more precise range is available and well-supported can also be problematic.

The goal is always to provide the most accurate approximation possible based on the available evidence. If a document dates itself to a specific decade, use that (e.g., "c. 1880s") rather than a broader century estimate ("c. 19th century").

Formatting Errors with Ranges and Abbreviations

Pay close attention to the specific formatting of "circa" with date ranges or centuries. Incorrect punctuation or placement of the "c." can lead to confusion.

- Incorrect format for range: John Adams, *A Study of Ancient Pottery* (Cambridge: University Press, c. 250 BCE - 200 BCE).
- Correct format for range: John Adams, *A Study of Ancient Pottery* (Cambridge: University Press, c. 250–200 BCE).

Ensure that hyphens used for date ranges are correctly formatted (en dash is preferred typographically) and that "c." or "circa" is positioned directly before the approximated date or date range.

FAQ Section

Q: What is the most common abbreviation for "circa" in Chicago style?

A: The most common abbreviation for "circa" in Chicago style is "c.". It is typically placed directly before the approximated year.

Q: When should I use "circa" in my Chicago citations?

A: You should use "circa" or "c." when the exact publication or creation date of a source is unknown or uncertain. This applies to books, articles, artworks, artifacts, and historical events where a precise year cannot be determined.

Q: How do I cite a source that falls within a range of years in Chicago style using "circa"?

A: When citing a source within a range of years, you can use "c." followed by the range. For example, "c. 1900–1910" or "c. 300–250 BCE." The "c." applies to the entire approximated range.

Q: Can I use "circa" for a decade or a century?

A: Yes. For a decade, you can use "c." followed by the decade with an "s" (e.g., "c. 1950s"). For a century, you can state the century directly (e.g., "c. 18th century").

Q: What is the difference between citing "circa" in a footnote and in a bibliography?

A: The main difference lies in the overall format of the entry (e.g., author-title inversion in the bibliography). However, the way "circa" is used to denote approximate dates remains consistent, with "c." often preferred for brevity in both.

Q: What if a source has an uncertain publisher and an uncertain date?

A: In such cases, you would use "circa" for the date and indicate the uncertainty of the publisher, for example, "Unknown Publisher." The citation might look like: Author, *Title* (Place: Unknown Publisher, c. Year).

Q: Is it acceptable to use "circa" for very recent sources if the exact date is hard to find?

A: While the principle of "circa" is about uncertainty, for very recent sources (within the last few years), it's usually expected that the exact date can be found or confirmed. "Circa" is more typically reserved for older sources where exact dating is historically difficult.

Q: Should I use a period after "c." in Chicago citations?

A: Yes, when using the abbreviation "c." for circa, it should be followed by a period, like "c.". This is standard practice in Chicago style for abbreviations.

Chicago Citation Examples For Circa

Chicago Citation Examples For Circa

Related Articles

- [chemical reactions and decomposition reactions](#)
- [chemistry in a nutshell explained](#)
- [chemistry study help](#)

[Back to Home](#)